A CONCISE VIEW OF THE LAW OF HUSBAND AND WIFE: AS MODIFIED BY THE MARRIED WOMEN'S PROPERTY ACTS. WITH AN APPENDIX OF STATUTES

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649467945

A Concise View of the Law of Husband and Wife: As Modified by the Married Women's Property Acts. With an Appendix of Statutes by Joseph Haworth Redman

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

JOSEPH HAWORTH REDMAN

A CONCISE VIEW OF THE LAW OF HUSBAND AND WIFE: AS MODIFIED BY THE MARRIED WOMEN'S PROPERTY ACTS. WITH AN APPENDIX OF STATUTES





LAW OF HUSBAND AND WIFE.

LONDON:

PRINTED BY C. F. ROWORTH, BERAM'S BUILDINGS, CHANCERY LAME.

PREFACE.

THE theory of the old law was that husband and wife are one person. The fundamental principle of the new law is that husband and wife are distinct persons, with independent, and possibly conflicting, rights.

I have endeavoured to state the old law—shortly, since existing standard works seem to render a fuller statement uncalled for—and to show the alterations made by the new law, with its varied application

according to the date of marriage.

The Married Women's Property Act, 1882, has left much to be supplied by judicial interpretation, the burden of which for some time will rest upon the judges of the County Court. I have endeavoured to suggest solutions of some of the many doubts arising upon the construction of this Statute, which will probably be found to be more far-reaching than its authors contemplated, and more radical than a casual perusal of its provisions might suggest.

This work, which it was intended should appear with the commencement of the Act, has been delayed

by pressure of other matters.

J. H. REDMAN.

2, New Court, Carry Street, Lincoln's Inn. February, 1883.

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.	
INTRODUCTORY	GR 1
CHAPTER II.	
RIGHTS OF HUSBAND AND WIFE IN RESPECT OF WIFE'S PROPERTY.	
SECT. 1. Property not being the Wife's separate Estate .	3
	12
3. Devolution of undisposed-of separate Estate .	32
(
CHAPTER III.	
RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF HUSBAND AND WIFE IN RESPECT OF PROPERTY POSSESSED BY WIFE AS EXE-	
CUTRIX, ADMINISTRATRIX OR TRUSTEE	37
s s &	
CHAPTER IV.	
Wife's Ante-nuptial Liabilities	46
5 3 3	
CHAPTER V.	
POST-NUPTIAL LIABILITIES CREATED BY THE WIFE.	
SECT. 1. Contracts	52
INTELLIGITATION TO THE TOTAL OF T	56
	58

CONTENTS.

		CHAI	TER	VI.					
CONTRACTS A	ND TRAN	SFERS B	ETWEE	N Hus	BANI	D AN	D W		62
		-							
		CHAP	TER	VII.					
Wife's Sepa	rate Tr	ADING	•		÷	٠	•		73
		12							
		CHAP	TER V	VШ.					
Wife's Pow	ers of I	NVESTME	ENT	33	<u>*</u> 2	(8)		*	78
		-	-						
		CHAI	PTER	IX.					
ACTIONS AGA	INST AND	D BY MA	RRIED	Wom	SN.	U.	9 9		80
		-							
		CHA	PTER	x.					
WIFE'S LIAN		o MAIN	TATN I	AUPE	в Нт	SBA	ND A	ND	52.23
Issur	36 39	*3	٠	S • S	×		•	٠	89
55		-	•						
		API	ENDI	X.					
MARRIED W	omen's I	PROPERT	ч Аст	, 1870	5 52		5 5		91
21	12	**	39			8¥	66		96
		12		1882			33		98
Rules of Tr Deeds b	ie Supri y Marrie	d Wome	RT as n, Dec	to Acl ember,	1882	ledg	ment	of	109
	_						•		
					_				
INDEX	127 3127	0 120	751 1						115

TABLE OF CASES.

A.	PAGE
PAGE	Brockbank v. Whitehaven
Acton v. White 29	Junction Rail. Co 83
Adair v. Shaw 38, 39, 42, 43	Brooke v. Brooke 21
Adams v. Gamble 23	Brown v. North 81
Archer v. Kelly 15	Brownrigg v. Pike 27, 32
Arnold v. Bidgood 38	Burrough v. Moss 52
v. Kayess 29	
v. Woodhams 43	
Ashworth e. Outram 5, 13, 73,	C.
74, 76	
Askew v. Rooth 34	Cage v. Acton 62
AttGen. v. Parnther 67	Campion v. Cotton 69
Atwood v. Chichester 80	Capel v. Powell 56
Avery v. Griffin 7, 41	Ospron v. Capron 32
	Oarr v. Carr
	Carter v. Anderson 67
	Castle v. Wilkinson 6
В.	Caton v. Rideout 68
	Christ's Hospital v. Budgin. 68
Back v. Andrew 3	Christie e. Ovington 41
Baggett v. Meux 29	Chubb c. Stretch 46
Baker v. Bradley 29	Clarke's Trust, Re 29
Barrack v. McCulloch 69	Clerke v. Clerke 38
Bartlett v. Gillard 67	Clifford v. Washington 39, 43
Batchelor's Estate, Re 12	Clive v. Carew 43
Bathe v. Bank of England 39	Clough r. Bond 43
Beard v. Webb 73	Coleman v. Overseers of Bir-
Bell v. Stocker 48, 84	mingham 89
Bennet e. Davis 22	Collett v. Dickinson 57
Beresford v. Armagh 66	Cocke v. Fuller 30
Bernard v. Minshull 26	Cooper c. Macdonald 23, 24, 29, 32
Bertie v. Chesterfield 34	Coward and Adams' Pur-
Besant v. Wood 63	chase, Re 21
Biffin v. Bignell 54	Croughton's Trust, Re 29
Bird e, Pegram 33	Croughton a Trust, Ite 25
Bishop e. Wall 26	V 8
Bland v. Dawes	D.
Bradshaw, Ex parte 37	2.5
- v. Beard 35	Dalton v. Midland Counties
Bricker v. Whatley 3	Rail, Co 52
Brinkman v. Brinkman 8	
Dimenia V. Dimenian 0	Dardier v. Chapman 10
R.	b