## JOHN WEBSTER AND THE ELIZABETHAN DRAMA

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649618989

John Webster and the Elizabethan Drama by Rupert Brooke

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## **RUPERT BROOKE**

# JOHN WEBSTER AND THE ELIZABETHAN DRAMA



## COLLECTED POEMS of .

### RUPERT BROOKE

PROTOGRAVURE PORTRAIT
of the AUTEOR

Cloth, \$1.25 net

Leather, \$2.00 net

"It is packed with the stuff of which poetry is made: vivid imagination, the phrase that leaps to life, youth, music, and the excitary born of their joy when genius keeps them company."—The Outlook.

JOHN LANE COMPANY PUBLISHERS NEW YORK

## JOHN WEBSTER and the ELIZABETHAN DRAMA

By RUPERT BROOKE

JOHN LANE COMPANY NEW YORK .. .. .. MCMXVI

COPTRIGHT, 1916, By John Lane Company

236523

YAMEDLI GROBMATS

J. J. Little & Ives Company New York, U.S.A.

## NOTE

This book was written in 1911-12, and was the 'dissertation' with which the author won his Fellowship at King's College, Cambridge, in 1913.

The page-references are to Dyce's one-volume edition.

E. M.



#### PREFACE

I HAVE tried to write a small book about John Webster. That is to say, I have tried to say the truth about him, as much of it as is necessary to enable anyone who reads him to understand him. I have not tried to explain him entirely to anyone who has not read him, though I hope that any person in that condition may get a rough idea of him from this book.

I have tried to explain Webster for a reader, but not to explain him away. So I have endeavoured to keep to my own province, and not to trespass on ground reserved for worthier feet—Webster's. I conceive that there is much that he can explain better than I. So I have, at least, abstained from paraphrasing.

To explain Webster's writings it is first necessary to determine what he wrote, and also such smaller questions as when he wrote it, and how he came to write it. Such questions, the questions of "scientific" literary criticism, I deal with in the Appendices. I have taken some care to get the most probable answers in each case; for there is such a lot of bad logic and fudging on

such points in modern literary science, that one always has to go over the whole ground completely for oneself.

When these points are settled, with as much certitude as possible, there are still other points on which it is necessary to have right opinions in order to understand Webster. One must know what a play is; one must know how the Elizabethan drama arose; and one must know what the Elizabethan drama was. I have given a chapter to each of these points; not pretending to cover the whole ground, or to do the work of a whole book; but endeavouring to correct some of the more misleading wrong ideas, and to hint at some of the more important right ones. These chapters, of course, though nominally not about Webster, should be even more important to any understanding of him than the Appendices. And I have given two long chapters to the more direct consideration of what Webster wrote, and what its more usual characteristics are.

The Bibliography is, I think, fairly complete with regard to Webster. I did not think it necessary to make a bibliography of books on the wider subjects.

It may seem, in some cases, as if I contradicted myself in different parts of the book; as, for instance, when I say that it is impossible to