

**AN INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF
MORAL EVIDENCE; OR, OF THAT SPECIES
OF REASONING WHICH RELATES
TO MATTERS OF FACT AND PRACTICE:
WITH AN APPENDIX, ON DEBATING FOR
VICTORY, AND NOT FOR TRUTH**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649055876

An Introduction to the Study of Moral Evidence; Or, of That Species of Reasoning Which Relates to Matters of Fact and Practice: With an Appendix, on Debating for Victory, and Not for Truth by James Edward Gambier

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

JAMES EDWARD GAMBIER

**AN INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF
MORAL EVIDENCE; OR, OF THAT SPECIES
OF REASONING WHICH RELATES
TO MATTERS OF FACT AND PRACTICE:
WITH AN APPENDIX, ON DEBATING FOR
VICTORY, AND NOT FOR TRUTH**

AN
INTRODUCTION
TO THE STUDY OF
MORAL EVIDENCE;
OR, OF THAT
SPECIES OF REASONING
WHICH RELATES TO
MATTERS OF FACT AND PRACTICE.
WITH AN
APPENDIX,
On debating for Victory, and not for Truth.

BY JAMES EDWARD GAMBIER, M. A.
RECTOR OF LANGLEY, KENT,
And Chaplain to the Right Hon. Lord BARRHAM.

THE SECOND EDITION ENLARGED.

London:

Printed for the Author, by J. Bryan, Grocers' Hall Court:

AND SOLD BY

MESSRS. RIVINGTON, ST. PAUL'S CHURCH-YARD.



WROY WEM
CLERK
YRARDLI

ERRATA.

Page 9. the Parenthesis should extend to the end of the 14th Line.

Page 88, Line 11, for *its*, read *our*.

— 88, — 30, for *these divine laws*, read *the laws of God*.

In several places a Semicolon is put, instead of a Comma, which the Reader is requested to correct.

NEW YORK
PUBLIC
LIBRARY

NYOY WEN
CLUB
YSAABU

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	Page
Preface	i.
CHAP. I.	
On the Nature of Moral Evidence, wherein it differs from Demonstration	1
Moral Evidence defined	—
Differs from Demonstration ;	
(1) As to its Subjects	2
(2) As to the Method in which it is conducted	3
(3) Because the Arguments on both Sides of a Question should be considered	—
(4) Because Propositions in Moral Evidence, though false, are not absurd	4
(5) As to the Kind of Assent which it produces	—
(6) Because it affords no Rule for judging with Certainty	5
(7) Because it admits of Degrees	—
(8) Because it admits an Accumulation of Proofs	6
(9) Because you cannot reason safely farther than one Step	—
(10) Because it does not compel the Assent ...	9
(11) Because it does not admit of as great Precision in Language	10
Is inferior to Demonstration, yet not to be rejected, and why	—

CONTENTS.

	Page
Two Extremes from not attending to the Difference between Moral Evidence and Demonstration	13
(1) Calling probable Proof Demonstration ..	—
(2) Disputing probable Proof, because not demonstrative.....	14

CHAP. II.

On the different Kinds of Moral Evidence, with Observations on the Weight of each..	15
1. Personal Observation.....	—
(1) Of Things uniform	17
(2) Of Things various.....	24
2. Testimony	27
Remote Testimony	35
3, Observation of others	36
4. General Notoriety.....	45
5. Report	47
6. Tradition	—
Internal Evidence. Analogy	48
Inferences from Facts or Premises. Presumptions	49
Consistency of the Parts of a Relation.....	53

CHAP. III.

General Directions relating to Moral Reasoning	54
1. Previous Qualifications	56
(1) Acquire fixed Principles of Evidence	—
(2) A Habit of enquiring after a Standard ...	—
(3) A Habit of referring Things to their End	58
(4) Acquire clear and precise Rules of Judgment	—

CONTENTS.

	Page
2. To determine whether we should engage in the Discussion	59
(1) Is the Question worth investigating.....	—
(2) Will it admit of free Examination	60
(3) Is it capable of a satisfactory Decision...	62
(4) Are we competent to its Discussion .. .	—
3. When we have determined to investigate it, we should	63
(1) Examine if it be fairly stated.....	—
(2) Form as clear Ideas of it as possible	64
(3) Consider of what Kind of Evidence the Subject admits	—
(4) Our Judgment of future Events should be regulated by Probability, not Possibility..	65
(5) Consider all Arguments on both Sides....	67
(6) Guard against the Fascinations of Expression.....	68
(7) Guard against Mis-statement of Degrees..	—
(8) Force of Proof depends on Weight of Arguments, not on their Number.....	69
(9) Determine the Weight of each Argument, sum up, and balance	—
(10) If both Sides be equal, suspend the Judgment, not otherwise	—
4. To determine the weight of an Argument, or the Probability of an Event	70
The Degree of Probability.....	75
Cautious.	
(1) Make Observations on as large a Scale as possible	77
(2) Take Care that the Circumstances are similar	78