FEDERAL REGULATION OF RAILWAY RATES

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649385959

Federal regulation of railway rates by Albert N. Merritt

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

ALBERT N. MERRITT

FEDERAL REGULATION OF RAILWAY RATES

Trieste

Hart, Schaffner & Marx prize Essays

IV

FEDERAL REGULATION OF RAILWAY RATES

MERT

FEDERAL REGULATION OF RAILWAY RATES

BY

ALBERT N. MERRITT



BOSTON AND NEW YORK HOUGHTON, MIFFLIN AND COMPANY Che fliverside press, Cambridge 1907

PREFACE

THIS series of books owes its existence to the generosity of Messrs. Hart, Schaffner, and Marx of Chicago, who have shown a special interest in trying to draw the attention of American youth to the study of economic and commercial subjects, and to encourage the best thinking of the country to investigate the problems which vitally affect the business world of to-day. For this purpose they have delegated to the undersigned Committee the task of selecting topics, making all announcements, and awarding prizes annually for those who wish to compete.

In the year ending June 1, 1906, the following topics were assigned:

1. To what extent, and by what administrative body, should the public attempt to control railway rates in interstate commerce?

2. A just and practicable method of taxing railway property.

3. Will the present policy of the labor unions in dealing with non-union men, and the "closed shop," further the interests of the workingmen?

4. Should ship subsidies be offered by the government of the United States?

5. An examination into the economic causes of large fortunes in this country.

6. The influence of credit on the level of prices.

7. The cattle industry in its relation to the ranchman, feeder, packer, railway, and consumer.

PREFACE

8. Should the government seek to control or regulate the use of mines of coal, iron, or other raw materials, whose supply may become the subject of monopoly?

9. What provision can be made for workingmen to avoid the economic insecurity said to accompany the modern wage-system?

A First Prize of One Thousand Dollars, and a Second Prize of Five Hundred Dollars, in cash, were offered for the best studies presented by Class A, composed exclusively of all persons who had received the bachelor's degree from an American college in 1894 or thereafter.

The present volume was awarded the first prize.

PROFESSON J. LAURENCE LAUGHLIN, University of Chicago, Chairman. PROFESSOR J. B. CLARK, Columbia University. PROFESSOR HENRY C. ADAMS, University of Michigan. HORACE WHITE, ESQ., New York City. HON. CARROLL D. WRIGHT, Clark College.

vi

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

BASIS FOR THE DEMAND FOR FEDERAL REGULATION OF RAILWAY RATES

CHAPTER I

ARE AMERICAN RAILWAY RATES EXCESSIVE?

General complaint of excessive charges. — Railway rates are not high in proportion to the cost of other means of transportation. — Comparison of American and foreign rates. — Effect of different conditions which prevail in other countries. — Reasons why rates should be lower in America. — Effect of lower wages and denser traffic in Europe. — Decline of rates in America compared with the decline in Europe. — The advance in American rates since 1899. — A nominal advance compared with a real decline. — The increased cost of operation. — It is essential that railway capital should be allowed a fair return. — Capitalization of American railways. — The returns upon the actual capital invested in railways have been moderate

CHAPTER II

1

FEDERAL CONTROL OF RATES IS NECESSARY

The chief ground of complaint against the railroads is that rates are unequally adjusted between different individuals and localities, — The great majority of discriminations between localities are necessary and justifiable. — Equalization of rates upon a mileage basis is impracticable. — Evils of setting a minimum or absolute rate. — Instances of unjustifiable discrimination between localities. — Causes which may produce discrimination between com-

CONTENTS

CHAPTER III

OBJECTIONS TO RATE-FIXING BY A COMMISSION

General scope of the present discussion. - Standard for determining reasonableness of rates. - Comparative rates. - Relative costs of service. - Necessary that full allowance in rates should be made for the comparative assignable costs of service. - Allowance must be made for the relative possibilities of developing a large traffic. Allowance must be made for the relative forces of competition. Little probability that the present Commission would give full weight to these various factors. - Difficulty of remedying local discriminations where the points in question are served by different roads. - Necessity of allowing a fair return. - Cases where such considerations do not enter. - Difficulty of determining the actual capital of a railroad. - Cost of reduplication the only proper standard. - What constitutes a fair return? - Would the Commission ellow a fair return? - State commissions. - Relativity of rates complained of. — Danger of rigidity of rates. — Experience in Great Britain. — Government rate-fixing destroys the incentive to extraordinary efficiency of management. - Integrity of the action of the Commission in all cases is not assured. - Sectional conflicts and political influence . . . 127 57

CHAPTER IV

THE INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACT AND ITS INTERPRETA-TION BY THE COMMISSION AND BY THE COURTS

The growth of Federal authority over interstate commerce. — Conditions which prevailed previous to the act of 1887. — Analysis of the Interstate Commerce Law. — The long and the short haul clause. — The San Bernardino Case. — The Fargo Sugar Case. — The Cartage Case. — The Middlesboro Case. — The Social Circle Case. — The Alabama Midland Case. — The Social Case. — The Calabama Midland Case. — The Spokane Falls Case. — The Griffin Case. — Georgia Railroad Commission Cases. — The Chattanooga Case. — The St. Cloud Cases. — The Piedmont Cases — 90

CONTENTS

CHAPTER V

THE INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACT AND ITS INTERPRETA-TION BY THE COMMISSION AND BY THE COURTS (concluded)

Discriminations between localities. — The Nashville Coal Case. — The Minneapolis Case. — The Savannah Naval Stores Case. — The Danville Case. — The LaGrange Case. — The Kearney Case. — The Hampton Case. — The Wilmington Case. — Dis-criminations between commodities. — The Window Shades Case. — The Oil Case. — The Import Rates Case. — The Hay Case. — Excessive rates. — The Delaware Grange Case. — The Coxe Coal Case. — The Iron Rates Case. — The Cattle Raisers' Associ-ation Case. — The Freight Russan Case. — The Orange Rate ation Case. — The Freight Bureau Cases. — The Orange Rate Case. — The Truck Farmers Case. — Miscellaneous. — The Kentucky and Indiana Bridge Case. — The Party Rates Case. —

CHAPTER VI

A RATIONAL PLAN FOR PUBLIC CONTROL OF RATES

The control of rates is a public duty. - The public should not be denied its proper share of the benchts accruing from improvements. — The present plan of rate control. — The work of the Commis-sion. — Reasons why the Commission has been so frequently over-ruled. —Attacks upon the *personnel* of the present Commission. - Its inconsistent functions. - Objections to the present system enumerated. - An impracticable plan. - The alternative of conferring rate-fixing power upon the Commission. — Constitutional objections. — The rates made in pursuance of the exercise of this discretionary power would not be subject to judicial review, except upon constitutional grounds. — The proposed amendment to the Hepburn Bill providing for judicial review. — An alternative plan providing for a special court. -- Such a court could not exercise discretionary powers. - It would have the power to determine to what extent a given rate is unreasonable, and it could enjoin the enforcement of the unreasonable rate for the future. - Objections

APPENDIX

THE ACT TO REGULATE COMMERCE

. 215