

**THE PREVENTION OF  
STRICTURE, AND OF  
PROSTATIC OBSTRUCTION**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649244836

The Prevention of stricture, and of prostatic obstruction by Reginald Harrison

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**REGINALD HARRISON**

**THE PREVENTION OF  
STRICTURE, AND OF  
PROSTATIC OBSTRUCTION**



*Wm W. Harrison M.D.*

THE

PREVENTION OF STRICTURE;

AND OF

PROSTATIC OBSTRUCTION.

LANE LIBRARY

BY

REGINALD HARRISON, F.R.C.S.,

SURGEON TO THE ROYAL INFIRMARY,  
MEMBER OF COUNCIL LIVERPOOL UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, AND ONE OF THE  
PROFESSORS OF CLINICAL SURGERY IN ITS MEDICAL FACULTY.



LONDON:

J. & A. CHURCHILL, NEW BURLINGTON STREET.

LIVERPOOL:

ADAM HOLDEN, CHURCH STREET.

1881.

EY

L'AMÉRIQUE LIBRAIRIE

N62  
H32  
1881

## P R E F A C E .

---

THE first article, *On the Prevention of Stricture*, originally appeared in the *Lancet*, of May 15th, 1880: it is now reproduced with some additions, and I have coupled with it a paper *On the Prevention of Prostatic Obstruction*. These conditions are so frequently followed by results of an analogous kind that I have thought it convenient to consider them together, and at the same time to endeavour to show what may be done towards their prevention.

88, RODNEY STREET, LIVERPOOL,  
October, 1881.

10  
11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23



## THE PREVENTION OF STRICTURE.

---

THE Prevention of Stricture of the Urethra is a direction of inquiry hardly less important than that of the treatment of stricture itself.

It may be stated generally that, with the exception of strictures caused by injuries to the urethra, such as contusions, and by cicatrices, the preliminary stage is one of more or less chronic inflammation proceeding from a specific disorder. This condition of inflammation is distinctly a curable one, whilst its effect may be amenable only to relief.

It will, I think, be at once admitted that there are very few practitioners who have not at times experienced the greatest difficulty in effecting the cure of gleet—in arresting the small quantity of urethral discharge which persistently, and in spite of all treatment, local and general, remains after the acuteness of a gonorrhœa has abated. Many patients have in despair given up the attempt to obtain a cure, and so have incurred the risk of a stricture as a consequence.

And yet what is all this trouble about? Simply a slight purulent discharge from a chronically inflamed patch in the urethra, which, by reason of its position, presents difficulties in its treatment. It is a lesion which, if it occurred in one of the more accessible

spaces in the body, such as the mouth, nose, or larynx, where remedies can be directly and unerringly applied, there would not be the least difficulty in curing.

For the treatment of gleet a great variety of means and appliances have been suggested, including internal remedies in the shape of what are called anti-blennorrhagics, which are chiefly remarkable for their very nauseous taste; astringents of all kinds and degrees, applied locally as injections, or in the form of urethral pessaries; potent injections of caustics, which are only to be used by the practitioner himself with a suitable instrument; urethral brushes for the sweeping of the canal with a variety of solutions; insufflators for throwing in powders; contrivances for the application of ointments to the deeper portion of the urethra, *portes caustiques*, and the urethral speculum, or, as it is called, the endoscope—have all in their turn been brought into play. And for what purpose? For drying up somewhere about two minims *per diem* of muco-purulent discharge, which, were it not offensive to the sight, would probably be overlooked. We are often told in text-books that a persistent gleet is a sure forerunner of organic stricture; in fact, that a gleet may be regarded as indicating that a stricture is forming. By a train of reasoning, the force and soundness of which I am unable to recognise, the relative positions of gleet and stricture, as parts of a continued inflammatory process, have been transposed. We are

now told that pathologically we have been putting the cart before the horse, and that, as a matter of fact, from henceforward we are to understand that a gleet is an intimation to us, not that a stricture *will* form, but that it already exists.

If we admit the truth of this, and set aside our old-fashioned dogma about gleet being indicative of the inflammatory process that precedes the formation of a stricture, and so agree to alter the relative positions of the two, we shall then be prepared to adopt the view that a gleet can be effectually cured only by removing the stricture of which it is merely a symptom. And this latter we are asked to do by the employment of a dilating urethrotome and dilators, the use of which, judging from their size, would at once be misunderstood if they were described as being adapted for the treatment of stricture. Hence they are spoken of as instruments to be used in cases of gleet for the purpose of bringing urethras up to their "individuality," as if there were grounds for believing that in respect of form there is any more "individuality" about a man's urethra than about his rectum.

I must say that I viewed with positive horror the idea of performing an internal urethrotomy on a patient for a gleet on some presumptive evidence that his urethra lacked "individuality." I do not admit the soundness of the reasoning by which the different relationship of gleet to stricture is supposed to be proved, or the propriety of the practice which it is proposed to base upon it. In several instances which