

**DIABOLOGY. THE
PERSON AND
KINGDOM OF SATAN**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649111954

Diabolology. The person and kingdom of Satan by Edw. H. Jewett

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

EDW. H. JEWETT

**DIABOLOGY. THE
PERSON AND
KINGDOM OF SATAN**

THE BISHOP PADDOCK LECTURES, 1889.

DIABOLOGY.

THE
PERSON AND KINGDOM
OF
SATAN.

BY

REV. EDW. H. JEWETT, S. T. D.

PROFESSOR OF PASTORAL THEOLOGY IN THE GENERAL THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY,
NEW YORK.

NEW YORK:
THOMAS WHITTAKER,
2 AND 3 BIBLE HOUSE,
1889.

THE
BISHOP PADDOCK LECTURES.

IN the summer of the year 1880, GEORGE A. JARVIS, of Brooklyn, N. Y., moved by his sense of the great good which might thereby accrue to the cause of CHRIST, and to the Church of which he was an ever grateful member, gave to the General Theological Seminary of the Protestant Episcopal Church certain securities, exceeding in value eleven thousand dollars, for the foundation and maintenance of a Lectureship in said seminary.

Out of love to a former pastor and enduring friend, the Right Rev. Benjamin Henry Paddock, D.D., Bishop of Massachusetts, he named the foundation "THE BISHOP PADDOCK LECTURESHIP."

The deed of trust declares that,—

"The subjects of the lectures shall be such as appertain to the defence of the religion of JESUS CHRIST, as revealed in the Holy Bible, and illustrated in the Book of Common Prayer, against the varying errors of the day, whether materialistic, rationalistic, or professedly religious, and also to its defence and confirmation in respect of such central truths as the Trinity, the Atonement, Justification, and the Inspiration of the Word of God; and of such central facts as the Church's Divine Order

and Sacraments, her historical *Reformation*, and her rights and powers as a pure and national Church. *And* other subjects may be chosen if unanimously approved by the Board of Appointment as being both timely and also within the true intent of this Lectureship."

Under the appointment of the board created by the trust, the Rev. Edward H. Jewett, S. T. D., delivered the Lectures for the year 1889, contained in this volume.

ANALYSIS.

LECTURE I.

	PAGE
INTRODUCTORY	I

Hostility to Creeds and Formulas of faith—Various assignable causes—Materialistic philosophy especially hostile to pneumatology—No legitimate *causus belli* between material science and Divine revelation—Resort to the theory of Accommodation—Its underlying fallacy—Materialism powerless in dealing with facts of pneumatology—Evils caused by the application of the theory—The subject demands a work of construction as well as of destruction—An *à priori* argument in favor of the existence of spiritual beings—Argument strengthened by the voice of religious instinct and sentiment—Still further strengthened by the requirements of God's moral attributes—God's word the highest, and ultimate authority.

LECTURE II.

MORAL PROBATION	31
---------------------------	----

The ever-abiding presence of moral evil—From what source did it originate?—Why was its existence or extension not prevented?—The overruling of evil for good does not justify its existence—A clue to the labyrinth of mystery to be found possibly, in the exercise of free moral agency—Applies to all conceivable forms of free moral agency—Character ethically considered cannot be created, but must be developed by free, self-directed action—Probation seemingly a necessity—It involves the possibility of

sinning—Views of the schoolmen—In what angelic probation consisted—How long it continued—Theories of Aquinas—Of S. Augustine—Of German theologians—The 19th Article of the Augsburg Confession—Under what circumstances did moral probation terminate in a fall?—Why were beings created under circumstances involving the necessity of a probation?—God's Omnipotence not limited by the impossibility of preventing free, moral defection—God's boundless wisdom, mercy, and justice ultimately manifested.

LECTURE III.

SATANIC PERSONALITY 65

A wide collapse of faith in a personal Satan—Ascribable in great measure to the working of reaction—Two antagonistic theories to be considered, *Dualism* and *Personification*—Dualism an element in all forms of natural religion—Its ground of failure as a philosophic speculation, or as a religious system—Personification as opposed to Dualism—Angels regarded as personifications of natural forces—Satan a mere symbol of evil principles, desires and gratifications—The arguments of Von Cölln, Schliermacher, Davenport, Dis, Bushnell and Parker—Argument from the assumed *negativity* of evil—The fallacy of the argument—Moral confounded with metaphysical good—Evil a positive power corrupting being, and debasing character—No assignable limit short of total depravity—No *à priori* improbability against the supposition of a powerful fallen angel having placed himself as head and leader of the hosts of darkness—As clearly defined personal characteristics ascribed to Satan in the Scriptures, as to the Holy Ghost.

LECTURE IV.

PARSEE AND HEBREW VIEWS COMPARED 98

Divine process of development within the sphere of the spiritual, as within that of the material—Mistakes resulting from failure in noting this—Assumption that the Jews obtained their view of

Satan and the hosts of darkness from the Persians—The theology of the Zoroastrians compared with that of the Jews, with regard to the existence and nature of God, of Satan, the work of Creation, and the Fall—Circumstances adverse to the assumption—The captivity in Babylonia, and not in Persia—The religion of the Babylonians a gross polytheism—The captivity a period of religious purification rather than of corruption—Equal if not greater probability that the Zoroastrians borrowed from the Jews, than the reverse—Subsequent intercourse at Alexandria—The collection of the Parsee Scriptures like that of the Hebrews cumulative, and extending through many centuries—Only in the later books of the Parsees is the claimed resemblance to be found—The main question one of ontology and not of nomenclature—Reasons why more definite statements were not made in the earlier scriptures—The Divine plan of development a necessity.

LECTURE V.

CHRIST'S TEACHING WITH REGARD TO EVIL.
AND THE EVIL ONE 133

God's revealed word the main and reliable ground of faith—Fullness of knowledge attained through various stages of development—In our Lord's experience and teaching especially, has truth been revealed—The personal conflict with Satan in the wilderness—Various views of the temptation—Christ not self-tempted—The *peccati non peccare*, and the *non peccati peccare*—The temptation in whatever form presented, must have been external—Christ's conceptions of Satanic personality and influence revealed in His subsequent teachings—Impossibility of understanding His statements on the theory of personification—His belief in Satanic personality further manifested by His speaking of him in connection with the powers of darkness—Further evidence in the cases of demoniac cure—The question of *Accommodation* considered—Its bearing upon the case repudiated—Neander's statement—The subsequent teaching of the Apostles in perfect harmony with those of Christ Himself.

LECTURE VI.

THE SIXTH PETITION OF THE LORD'S PRAYER 167

Objections raised against the change of "evil" for the "Evil One"
 —Such change could have been made only after careful examination, and under the influence of preponderating evidence—
 The Greek original seemingly demands the change from the abstract to the concrete—Various instances in which relevancy of connection and congruity of thought require the concrete—The revised rendering in perfect congruity with the whole prayer—
 Sustained by early exegesis—Primitive versions in its favor—
 The Peshito Syriac especially strong and unmistakable in its testimony—Early patristic statements, both Greek and Latin, support the concrete rendering—No exception to be found in the Ante-Nicene period—Statements of Origen, Cyril of Jerusalem, Gregory Nyssen, Chrysostom, Theophylact, Tertullian and Cyprian—Concurrence of Primitive Liturgies—The concrete rendering in most perfect harmony with our Lord's general teaching on the subject.