THE MARRIED WOMEN'S PROPERTY ACT, 1870: ITS RELATIONS TO THE DOCTRINE OF SEPARATE USE, WITH NOTES

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649325870

The Married Women's Property Act, 1870: Its Relations to the Doctrine of Separate Use, with Notes by J. R. Griffith

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

J. R. GRIFFITH

THE MARRIED WOMEN'S PROPERTY ACT, 1870: ITS RELATIONS TO THE DOCTRINE OF SEPARATE USE, WITH NOTES

THE

MARRIED WOMEN'S PROPERTY ACT, 1870.

THE

MARRIED WOMEN'S PROPERTY ACT, 1870:

ITS RELATIONS TO THE

DOCTRINE OF SEPARATE USE:

Buith Notes.

By J. R. GRIFFITH, B.A., Oxon, of lincoln's inn, babrister-at-law.

SECOND EDITION.

LONDON:
STEVENS AND HAYNES,

**Eath Publishers,
BELL YARD, TEMPLE BAR.

1873.

LONDON:
PRINTED BY WILLIAM CLOWES AND SONS,
STAMFORD STREET AND CHARING CHOSS.

30

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

Since the "Notes on the Married Women's Property Act" were first written, but few cases appear to have arisen on the construction of the Act, and most of the questions, which require judicial decision, before the effect of the recent legislation on the general law of husband and wife can be ascertained, are still left untouched by authority. Such cases as have been reported will, it is believed, be found to have been noticed in the present Edition; while a few additions, suggested by the copious discussion to which the Act has given rise, have been made to the text. Under these circumstances the Author ventures to hope that the demand for a new Edition may be deemed a sufficient excuse for its appearance in so slightly-altered a form.

 Old Square, Lincoln's Inn, November, 1872.

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

The object of the Married Women's Property Act 1870, as defined in the Preamble is, "To Amend the Law of Property and Contract with respect to Married Women." Under the Act, the rights which women have hitherto enjoyed in Equity in respect of separate estate, are apparently recognised and adopted; while a most beneficial, if somewhat anomalous, position is given to them at Law. It has been attempted, in the following Notes, to give a summary of the cases, decided in Courts of Equity, on the rights and liabilities of married women in relation to their separate estate; and to suggest some changes, which may probably arise in the practice of the Courts, from the new status given to them by the Act.

 Old Square, Lincoln's Inn, January, 1871.

INDEX OF CASES.

	Α.			r	AGE	la			7	LGE
						Delaval, Pawlet v.	**		**	23
Alcock v. Alcock	99	200	1990	23,	26	De Visme, Re		**		29
Amphlett, Jay v.	ŞŞ -				28	D'Eyncourt, Hughes t		**	10.	18
Appleton v. Rowl					12	Dickenson, Owens v.	**		6,	13
Armstrong, Tulle					7	Downes v. Jennings			**	16
Ashton v. M. Dou				Ş.,	16	173				
4 74 4 4 4 4					23	E.			30	
12,000,00			270	0.5	1300	Ellison v. Ellison				19
	B.					Essex v. Atkins				23
7250 2 V	***				-52	Eyre v. Countess of Sh	afte	sbur	y	29
Baker, Scales v.					12	_				
Bank of England,					22	F.				
Barrack v. M'Cull				4, 23,	25	Fettiplace v. Gorges	2.5			2
Barticolomew's Es	tate,	In .			16	Fielder, Proudley v.	-	**	**	13
Bathe v. Bank of	Engl	and			22	Fladents Hadden	**	**	• •	7
Beynon v. Jones					28	Fladgate, Haddon v.	••	••	••	21
Bower v. Smith	50				20	Foliett v. Tyrer				
Bowes, Counters of	of Str			v.	16	Franks, Ex parte	••		• •	26
Brooke v. Brooke					23	Frankum, Gaston v.	••	**	4.4	4
Brooke, Parker v.				- 35	23	Freeman v. Pope	**		**	19
Brotheridge, Lech				20,		Fuller, Cooke v	**			21
Bubb, Pride v.				8, 7,		G.				
Bulmer v. Hunter		::		19,		U.				
Bustard v. Todd				0.400	28	Gallagher, Johnson v.	-	10000	4, 9,	13
		••	**	**	17	Gaston v. Frankum				4
Butler v. Cumpst		••	••	**	28	Goddard v. Snow	00			16
Butler, Ivens v.			***	**		Gofges, Fettiplace v.	35	188	104	2
Butlin's Trusts, I	n re		**	***	16	Graham v. Londonder				20
	C.					Grant v. Grant				23
	U.					Green v. Green	30			22
Caledonian Insu	rance	Ck	mpe	MY.		Greenbank, Hearle v.	::			21
Norris v					24	Gregory v. Lockyer				6
Carrington, Ex pe				4.	26	Grimes v. Harrison		**	**	18
Caton v. Rideout					23	Groves v. Groves	**	**	**	19
Cecil v. Juxon					7	. Groves v. Groves			**	10
Cockell, Rich v.				11	23	H.				
Cohen, White v.				23	27	particular and the management of the				11121
Colombine v. Pen		••		19,		Haddon v. Fladgate	33		**	7
Cooke v. Fuller	10000	••	3.5	10,	21	Hall v. Waterhouse			З,	12
	••	••			20	Harrald, Regina v.			**	26
Corp, Sturgis v.	X.					Harrison, Grimes v.	**			18
Countees of Shaft					29	Hearle v. Greenbank				21
Countess of Strath					16	Hine, Picard v		4,	6, 7,	26
Cumpston, Butler	10.			**	17	Hodgens v. Hodgens				29
	-					Holmes v. Penney	**	1		19
	D.					Hughes v. Layton				18
Darkin v. Darkin	ì.,	100		12	23	- v. D'Eyncourt				18
Davies, M'Henry						Hulme v. Tenant	-55		9393	ĩ
Dawes, Smallpiec					12	Hunter, Bulmer v.	11		19,	
Dawson v. Prince			11	**	27	Hutton v. Marriott			10000	2;
Dawson v. I fince			**	**		Trunchia at mistriage	• •	••	**	6.3

				-	AGE	lan en en en			_	ABR
(8)	I.				~~~	Peacock v. Monk		12	100	1
	-					Penhall, Colombine v			19	27
Ivens v. Butler					28	Penney, Holmes v.		•		19
						The same of the sa			6, 7,	
	J.					Pope, Freeman v	**	7,	21 1 5 4 4 7	
							••	**		19
Jay v. Amphlett				**	28	Pride v Bubb	**	••	8, 7,	
Jenkyn v. Vaugh	an	904	**	2960	19	Prince, Dawson v	4.0	**	**	27
Jenninga, Downe	8 v.	*			16	Proudley v. Fielder	+++	**		13
Johnson v. Gallag	cher			4, 9,	18					
Jones, Beynon v.					28	R.				
Juxon, Cecil v.		33 n		1813	7	Regina v. Harrald				26
a many over a	4.45					Road Lainer			**	18
	K.							- 65		10
\$25000000000000000000000000000000000000					Y2527	Reed v. Royal Exchan	-			010
Kennedy, Molony	0.	8.5	200	***	13	Company		27	**	24
	_					Rich v. Cockell	4.0	**	3000	23
	L.					Rideout, Caton v	• •	**		23
Tainers Port					10	Rowley, Appleton v.			**	12
Laing v. Reed		**		**	18	Royal Exchange Ass	nran	ce C	om-	200
Layton, Hughes		**	4.4	***	18	pany, Reed v				24
Lechmere v. Brot		600	••	20,		Rutton, Marshall v.				26
Little, Payne v.	**				23					90
Lockyer, Gregory		**	255	38833	6	8.				
Londonderry, Gra	hem	P.	44		20	C4 (1				
Lowe v. Thomas					20	St. George v. Wake		630		16
						Sanger v. Sanger	**	2.0	**	27
	M.					Scales v. Baker	**		82000	12
SECURIOR DE 1	1					Shaftesbury, Countess	of,	Eyre	v.	29
M'Culloch, Barra			10	4, 28,	25	Shattock v. Shattock	**	20		7
M'Dougall, Ashte		4.5			16	Smallpiece v. Dawes		1.0	10400	12
M'Henry v. Davis	8.0			(6, 7	Smith, Bower v				20
Marriott, Hutton	e.				26	Snow, Goddard v				16
Marshall v. Rutte		**		440	26	Stead v. Nelson		- 44		2
Mason v. Mitchel	1			200	11	Strathmore, Countess				16
Matthewman's, M				6, 17,		Sturgis e. Corp			0	20
Meads, Taylor v.					12	estalgas es coup	**	**	-,	20
Middleton's Will,				10.0	20	. т.				
		**	••	• •	11	AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.				
Mitchell, Mason		**	44			Taylor v. Meads	200	490	2	12
Molony v. Kenner		***	**		13	Tenant, Hulme v				1
Monk, Pencock v.		* *		4.4	1	Thomas, Lowe v	**		Siere:	20
Moore v. Webster		**	**		12	Todd, Bustard v				28
Morgan v. Morga	n.	5.5	**	2.5	21	Tullett v. Armstrong	200			7
						Twyne's Case	100			19
	N.					CD TO 11 .		**		21
Nelson, Stead v.					2	Tyrer, Police v	**	* *		
Norris v. Caled	mian	Tw		ance	•	V.				
			-		24	1 (2.0)				
Company	**	*.6	**		24	Vaughan, Jenkyn v.				19
	0.									
	O.					w.				
Owens v. Dickens	1011			6.	. 13	Wales St. Comme				
	1000	725	100			Wake, St. George v.		**		16
	P.					Waterhouse, Hali v.	**	**	3,	12
현상 발표되어	-					Webster, Moore v				12
Parker v. Brooke					23	White v. Cohen		**	4.	27
Pawlet v. Iblaval		**			23	Wood v. Woo		**		22
Payne v. Little					23	Woodward v. Woodwa	ird	. 833		23
more and the more and	957057	200	D-1059	42,000	0.045041		. 480.	200		000000