

**NAPOLEON AND  
MACHIAVELLI;  
TWO ESSAYS IN  
POLITICAL SCIENCE**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649506903

Napoleon and Machiavelli; Two Essays in Political Science by Frank Preston Stearns

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**FRANK PRESTON STEARNS**

**NAPOLEON AND  
MACHIAVELLI;  
TWO ESSAYS IN  
POLITICAL SCIENCE**



**NAPOLEON AND MACHIAVELLI**



©  
NAPOLEON  
AND  
MACHIAVELLI

*Two Essays in Political Science*

BY

FRANK PRESTON STEARNS

AUTHOR OF "MODERN ENGLISH PROSE WRITERS"

"SKETCHES FROM CONCORD AND APPLEDORE"

"THE LIFE OF BISMARCK" ETC.



CAMBRIDGE  
Printed at The Riverside Press

1903

*In Memoriam*

CYRUS COBB

PATRIOT, SOLDIER AND SCULPTOR



1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in financial operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and techniques used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to ensure the validity of the results.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the analysis and interpretation of the collected data. It discusses the various statistical and analytical tools used to identify trends, patterns, and correlations in the data.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the implications and conclusions drawn from the analysis. It highlights the key findings and their potential impact on the organization's operations and decision-making processes.

5. The fifth part of the document provides a summary of the overall findings and recommendations. It emphasizes the need for continuous monitoring and evaluation to ensure the effectiveness of the implemented measures.

6. The sixth part of the document discusses the challenges and limitations encountered during the study. It highlights the need for further research and development to address these challenges and improve the overall quality of the data and analysis.

7. The seventh part of the document provides a conclusion and final thoughts on the study. It emphasizes the importance of ongoing communication and collaboration between all stakeholders involved in the process.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the future directions and potential areas for further research. It highlights the need for continued innovation and improvement in data collection and analysis techniques.

9. The ninth part of the document provides a list of references and sources used in the study. It includes a mix of academic journals, books, and online resources.

10. The tenth part of the document provides a list of appendices and supplementary materials. It includes detailed data tables, charts, and other supporting documents.

## PREFACE

If there is a science of politics, it must be developed as other sciences have been, by an examination and comparison of historical *data* with a view to the discovery of the causes which underlie important political phenomena, — and not, as is too often done, by judging of such phenomena according to purely empirical rules. It is equally fallacious to justify political action by its results, or to condemn it on *a priori* grounds; and it is only by the application of the inductive method that revolutionary periods, like those of Machiavelli and Napoleon, can be properly understood.

