AN EXAMINATION OF THE SHELLEY MANUSCRIPTS IN THE BODLEIAN LIBRARY.

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649365951

An Examination of the Shelley Manuscripts in the Bodleian Library. by C. D. Locock

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

C. D. LOCOCK

AN EXAMINATION OF THE SHELLEY MANUSCRIPTS IN THE BODLEIAN LIBRARY.



AN EXAMINATION OF THE SHELLEY MANUSCRIPTS IN THE BODLEIAN LIBRARY

Being a collation thereof with the printed texts, resulting in the publication of several long fragments hitherto unknown, and the introduction of many improved readings into *Prometheus Unbound*, and other poems, by

C. LOCOCK, B.A.

OXFORD
AT THE CLARENDON PRESS

HENRY FROWDE, M.A.
FUBLISHER TO THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD
LONDON, EDINBURGH
NEW YORK

CONTENTS

MS	SHRILEY D1 .										PAGE 9-24
	100 mm A 1 mm A		9.			2(•)5		•	•:	•	
	Epipsychidion .		12	•		3(0)	•	•	*0	•	8
	From the Arabic					•	•	٠	•	•	18
	Ode to Naples .	S¥	19	33		1		¥2.	28	•	14
	The Witch of Atlas			(90)	e.		39 5);		63	93	18
	Unpublished Fragm	ents						٠.		10	21
MS.	SHELLEY D2 .	*	*	Se .	ž.				(4)		24-28
	Arethusa		12		i.e	339			3 . 43		24
	Hymn of Apollo		•	8		ŝ			(i.		25
	Hymn of Pan	×	*		·	97		8		10	25
	Laon and Cythna		*	œ	(*	16	0	39	•	•	26
MS.	SHELLEY E 1, E 2, E	8	ş								28-39
	Prometheus Unbour	nd		58 58	18	::e		96 -			28
	Cancelled or Unpub	lishe	l Pas	sages	in Pro	meth	eus T	Inbou	ınd		88
	Invocation to Miser	y	*		**						38
	Ode to Heaven	×.	*	3	3	38		3	69 .	•	39
MS.	SHELLRY E 4 .		e e	9		34		a	· ·		40-75
	Marenghi .							O.			40
	Ozymandias .					:: (4			74		46
	 '										

81.7689-60

CONTENTS
CONTENTS

iv

MS. SHELLEY E 4 (continued)				10				PAGE
Passage of the Apennines					334		•	940	47
Translation from Virgil's	Te	nth E	clogue	il.		900	57855		47
Prince Athanase .	-				19				50
To Constantia, Singing	3	38	5.0	94	(96)			100	60
To One Singing .	2.0	19.	()⊕		120	300	8.00		68
Unsatisfied Desires .	÷	1		77					68
Translation of the Cyclo	ps	30	14	2	84	5.0	55600	0.00	64
Unpublished Fragments	i.	9	()*	**	() * ()	(*E)	39.00		71
MS. SHELLEY E 5	:::		98.50	39				16065	75
To a Faded Violet .				1.7					75
Stanzas written in deject	ion	near l	Naple		3.	0.83	343		75

THE SHELLEY MSS. IN THE BODLEIAN LIBRARY

THE Preface to Mr. Buxton Forman's 1892 edition of Shelley's Poems contains the following statement:—

'The text being no longer the subject of such controversy as raged for years over it, I have given it in the form in which I think it may be regarded as established.' There is no necessity, I think, for so despondent a view. While manuscripts remain undeciphered, or incorrectly deciphered, we are not compelled to attribute to Shelley all those deficiencies in sense and metre which have been accepted, either with or without hesitation—often with peculiar admiration—for some sixty or eighty years.

The following notes are based on a careful and, as Bodley's Librarian would testify, a prolonged examination of all the manuscript poems in the Shelley Collection at Oxford.

What authority should be assigned to these manuscripts, in cases where they differ from the printed texts, I do not propose to discuss here. Speaking quite roughly, one may perhaps say that a manuscript reading may be regarded as having authority whenever it (1) gives sense instead of nonsense, or (2) is metrically more correct, or (3) is manifestly superior in sense and sound, or (4) is likely to be misprinted. Why manuscript readings which fulfil the first, second, or third of these conditions have not yet appeared in print is a difficult, perhaps even a delicate, question to answer. In some few cases the printer may have been to blame; in others perhaps the editor of the transcription. But those who remember Mrs. Shelley's description of her own editorial difficulties, and other graphic accounts of Shelley's methods of composition, will probably be inclined to blame, and at the same time to pardon, the transcriber. More especially should allowances be made for the pioneer

in these labours: those who follow his lead must expect little mercy if they go astray. Certainly many words are decipherable only with the greatest difficulty. Some are practically illegible: in such cases the sight of the word is only confusing, and it is often best to conjecture at night and verify the conjecture afterwards. In other cases, patience and additional experience may eventually give the clue. The mysterious She d hart (?) in the Prometheus, which Zupitza had given up as hopeless, became suddenly clear in the light shed by another equally illegible passage. But apart from difficulties of this kind, there is one source of inaccuracy to which a transcriber of rough drafts is peculiarly liable. After taking much trouble in deciphering the numerous cancelled words—often far more numerous than those which are left uncancelled—he may write them down hurriedly, and not infrequently, forget to cancel them: the result, for us, being the printing of lines containing one or more superfluous feet. Finally, the transcriber may fail occasionally in deciphering his own transcription.

For the convenience of those who may wish to verify the corrections and additions here given, I will take the contents of each notebook separately, naming them according to their titles in the Bodleian Catalogue. It will be noticed that the majority of the poems are posthumous.

SIGLA

A = First edition printed during Shelley's lifetime.

B = Bodleian Manuscript.

C=Mrs. Shelley's Collected Editions (1839, &c.). Where distinction is necessary, the first Collected Edition is referred to as C, and the others as C² &c.

P=Posthumous Poems (1824).

Square brackets denote words cancelled in B. Undeciphered and doubtful words are marked with asterisks.

DESCRIPTION OF MS. SHELLEY D 1

This notebook is bound in parchment: size, 8\frac{1}{2} in. by 6\frac{1}{2} in. 156 leaves.

Principal contents: an Epipsychidion fragment, The Witch of Atlas, and the Ode to Naples.

EPIPSYCHIDION

This occupies twenty pages of MS. Shelley d 1, from 102 v to 92 v. The title may be found on p. 108. It is evidently a first draft, and consists of three versions, more or less incomplete, of the Preface, a version in ink and pencil, much cancelled, of the last eighty lines of the poem, and some additional lines which did not appear in print. The verse portion of the draft is arranged in no particular order; in fact Shelley appears to have I have thought it best, begun near the end and worked backwards. however, to quote the lines in the order in which they eventually appeared in print. Judging that a first draft of perhaps the finest lines in Shelley's most wonderful poem should be of interest to his admirers, I have spared no effort in deciphering any words which appeared likely to yield as much as a complete line, whether such words happened to be cancelled or not. Considering the extraordinary confusion and illegibility of the MS., it is inevitable that many words here quoted should partake to some extent. of the nature of guess-work; and in many cases I may have guessed incorrectly. It should be understood however that these guesses are based on actual appearance, and that I have nowhere added a single word from pure conjecture.

Of the three Prefaces such portions only as are uncancelled are here printed.