

**THE INTERDICT: ITS HISTORY AND  
ITS OPERATION, WITH ESPECIAL  
ATTENTION TO THE TIME OF  
POPE INNOCENT III, 1198-1216**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649144938

The interdict: its history and its operation, with especial attention to the time of Pope Innocent III, 1198-1216 by Edward B. Krehbiel

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**EDWARD B. KREHBIEL**

**THE INTERDICT: ITS HISTORY AND  
ITS OPERATION, WITH ESPECIAL  
ATTENTION TO THE TIME OF  
POPE INNOCENT III, 1198-1216**



PRIZE ESSAYS  
OF THE  
AMERICAN HISTORICAL ASSOCIATION

---

1907

To this Essay and to that by William S. Robertson on *Francisco de Miranda and the Revolutionizing of Spanish America*, since published in the first volume of the Annual Report of the American Historical Association for 1907, was awarded in equal division the  
HERBERT BAXTER ADAMS PRIZE  
IN EUROPEAN HISTORY  
for 1907

# THE INTERDICT

ITS HISTORY AND ITS OPERATION

WITH ESPECIAL ATTENTION TO THE TIME OF  
POPE INNOCENT III  
1198-1216

BY  
EDWARD B. KREHBIEL, Ph.D.  
INSTRUCTOR IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

---

UNIV. OF  
CALIFORNIA

PUBLISHED BY  
THE AMERICAN HISTORICAL ASSOCIATION  
WASHINGTON, 1909

BX1939  
IJK7

COPYRIGHT, 1909  
By THE AMERICAN HISTORICAL ASSOCIATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C.

TO THE  
AMERICAN HISTORICAL ASSOCIATION



BX1939

I5K7

To  
THE MEMORY OF  
MY FATHER  
CHRISTIAN KREHBIEL  
(Died April 30, 1900)

253729

## CONTENTS.

### INTRODUCTION.

#### THE SCOPE OF THIS ESSAY.

### CHAPTER I.

#### ORIGIN AND THEORY OF THE LOCAL INTERDICT.

	PAGE
I. Origin of the interdict: The interdict is a lineal descendant of excommunication . . . . .	4
1. Use of individual excommunication in the early church . . . . .	4
2. Change to general excommunication, which	
a. Grew out of the necessity of the church.	4
b. Was made possible by the decline of Roman law . . . . .	5
c. Was made common by the Teutons . . . . .	6
3. Faults of general excommunication . . . . .	7
a. Excessive severity . . . . .	7
b. Injustice . . . . .	7
4. Opposition to general excommunication on account of these faults produces local general interdict . . . . .	7
5. The interdict develops slowly; it is long confused with other disciplines . . . . .	8
II. The purpose of the interdict is that of an administrative order . . . . .	9

	PAGE
III. The theoretical effect of the interdict is to deprive a district of one or all of the following	
1. <i>Divina officia</i> , which include	
a. Offertory of the mass . . . . .	13
b. Canonical hours . . . . .	14
c. Sacramentals . . . . .	14
d. Services performed by a priest . . . . .	15
2. Sacraments . . . . .	15
a. Baptism . . . . .	15
b. Confirmation . . . . .	15
c. Penance . . . . .	15
d. Communion . . . . .	16
e. Extreme unction . . . . .	16
f. Ordination . . . . .	16
g. Marriage . . . . .	16
3. Canonical burial . . . . .	17

## CHAPTER II.

### THE LAYING OF AN INTERDICT.

I. Kinds of sentences . . . . .	19
1. <i>Interdictum latæ sententiæ</i> . . . . .	19
2. <i>Interdictum ferendæ sententiæ</i> . . . . .	19
II. Those officials can lay interdicts who have their authority	
1. <i>Jure divino</i> . . . . .	20
2. By virtue of position occupied . . . . .	22
III. Causes for interdicts . . . . .	25
1. Conditions harmful to society . . . . .	26
2. Conditions harmful to the church . . . . .	27
a. In its spiritual interests . . . . .	27
b. In its temporal interests . . . . .	29
3. The necessities of ecclesiastics . . . . .	34
a. From these causes developed abuses . . . . .	35