# WOMEN UNDER THE LAW OF MASSACHUSETTS: THEIR RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, AND DISABILITIES

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

### ISBN 9780649444830

Women Under the Law of Massachusetts: Their Rights, Privileges, and Disabilities by Henry H. Sprague

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## **HENRY H. SPRAGUE**

# WOMEN UNDER THE LAW OF MASSACHUSETTS: THEIR RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, AND DISABILITIES



# Women

Under the

# Law of Massachusetts

Their Rights, Privileges, and Disabilities

> By Henry H. Sprague

> > Second Edition

Boston Little, Brown, and Company 1903

THE former edition of "Women under the Law" was published in the year 1884. It was prepared, as then stated, "with the purpose of pointing out in a simple manner, without the use of technical terms, the position reached and now occupied by women, so far as it is different from that of men, under the laws of this Commonwealth." The changes which have been made in the period of twenty years by successive statutes have rendered the statement then made entirely inadequate as an expression of the present status of the law. The endeavor is again made to state "the law as it now exists." Again the statement is offered to the public not as a legal treatise, but as simply an attempt to state intelligibly the rights, privileges, and disabilities of women under the law of Massachusetts, especially so far as they are different from those enjoyed by or imposed upon men.

BOSTON, April, 1903.

# Contents

	PA	G.
1.	PAST LAW AND LEGISLATION	1
	1. The Ancient Law	1
	2. The Common Law	2
	3. Early Statutes	5
	4. Later Statutes	9
II.	Public Privileges and Disabilities	11
	1. Citizenship and Naturalization	11
	2. Voting at Elections	13
	3. Holding of Office , ,	16
	4. Taxation	21
		22
	7. H. T.	23
	7. Settlement	23
	8. Majority and Age of Consent to Marriage	24
	g. Labor and Other Protection	25
ш.	PROPERTY. — RIGHTS AND DISABILITIES OF MAR- RIED WOMEN	27
	1. Holding of Property	27
	2. Engaging in Business on Separate Account .	32
	3. Contracts between Husband and Wife	35
	4. Gifts between Husband and Wife	40
	이 제가하는 맛있다면 하게 되었다면 가장 하는데 모양이 모양이 되었다면 하지만	42
	[12] [14] [14] [14] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15	45
	· (1) - [12] 41 - [12] 41 - [12] 42] 42] 42] 42 - [12]	49
	8. Descent and Distribution of Property at Death	52

vi	CONTENTS	
		PAGE
	<ol> <li>Disposal of Property by Will</li> </ol>	58
	10. Marriage Contracts	61
	11. Rights of Burial	63
	12. Effects of Separation and Divorce	64
	13. Married Women coming from Other States or	
	Countries	
IV.	PERSONAL RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS	68
	1. Husband's Authority over Wife	68
	2. Husband's Obligation for Maintenance of Wife	71
	3. Husband's Liability for Wife's Criminal and	550.50
	Illegal Acts	73
	4. Rights of Husband and Wife as to Testifying	
	Regarding Each Other	
	5. Rights to Divorce	77
	6. Rights to Care and Custody of Children	77
	7. Guardianship of Children	
	8. Rights to Property of Children	
	9. Obligation for Maintenance of Children	81
	3. 4B-mon and semination and adjusted 1 1 1	
V.	GENERAL SUMMARY	85

## TABLE OF CASES

														P	AG
Abbott v. Abbott		•		•		-		- 65			٠	81			5
- v. Winchest	er		*	000		*									3
Ago v. Canner . Alley v. Winn .															2
Alley v. Winn .															7
Atlantic National	Ba	ınk	v.	Ta	yer	ær		٠	٠		٠			37	, 4
Baldwin v. Foster	٠.			*20					-040				chor <b>is</b> c	<b>.</b>	8
Baldwin v. Foster Bancroft v. Curtis															2
Bartlett v. Cowles		- 63	42	35	٠				8						70
Bassett v. Bassett		¥1:	vi	•							20	-			1
Bigaouette v. Par	det			1941 		-	•			nerezen.			08	0.5	70
Bigaouette v. Par Binney v. Globe	Na	ion	al :	Вал	k	- 22								2013	30
Blandin, In re .		100	20	- 25		- 50	07 <b>.</b> E	9340	0120	0140	60		0.00	0.2	41
Bowker v. Bradfo Brettun v. Fox . Brow v. Brightma	rd										004	234	24		3
Brettun v. Fox .	7	- 25		- 83									10	7.57	5
Brow v. Brightma	n	8	Ü	- 80	- iii										8
Brown v. Brown	-	-		10	40								12	224	41
Browning v. Cars	on			- Acc					•						34
Browning v. Cars Burney v. Childre	n's	He	SD	ital								35	lg.	33	64
Butler v. Ives .	•				•	•						•	Ĭ,	38,	39
Cairns v. Colburn Camerlin v. Palme Chapin v. Chapin	•	•	63	<b>C</b> S	•	100		:••:			(C)*	8			40
Camerlin v. Palme	er (	Co.										٠			72
Chapin v. Chapin								٠,							66
Chapman v. Brigg	25			0.00			0.00			85					22
Clark v. Patterson Cole v. Eaton .	١.			•										37.	43
Cole v. Eaton .															83
Commonwealth v.	B	LTTY													74
• v. Caponi • v. Carroll •			٠											100	77
— ν. Carroll .													70.	74	76

### viii

### TABLE OF CASES

													21	LGE
Commonwealth v. Ch — v. Eagan	en	ey												75
- v. Eagan														74
- v. Flaherty .				•						3				74
υ. Gannon'	*	**			્વ			27.00				28	2.0	76
v. Graham														80
v. Hayes														76
— v. Hill	٠	٠	٠	٠		0.0		٠	•					74
v. Lewis	•	•	35.		25.0	os <b>t</b> o		09 <b>±</b>	3.0	26		22	25	75
v. Mc Afee .														69
v. Munsey									•					74
v. Neal	•0	•10	•			) <b>(</b>	() <b>.</b>	0.0			(I)		74.	75
v. Pratt	70	20		-								51.5	74,	76
— v. Tryon													:	75
														74
- v. Williams .	•3		٠		(S. 0.)	(3. <b>9</b>		ŭė.	<b>⊙</b> €		30	33	÷	31
— v. Wood Conant v. Burnbam				4									70,	74
Conant v. Burnbam					4						•			73
Conner v. Shepherd	•	4	•								9	9		56
Constantinides v. Wa	lsl	١,	. 10	. 100			:::•::		83	32		93		73
Cowdrey v. Cowdrey	. 30													51
Cunningham v. Reard	lo	<b>a</b> .	•		٠			•	•				<u> </u>	74
Davis v. Caldwell .	28	17	20	::: <u>::</u> :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	1000	.0020	(Sec.)	100250	072-0	1572	192	100	7.5	80
Dawes v. Howard .														82
Dawes v. Rodier .	•	*	712 <b>3</b> 57	0.70	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	0050	505F			RE	2.5	135	2.75	34
Dawes v. Rodier . Debinson v. Emmons						•		1			30			42
Degnan v. Farr														38
Deshon v. Wood .														38
Desmond v. Young											8		10	34
Desmond v. Young Dexter v. Booth .						1		28		33				76
Doyle v. Coburn .								200					32	50
														78
Dumain v. Gwynne Durell v. Hayward .	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•		•	•	•		•	64
Eames v. Sweetser.		1	7.40					174		્	:	٠		71
Eames v. Sweetser. Edwards v. Stevens	٠		٠	٠	ě	•	•	•	0.	•			*	37
Feran v. Rudolphsen Fisk v. Cushman .	•		٠	٠	٠		•	•	•	٠	ě	•	·	33
Fisk v. Cushman .											្			41

	7	CA	BI	Æ	OB	C	AS	ES							ix
														P	AGE
Fowle v. Torrey	•0	•	•33		S#3			0010		60 <b>%</b> 55	3. <b>.</b>		37	43	44
Frankel v. Frankel															44
French v. French	¥.														76
Fuller v. Fuller .															
Gleason v. Boston Goodnow v. Shattu		¥0	•:-	• ::-					sie•e	÷	6			82	, 83
Goodnow v. Shattu	ck													-	71
Gordon v. Dix .	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	ě		•	34	31
Hamilton v. Lane	•	9							•	٠				40,	73
Handy v. Foley.	•													75	76
Harmon v. Old Col	on	y :	R.	R.	-00									36,	69
Hawkins v. Provid	enc		&	Wo	rce	este	T F	1.5	R. (	Co.			0.04		30
Hill w. Wright .															34
Holbrook v. Finney	7			1											47
Holmes v. Winches	ter			200					5746				111	112	44
Horgan v. Pacific I	Mil	ls	•	•	10		•	•	•	•		•	٠	82,	83
Ingersoll v. Hopkir	13	¥.	73				:					i L		ii.	61
Ingham v. White															
Jacobs v. Hesler			-					•	•	1				1	43
Jacobs v. Hesler Jenkins v. Holt .	•	100	٠	•	•	•	-	•			٠	٠		) <u>.</u>	62
Kelley v. N. Y. N.	н	8	: I	I. I	2. 1	R.	Co.				•		30	8	70
Kelly v. Drew .															29
King v. Stetson .		i i			- 53										47
King v. Stetson . Kirchgassner v. Ro	die	k		33								1		<u>.</u>	69
Kneil v. Egleston	٠	80	•	•	•	• 0		٠		•	•	ŀ		34	43
Lockwood v. Corey				•	•			•							34
Lombard v. Morse												•			44
Lord v. Parker .	•	6	•	•	•		٠		٠		•			•	37
Major v. Holmes	•	•	•:-	00 • 00		53 <b>•</b> 04						ו			37
Marshall v. Berry								•						42.	48
- v. Jaquith .												0	31.	41.	42
Martin v. Martin					•				234				•		39
Mayhew v. Thayer															72