

**INTERNATIONAL LAW  
TOPICS. THE DECLARATION  
OF LONDON OF FEBRUARY  
26, 1909**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649614929

International Law Topics. The Declaration of London of February 26, 1909 by Naval War College

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**NAVAL WAR COLLEGE**

**INTERNATIONAL LAW  
TOPICS. THE DECLARATION  
OF LONDON OF FEBRUARY  
26, 1909**



NAVAL WAR COLLEGE

INTERNATIONAL LAW TOPICS

---

---

The Declaration of London  
of February 26, 1909

1909



GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE  
WASHINGTON  
1910

## PREFACE.

---

On the invitation of Great Britain an International Naval Conference assembled at London, December 4, 1908, and signed the Declaration concerning the Laws of Naval War on February 26, 1909. This Declaration was the topic for consideration of the conference of the officers at the Naval War College during the past summer.

The delegates of the United States at the London Conference were Rear-Admiral C. H. Stockton, formerly president of the Naval War College, and Prof. George Grafton Wilson, of Brown University, lecturer on international law at the Naval War College. Professor Wilson presented, as fully as time allowed, to the officers of the conference at the Naval War College the main points of the Declaration of London and the reasons for the adoption of the Declaration by the representatives of the ten naval powers sending delegates to the London Conference.

The president of the college invites suggestions from officers of the service as to cases which may arise under the provisions of the Declaration of London.

JNO. P. MERRELL,

*Rear-Admiral, U. S. Navy, President.*

NAVAL WAR COLLEGE,

*Newport, R. I., September 28, 1909.*

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for transparency and accountability, particularly in the context of public administration and financial management. The text notes that without reliable records, it is difficult to track the flow of funds and ensure that resources are being used as intended.

2. The second part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data collection and analysis. It highlights that while modern technology offers powerful tools for data processing, the quality and consistency of the data itself can be a significant barrier. The document suggests that standardized protocols and training for data collectors are necessary to overcome these challenges and ensure that the information gathered is both accurate and useful for decision-making.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the role of communication in the implementation of various programs and policies. It argues that clear and consistent communication is vital for ensuring that all stakeholders understand their roles and responsibilities. The text also discusses the importance of regular reporting and updates to keep the public and other interested parties informed about the progress and outcomes of the initiatives.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the need for continuous monitoring and evaluation. It points out that simply implementing a program is not enough; it is equally important to assess its effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. The document suggests that a robust monitoring and evaluation framework should be in place from the start, allowing for timely identification of problems and the implementation of corrective actions.

5. The fifth and final part of the document concludes by emphasizing the importance of collaboration and partnership. It notes that many of the challenges discussed throughout the document can only be effectively addressed through the coordinated efforts of various organizations, government agencies, and community groups. The document encourages a spirit of openness and cooperation, suggesting that shared resources and expertise can lead to more successful and sustainable outcomes.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

---

THE DECLARATION OF LONDON:	Page.
Introduction.....	7
The call for the International Naval Conference.....	8
General report.....	12
Chapter I.—Blockade in time of war.....	24
Chapter II.—Contraband of war.....	56
Chapter III.—Unneutral service.....	98
Chapter IV.—Destruction of neutral prizes.....	110
Chapter V.—Transfer of flag.....	120
Chapter VI.—Enemy character.....	128
Chapter VII.—Convoy.....	138
Chapter VIII.—Resistance to search.....	144
Chapter IX.—Compensation.....	146
Final provisions.....	154
FINAL PROTOCOL OF THE LONDON NAVAL CONFERENCE...	162
DECLARATION CONCERNING THE LAWS OF NAVAL WAR...	169





## INTERNATIONAL LAW TOPICS.

---

### The Declaration of London, February 26, 1909.

#### INTRODUCTION.

At this time, June, 1909, the period for the ratification of the Declaration of London has not expired. It is also not certain that the Declaration will be ratified. It may be said, however, that even if the Declaration should not be ratified, that it will naturally have a great influence upon maritime international law, being an agreement reached after extended consideration by delegates appointed for the specific purpose by the leading naval powers. In cases which might come to the court at The Hague for decision great weight would be given to this Declaration because it indicates the basis of an agreement between representative powers having differing legal systems. In case of war a state might with propriety issue for the government of vessels of its naval forces regulations which should accord with the provisions of the Declaration of London. More than one-third of the delegates at the London Naval Conference which drew up the Declaration of London were naval officers of experience, one-half of these being of the grade of admiral. The military aspects of the subjects presented to the London Conference were given full consideration, as is shown in the proceedings of the Conference. (British Parliamentary Papers, Miscellaneous, No. 5 (1909) [Cd. 4555]).

It is considered that it will be most useful to the navy of the United States to furnish the general report of the Conference, the French text of which is the official inter-

pretation of the Declaration of London, together with the Declaration itself and certain documents relating to the Conference.

[NOTE.—The names of all delegates will be found on p. 162.]

THE CALL FOR THE CONFERENCE.

*Sir Edward Grey to His Majesty's representatives at Berlin, Madrid, Paris, Rome, St. Petersburg, Tokio, Vienna, and Washington.\**

FOREIGN OFFICE, February 27, 1908.

SIR: The convention for the establishment of an international court of appeal in matters of prize which formed Annex 12 to the Final Act of the Second Peace Conference has been under the consideration of His Majesty's Government.

2. Article 7 of the convention provides that, in the absence of treaty stipulations applicable to the case, the court is to decide the appeals that come before it, in accordance with the rules of international law, or if no generally recognized rules exist, in accordance with the general principles of justice and equity.

3. The discussions which took place at The Hague during the recent conference showed that on various questions connected with maritime war divergent views and practices prevailed among the nations of the world. Upon some of these subjects an agreement was reached, but on others it was not found possible, within the period for which the conference assembled, to arrive at an understanding. The impression was gained that the establishment of the international prize court would not meet with general acceptance so long as vagueness and uncertainty exist as to the principles which the court, in dealing with appeals brought before it, would apply to questions of far-reaching importance affecting naval policy and practice.

\* With the concurrence of all the Powers invited to the conference, the invitation was subsequently extended to the Netherlands Government.