

**THE RESURRECTION
OF OUR LORD**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649745982

The Resurrection of Our Lord by William Milligan

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

WILLIAM MILLIGAN

**THE RESURRECTION
OF OUR LORD**

THE RESURRECTION
OF
OUR LORD

By WILLIAM MILLIGAN, D.D.

PROFESSOR OF DIVINITY AND BIBLICAL CRITICISM IN
THE UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN

London

MACMILLAN AND CO.

1881

P R E F A C E.

I REGRET much that the publication of the following Lectures has been so long delayed. Literary engagements which it was impossible to lay aside rendered an earlier preparation of the Notes impossible; and without them it seemed to me that the Lectures ought not to be given to the public. Considering the importance of the subject, I could have wished to devote even much longer time to this part of the work than I have been able to secure for it. With some verbal alterations, and the insertion of a few passages omitted in their delivery, the Lectures are now published exactly as they were preached. I issue them under a deep sense of their deficiencies; and my utmost hope is that they may help to direct the attention of others to the great subject of which they treat, so that it may be more thoroughly studied and more successfully unfolded. The field of thought embraced by the Resurrection of our Lord, in the light in which it is

here presented, demands greater attention at the hands of our Scottish Theologians than it has hitherto received; and it is by the united labours of many, rather than by the efforts of a few, that, at least in Theology, the truth is won. May the Risen Lord bless to the edifying of His Church the effort now made to set forth the glory of His Resurrection and of His Resurrection-state.

THE UNIVERSITY, ABERDEEN.

May 1881.

CONTENTS.

LECTURE I.

The Fact of the Resurrection of our Lord.

	PAGES
Principle and Aim of the Lectures - - -	1—3
I.—PRELIMINARY REMARKS.	
1. A Belief in the Miraculous presupposed -	2—3
2. The Authenticity and Genuineness of the Books of Scripture assumed - - -	3—6
II.—THE NATURE OF THE FACT.	
1. Two Mistakes to be Avoided—	
(1) That the Resurrection is to be regarded solely in a spiritual sense - -	7—8
(2) That Christ entirely, or in part, laid aside His material body, assuming it only at special times - - -	8—10
2. The nature of Christ's Resurrection-body—	
(1) In many respects similar to what it had been - - -	10—12
(2) In many respects different from what it had been - - -	12—14
3. Considerations confirming the view taken—	
(1) The Glorification of Jesus began at His Resurrection - - -	14—17
(2) The work of Redemption was then com- pleted - - -	17—18
(3) Our Lord's Resurrection is the type of our own - - -	18—24

	PAGES
III.—OBJECTIONS CONSIDERED.	
1. The view taken of our Lord's Resurrection-body is self-contradictory - - -	24—27
2. The instantaneousness of the change required is impossible - - -	27—28
3. The change would destroy personal identity -	28—32
 IV.—CONSEQUENCES FOLLOWING FROM THE FACT.	
1. The Evidence is that of Believers only -	32—35
2. The Evidence can be fully appreciated only by Believers - - - -	35—38

LECTURE II.

The Evidence for the Resurrection of our Lord.

I.—THE EVIDENCE OF ST. PAUL.	
1. St. Paul affirms that he had seen the Risen Lord	39—41
2. The effect produced upon him by what he saw -	41—42
3. The Risen Lord the centre of the Pauline Theology - - - -	42—44
4. The weight to be attached to St. Paul's evidence	44—45
 II.—THE EVIDENCE OF OTHERS OF THE APOSTLES.	
1. The evidence of St. Peter and St. John -	45—46
2. The effect of the Resurrection on the lives of the Apostles - - - -	46—47
3. The connexion of the Apostolic Office with the Resurrection - - - -	47—48
 III.—THE EVIDENCE OF MANY OF THE FIRST DISCIPLES OF CHRIST.	
1. The variety of circumstances under which the Risen Lord appeared - - -	49—50
2. The characteristics of the evidence afforded -	50—52
3. The evidence published where and when the event happened - - - -	52—54

CONTENTS.

ix

	PAGES
4. Alleged defects in the evidence—	
(1) There was no eyewitness of the Resurrection itself - - -	54—55
(2) The different witnesses are inconsistent with each other - - -	55—62
 IV.—THE EVIDENCE AFFORDED BY THE RISE AND EXISTENCE OF THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH.	
1. Belief in the Resurrection necessary to account for the origin of the Church - - -	62—65
2. The Church reconstructed on the basis of this belief - - - - -	65—67
3. Facts in the life of the Early Church confirmatory of this—	
(1) Institution of the Lord's Day - - -	67—68
(2) Institution of Easter Day - - -	68—69
(3) Testimony of the early Liturgies, and even of the language of common life - - -	69—70
 V.—THE EVIDENCE IN RELATION TO THE OTHER FACTS OF CHRIST'S HISTORY - - - - -	70—71
 VI.—THE EVIDENCE AFFORDED BY THE EMPTY GRAVE	71—72
The Place and Importance of the Historical Evidence	72—74

LECTURE III.

Theories opposed to the Fact of the Resurrection of our Lord.

I.—THAT OUR LORD DID NOT REALLY DIE - - -	75—79
II.—THAT THE DISCIPLES PRACTISED AN IMPOSITION ON THE WORLD - - - - -	79—80
III.—THE VISION THEORY.	
Explanation of the Theory - - - - -	80—82
1. Theory tested in the case of St. Paul—	
(1) By the Apostle's own language - - -	83—87

	PAGES
(2) By considerations connected with his character and work - - -	87—89
(3) By the circumstances attending his conversion - - -	89—92
2. Theory tested in the case of the Early Church—	
(1) Inconsistent with the mental state of the Disciples previous to the manifestations - - -	92—98
(2) Inconsistent with the nature of the manifestations themselves - -	98—101
(3) Inconsistent with the state of the Christian community after the manifestations - - -	101—106
(4) Inconsistent with other circumstances marking the manifestations -	106—112
(a) With the length of time often occupied by them - -	106
(b) With the fact of their having been witnessed by many persons simultaneously -	107—108
(c) With the scene of the chief manifestations - -	108—110
(d) With their sudden cessation -	110—112
The theory interesting, but untenable - -	112—114

LECTURE IV.

*Bearing of the Resurrection of our Lord upon His
Person and Work.*

The Christian consciousness not independent of the fact -	115—116
The meaning of the fact not exhausted by the ordinary statements upon the point - - -	116—118
Place assigned to it in the teaching of Scripture—	
1. In particular passages - - -	118—122
2. In the general structure and tone of different Books of Scripture - - -	123—124