# HARVARD STUDIES IN JURISPUDENCE, VOL. I: THE ENFORCEMENT OF DECREES IN EQUITY

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649242863

Harvard studies in jurispudence, Vol. I: The enforcement of decrees in equity by Charles Andrews Huston

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## **CHARLES ANDREWS HUSTON**

# HARVARD STUDIES IN JURISPUDENCE, VOL. I: THE ENFORCEMENT OF DECREES IN EQUITY



## HARVARD STUDIES IN JURISPRUDENCE

VOLUME I

## THE ENFORCEMENT OF DECREES IN EQUITY

BY

## CHARLES ANDREWS HUSTON, S.J.D.

PROFESSOR OF LAW IN STANFORD UNIVERSITY RESEARCH SCHOLAR IN HARVARD LAW SCHOOL, 1912-1913.



## CAMBRIDGE HARVARD UNIVERSITY PRESS

LONDON: HUMPHREY MILFORD OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS 1915 T 1 = 9 = 1 = 1 -

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Harvard Law School in compliance with the terms of the Research Scholarship, June 16, 1913

COPYRIGHT, 1915 BY CHARLES ANDREWS HUSTON

Ŷ

17. 8 FT

# To ROSCOE POUND THIS ESSAY IS GRATEFULLY DEDICATED



### PREFATORY NOTE

This essay is written primarily to advocate an enlargement of the equity powers of American courts which will enable them to give a real effect to their decrees; for example, to transfer titles directly instead of by ordering a litigant to make the transfer. This is no innovation. Such power exists in more or less perfect form in most of the states of the Union. Its operation is not a matter of conjecture, but can be observed over a period of more than a century of practice, and in a wide variety of social conditions. But some states, and it is believed the Federal jurisdictions also, lack this power. Moreover it nowhere exists fully for all cases and its need has become more apparent to-day because of a definite trend in our legislation aiming at a restriction of the contempt process which constitutes the original, and still here and there the only, enforcing agency of our courts of equity.

But although the primary purpose of the essay is thus to urge a specific reform of happily no very extended character, the treatment given the subject has aimed at considering it in the light of its wider juristic aspects. It is regarded, in the first place, as a phase of the tendency to enlarge the remedial powers of our courts, to make their administration of relief more accurate and more effective than is possible now, where specific relief, either reparatory or preventive, is regarded as on the whole merely auxiliary to the substitutional relief of damages. And, in the second place, it is regarded as a part of the movement which has gradually attenuated the function of a trustee or other holder of the legal title to property, until to-day the beneficial owner who holds the equitable