INDO-IRANIAN PHONOLOGY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE MIDDLE AND NEW INDO-IRANIAN LANGUEGES

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649612963

Indo-Iranian Phonology with Special Reference to the Middle and New Indo-Iranian Langueges by Louis H. Gray

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

LOUIS H. GRAY

INDO-IRANIAN PHONOLOGY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE MIDDLE AND NEW INDO-IRANIAN LANGUEGES



INDO-IRANIAN PHONOLOGY

WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE

MIDDLE AND NEW INDO-IRANIAN

LANGUAGES

BY

LOUIS H. GRAY, A.M.

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN THE

FACULTY OF PHILOSOPHY, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY



New York THE COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY, AGENTS 66 FIFTH AVENUE

1902

PRINTED AT OXFORD
ENGLAND
BY HORACE HART, M.A.
PRINTER TO THE UNIVERSITY

Crysting in the

PK 23 G82 1902 MMN

TO

MY FATHER

AND TO

THE MEMORY OF

MY MOTHER

INTRODUCTION

The united evidence of comparative linguistics, ethnography, and religion has established the doctrine of Indo-Iranian unity on a firm foundation, thereby affording a cardinal doctrine for the comparative study of Sanskrit, Avestan, and Old Persian. The work of Wackernagel and of Bartholomae, based upon this principle, has given the older dialects of the Indo-Iranian their due. But, on the other hand, the phonology of the Middle and New periods of this group has not thus far received the attention which it deserves, and it is in an attempt to supply the deficiency that this volume has been written. It aims to prove that the later Indo-Iranian dialects are still closely akin, and have had in many instances analogous developments, which are legitimate evolutions of processes acknowledged in the oldest Indo-Germanic languages of India and Iran.

A priori we should expect dialects derived from a common source to pursue similar courses of phonological development, as far as their surroundings, changing in course of time, permit. To deny this seems tantamount to attacking the entire principle of phonetic law on which comparative linguistics must rest. To affirm it is to give new strength to scientific research. The Middle and New Indo-Iranian languages show many developments in phonology which may be paralleled with entire justice. To such similarities I have sought to call attention, and I hope that the material which has been gathered may be of service to other linguistic students, and prove that the underlying principle of the book has its justification.

A paper of mine, entitled Certain parallel Developments in Pali

and New Persian Phonology, read before the American Oriental Society at Cambridge, Mass., in April, 1899 (JAOS., xx. 229-248), outlined the method which I have followed in this book. I advanced my views on the comparison of the Middle and New Indo-Iranian dialects before the Society in the following words:

'It is a well-known fact in linguistics that languages which are entirely without influence one on the other often show a striking similarity in their development. The Indo-Iranian group is especially instructive in this regard, for its time-limit extends from the period of Indo-Iranian unity to the present day, while its geographical area stretches from the Sinhalese in the south to the Mazandarani in the north, and from the Kurdish in the west to the Bangali in the east. Between the Indian and the Iranian divisions of the Aryan dialects a development may be traced which is frequently closely parallel. . . . Such a study, which it is my hope and intention to make, might be of service in the study of dialectic developments in general, and although confined to the Indo-Iranian dialects, it might by its implications be not altogether without bearing on the interests of the great body of the Indo-Germanic phonology.'

The result of the investigations which I there proposed to make has been, at least to me, a signal confirmation of my belief.

Mine is not the first attempt to parallel the phonological evolution of the Middle and New Indo-Iranian dialects. The first Orientalist who, so far as I know, drew attention to the connexion between the two great modern dialect-groups was Reland. He wrote in his study De linguis insularum orientalium ('Dissertationes miscellaneae,' iii. 88, Traj. ad Rhenum, 1708), 'Nonnullae voces [linguae singalaeae] cum Persicis conveniunt, uti Aswajaa, equus, —— Asp, Rahasa, arcanum, j), Ras, arc Chaldaeis, arcanum. Bandinjai, ligo, see Band, Dewijan, Deus, Pers. 22 Div, Genius' (Cf. also Benfey, Gesch. der Sprachwissensch., 241.) A very similar suggestion was made exactly a century later, when 'en 1808 John Leyden fait du zend un dialecte pracrit, parallèle au pali, le pali étant identique au magadhi des grammairiens et le zend à leur

sauraseni. En 1819, Erskine fait du zend un dialecte sanscrit importé de l'Inde en Perse par le fondateur de Magisme, mais n'ayant jamais été parlé par les indigènes de Perse' (Darmesteter, Le ZA., i. p. xxi, cf. SBE., iv. 2, p. xxiii). In 1873 Trumpp in his Grammar of the Pasto or Language of the Afghans compared with the Iranian and North-Indian Idioms revived the view that the later Indo-Iranian dialects were closely connected linguistically. even though his work was vitiated by his false theory that Afyan is 'an old independent language, forming the first transition from the Indo-Arian to the Iranian family, and therefore partaking of the characteristics of both' (p. xii. Cf. the approving remarks of Hoernle, Comp. Gramm., xxxiv-xxxv, as contrasted with Darmesteter, Chants populaires des Afghans, p. lix). Finally, in 1898, Horn, Grundr. der iran. Philol., i. b. 85 Anm., compared the Iranian change of r to ē in Skt. vrksa 'tree,' Av. varsša : Phl. věšak, New Pers. běšah with the Prakritic gěha 'house' beside grha (see below, § 78). The same scholar also alludes to the mutations common to both dialect-groups of initial y to j, of intervocalic k to g, or its syncope, of the epenthesis of -ary- to - $\bar{e}r$ -, and of the apparent substitution of y for intervocalic d and g (see below, §§ 331, 116, 128, 8, 256, 143). Geiger, ibid., 208, notes that the occasional interchange of l and n in Afyan may be paralleled in Indian (see below, § 281). Against the view held by Geiger and Horn, Hübschmann has expressed himself emphatically (IF. Ans., x. 23), but his objection seems to me scarcely valid.

The Middle and New Indo-Iranian dialects which I have considered may be classified as follows:

a. Indian. 1. Middle Indian or Prākrit. This group embraces Māhārāṣṭrī, the Prākrit κατ' ἐξοχήν, with Jaina Māhārāṣṭrī, Māgadhī and Ardhamāgadhī (also called Ārṣa and Jaina Prākrit), and Śāurasēnī, the principal Prākrit of the drama. These form the literary dialects called bhāṣā by Mārkaṇḍēya, while the lower Prākrits used in literature, Śākārī, Cāṇḍalī, Śābarī, and others form Mārkaṇḍēya's vibhāṣa class. The other Middle Indian groups are Apabhramśa and Pāišacī. Apabhramśa denotes the Middle

Indian vernaculars as distinguished from the Prakrits or literary dialects of the period. Paisacī together with Cülikāpaisacī seems to have comprised the dialects of the north and west of India (Pischel, Gramm. der Präkrit-Spr., §§ 1-80, cf. also Hoernle, Calcutta Rev., lxxi. 811-882; Grierson, ibid., ci. 258-274). A somewhat peculiar position is held by the so-called Gatha dialect of the Lalita-vistara, and by the Prakrit of the inscriptions (Pischel's 'Lēnadialekt'). The Gāthā dialect is an artificial composition of Prakrit bases with Sanskrit inflections (Macdonell, Hist. of Skt. Lit., 25-26), while the Lena dialect, a popular Middle Indian lingua franca, shows many Sanskritisms in its Prakrit (or Apabhramsa) structure (Pischel, § 7). As Ardhamagadhī and Jāina Māhārāstrī became the sacred dialects of Jainism, so Pāli was the language of the southern Buddhist Church. From what district of India Pali came is very uncertain. Geiger, Lit. und Spr. der Singh., 90-91, very plausibly supposes that the country comprised by modern Gujarat was its home (for other views see E. Müller, Pali Gramm., viii-ix). The Middle Indian languages are not descendants of Sanskrit, but of the Vedic dialect and its neighbours. Buried for a time by the literary supremacy of Sanskrit. they emerged when the religious movements of Buddha and Mahāvira and the development of the drama gave them opportunity, and they then proved themselves worthy of cultivation in literature. both sacred and profane (Pischel, & 13-15).

2. New Indian. The New Indian dialects are derived from the Apabhramss or folk-dialects of the Prakrits. Any attempt to trace rigidly Modern Indian dialects to specific Middle Indian predecessors is difficult with the sources now under our control. Pischel, § 5, derives Gujarati, or Marwari, from Śauraseni-Apabhramsa, Marathi from Maharastri-Apabhramsa, while Bihari and Western Bangali seem to come from Magadhi-Apabhramsa through the Lat, or Pillar, dialect. The Indian basis of Simhalese and Maladive is derived from a dialect closely akin to Pāli (Geiger, Lit. und Spr. der Singh., 86-93). Hoernle, Comp. Gramm., xxiv-xxv, and Calcutta Rev., lxxi. 311-332, Beames, Comp. Gramm., i.