# INSANITY AND MENTAL DEFICIENCY IN RELATION TO LEGAL RESPONSIBILITY: A STUDY IN PSYCHOLOGICAL JURISPRUDENCE

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649095933

Insanity and mental deficiency in relation to legal responsibility: a study in psychological jurisprudence by William G. H. Cook

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## **WILLIAM G. H. COOK**

# INSANITY AND MENTAL DEFICIENCY IN RELATION TO LEGAL RESPONSIBILITY: A STUDY IN PSYCHOLOGICAL JURISPRUDENCE



## INSANITY AND MENTAL DEFICIENCY

In Relation to Legal Responsibility



## INSANITY AND MENTAL DEFICIENCY

IN RELATION TO

## LEGAL RESPONSIBILITY

A Study in Psychological Jurisprudence

WILLIAM G. H. COOK, LL.D. (Lond.)

Of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law; King Edward VII Research Scholar of the Middle Temple.

Thesis approved for the Degree of Doctor of Laws in the University of London.

180508.

LONDON:

GEORGE ROUTLEDGE & SONS, LIMITED. NEW YORK: E. P. DUTTON & CO.

1921

## DEDICATED TO

HENRY FURSE KEENE, O.B.E., Chief Officer of the Asylums and Mental Deficiency Department of the London County Council.



### FOREWORD

By

SIR JOHN MACDONELL, K.C.B., M.A., LL.D., F.B.A., late Senior Master High Court of Justice, Emeritus Professor of Comparative Law in the University of London.

Dr. Cook has the good fortune to treat of a subject rarely dealt with as a whole. There are very many decisions as to certain aspects of the civil responsibility of lunatics; their multitude and variety are perplexing. There is no want of text-books dealing with parts of this subject. But, while criminal liability has been studied as a whole, and an attempt, more or less successful, has been made by lawyers to construct a theory applicable to all offences, there has been no satisfactory attempt, so far as English law is concerned, to frame a theory of liability for torts and contracts and testamentary capacity. Dr. Cook's work, the result he tells us of an examination of upwards of two hundred leading cases and of the study of the laws of many foreign countries, is a novel and comprehensive survey much needed, and may prepare the way for a re-statement of our law in accordance with the teaching of modern psychology. It is still true, as Brett, L. J., remarked in 1879, that the law relating to civil responsibility of lunatics stands upon a very unsatisfactory footing.

It is not easy, and, indeed it may not be possible, to devise a precise common criterion of responsibility for delicts or torts and capacity for making contracts or wills. In the case of torts the essentials may be much the same as in the case of crimes; culpa or dolus must be a necessary element. The chief difficulty is as to torts (if any) for which there is an