

**REPORT OF THE
ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF
THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA, 1885-1886**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649296859

Report of the Attorney-General of the State of California, 1885-1886 by Various

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

VARIOUS

**REPORT OF THE
ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF
THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA, 1885-1886**

REPORT
OF THE
ATTORNEY-GENERAL
OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

STANFORD LIBRARY

1885-1886.



SACRAMENTO:
STATE OFFICE, : : : P. L. SHOAFF, SUPT. STATE PRINTING.
1887.

REPORT.

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, }
SACRAMENTO, —, 1887. }

To his Excellency GEORGE STONEMAN, Governor of California:

As required by law, I herewith submit the following report of the affairs of this office.

Very respectfully,

E. C. MARSHALL,
Attorney-General.

COPY OF ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET.

TITLE OF CAUSE.	In what County and Court Instituted and Tried.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution.	Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
<p>The People, etc., ex rel. E. C. Marshall, Attorney-General, vs. Petitioners Christian Reis, Treasurer, and Wm. M. Edgar, Auditor of City and County of San Francisco, Respondents, San Francisco Gas Light Company, Intervenor. Attorney-General for People, Wm. Craig, City and County Attorney, for Respondents. Garber, Thornton, & Bishop, for Intervenor.</p>	<p>Superior Court of San Francisco.</p>	<p>Civil.</p>	<p>Petition for mandate to compel Respondents to pay over certain money, interest on delinquent State taxes, to Petitioners. Complaint in intervention to compel payment of same to Intervenor.</p>	<p>Petition filed December 21, 1884. Intervention filed December 28, 1884. Answer filed December 28, 1884. Petitioners' answer to intervention filed December 29, 1884.</p>		
<p>The People, etc., ex rel. C. T. Settle, Plaintiffs, vs. E. P. Reed and C. E. M. Reed et al. Defendants. D. W. Herrington, Counsel for Plaintiffs.</p>	<p>Superior Court of Santa Clara County.</p>	<p>Civil.</p>	<p>Action to declare certain lands in the City of San José to be public streets, etc.</p>	<p>Petition for leave to use name of the People filed January 23, 1885. Bond filed and leave granted January 23, 1885.</p>		<p>Box "S."</p>

<p>The People, etc., ex rel. E. C. Marshall, Attorney - General, Plaintiffs, vs. Reclamation District No. 67 and D. H. Arnold, T. M. Phelps, and James Boluden, Defendants. H. M. Albery, of counsel for Plaintiffs.</p>	<p>Superior Court of Colusa County.</p>	<p>Civil.</p>	<p>Complaint to obtain judgment declaring said Reclamation District to have been illegally formed.</p>	<p>Complaint filed by Attorney-General February 3, 1885.</p>
<p>The People, etc., by E. C. Marshall, Attorney-General, ex rel. John P. Quigley, Plaintiffs, vs. George C. Stanley and Frank McCormick, Defendants. Mich. Nullaney, counsel for People.</p>	<p>Superior Court of Alameda County.</p>	<p>Civil.</p>	<p>Complaint to remove and abate a public nuisance on a highway in said Alameda County.</p>	<p>Petition for leave to use name of the People filed and leave granted February 7, 1885.</p>
<p>The People, etc., ex rel. C. T. Settle, Plaintiffs, vs. San José Gas Company, a corporation. D. W. Herington, counsel for Plaintiffs.</p>	<p>Superior Court of Santa Clara County.</p>	<p>Civil.</p>	<p>By complaint to abate a nuisance caused by Defendant.</p>	<p>Petition for leave to use name of the People filed and leave granted February 13, 1885. Petition processed and filed February 23, 1885.</p> <p>Box "S."</p>
<p>The People, etc., ex rel. Plaintiffs, vs. W. F. Holcomb, Defendant. J. L. Campbell, counsel for Plaintiffs.</p>	<p>Superior Court of San Bernardino County.</p>	<p>Civil.</p>	<p>By complaint to determine right of Defendant to hold office of Clerk of said county.</p>	<p>Petition for leave to use name of the State filed and leave granted February 28, 1885.</p> <p>Box "H."</p>

COPY OF ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET—Continued.

TITLE OF CAUSE.	In what County and Court Instituted and Trisd.	Character of Action.	Modes of Prosecution.	Stages of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandums of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
The People, etc., ex rel. The Great Western Quicksilver Mining Company, Plaintiffs, vs. L. Elizabeth White, Defendant.	Superior Court of	Civil.	By complaint to annul patent issued to Defendant.	Petition for leave to use name of the People filed March 2, 1885. Leave granted and bond approved and filed March 7, 1885.		Box "W."
The People, etc., ex rel. Michael Timmins, Plaintiffs, vs. Thomas Nodden, Defendant.	Superior Court of Humboldt County.	Civil.	Complaint to abate a nuisance, and have a certain street declared a public highway.	Petition for leave to use name of the People filed and leave granted March 12, 1885.		Box "T."
The People, etc., ex rel. Ezra Wallen, Plaintiffs, vs. Henry S. Morris, Defendant.	Superior Court of Lake County.	Civil.	Complaint to set aside patent to certain lands in said Lake County.	Petition for leave to use name of the People filed and leave granted April 30, 1885. Bond approved and filed April 30, 1885.		Box "M."
The People, etc., ex rel. E. W. Hendrick, Plaintiffs, vs. Joseph Nash, Defendant.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Civil.	Complaint to quiet title to certain lands in said county, held by Defendant.	Petition for leave to use name of the People filed and leave granted April 30, 1885.		Box "N."
The People, etc., ex rel. E. C. Marshall, Attorney - General, Plaintiffs, vs. Perrie Kewen, Defendant, Wallace & Hastings, attorneys for Relators.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil.	By complaint to determine the right of Defendant to exercise the office of Registrar of Hastings College of the Law.	Petition for leave to sue in the name of the People, etc., filed and leave granted May 19, 1885.		Box "H."

<p>The People, etc., ex rel. E. C. Marshall, Attorney - General, Plaintiffs, vs. The Omnibus Railroad and Cable Company, and A. W. Woods, Defendants. J. M. Woods, attorney for Relators.</p>	<p>Superior Court of San Francisco.</p>	<p>Civil.</p>	<p>By complaint to abate a public nuisance, maintained by Defendants.</p>	<p>Petition for leave to sue in the People's name filed and leave granted May 28, 1885.</p>	<p>Box "S."</p>
<p>The People, etc., ex rel. W. C. Neumeier et al. Plaintiffs, vs. Alexander R. Baldwin, Defendant.</p>	<p>Superior Court of San Francisco.</p>	<p>Civil.</p>	<p>By complaint to have certain streets declared public highways.</p>	<p>Petition for leave to sue in name of the People filed and leave granted July 28, 1885.</p>	<p>Box "N."</p>
<p>The People, etc., ex rel. J. H. Miller, Plaintiffs, vs. The Crystal Ice Company, et al., Defendants.</p>	<p>Superior Court of San Francisco.</p>	<p>Civil.</p>	<p>By complaint to dissolve the defendant corporation.</p>	<p>Petition for leave to use name of the People, etc., filed and leave granted July 7, 1885. Bond approved and filed July 7, 1885.</p>	<p>Box "M."</p>
<p>The People, etc., ex rel. The City of Stockton, Plaintiffs, vs. B. F. Langford et al., Defendants.</p>	<p>Superior Court of San Joaquin County.</p>	<p>Civil.</p>	<p>By complaint to determine the rights of the Defendants to certain lands claimed by Plaintiffs' relator.</p>	<p>Petition for leave to sue filed and leave granted July 20, 1885.</p>	<p>Box "S."</p>
<p>The People, etc., ex rel. A. E. Baldwin, Plaintiffs, vs. The City and County of San Francisco.</p>	<p>Superior Court of San Francisco.</p>	<p>Civil.</p>	<p>By complaint to quiet title to certain real property in San Francisco.</p>	<p>Petition for leave to use name of the People filed and leave granted July 20, 1885.</p>	<p>Box "B."</p>

COPY OF ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET—Continued.

TITLE OF CASE.	In what County and Court Located and Tried.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution.	Stages of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
The People, etc., ex rel. E. P. Reed, Plaintiffs, vs. Valentine Koch, Defendant.	Superior Court of Santa Clara County.	Civil.	By complaint to determine right of Defendant to exercise the office of Councilman of the City of San José.	Petition for leave to sue in name of the People filed and leave granted July 12, 1885.		Box "R."
The People, etc., ex rel. P. Ichihon, Plaintiffs, vs. G. W. Jones, Defendant.	Superior Court of Santa Clara County.	Civil.	By complaint to determine right of the Defendant to exercise the office of Councilman of the City of San José.	Petition for leave to sue in name of the People filed and leave granted July 12, 1885.		Box "L."
The People, etc., ex rel. John Lynch, Plaintiffs, vs. M. C. Williams, et al., Defendants.	Superior Court of San Bernardino County.	Civil.	By complaint to set aside the patent to certain lands in said county.	Petition for leave to sue in name of the People filed and leave granted August 3, 1885. By complaint ^{order} filed August 3, 1885.		Box "L."
The People, etc., ex rel. John Lynch, Plaintiffs, vs. G. W. Little, and John B. Clark, Defendants.	Superior Court of San Bernardino County.	Civil.	By complaint to annul certificates of purchase to certain lands held by Defendants, and to set aside the patent issued thereon.	Petition for leave to sue in name of the People filed and leave granted August 3, 1885. Bond approved and filed August, 1885.		Box "L."
The People, etc., ex rel. John Lynch, Plaintiffs, vs. William H. Heading, Defendant.	Superior Court of San Bernardino County.	Civil.	By complaint to annul certificates of purchase to certain lands held by Defendants, and to set aside the patent issued thereon.	Petition for leave to sue in name of the People filed and leave granted August 3, 1885. Bond approved and filed August, 1885.		Box "L."