THE SCHOOL OF ABUSE, CONTAINING A PLEASANT INVECTIVE AGAINST POETS, PIPERS, PLAYERS, JESTERS, &C. AN APOLOGY FOR ACTORS. IN TREE BOOKS Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649471812

The School of Abuse, Containing a Pleasant Invective against Poets, Pipers, Players, Jesters, &C. An Apology for Actors. In Tree Books by Stephen Gosson & Thomas Haywood

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

STEPHEN GOSSON & THOMAS HAYWOOD

THE SCHOOL OF ABUSE, CONTAINING A PLEASANT INVECTIVE AGAINST POETS, PIPERS, PLAYERS, JESTERS, &C. AN APOLOGY FOR ACTORS. IN TREE BOOKS



SCHOOL OF ABUSE,

CONTAINING

A PLEASANT INVECTIVE

AGAINST

POETS, PIPERS, PLAYERS, JESTERS, &c.

BY

STEPHEN GOSSON.

WITH AN INTRODUCTION REGARDING THE AUTHOR AND HIS WORKS.



LONDON:

REPRINTED FOR THE SHAKESPEARE SOCIETY.

1841.



Kies as Hall

COUNCIL

OF

THE SHAKESPEARE SOCIETY.

President.

THE MOST NOBLE THE MARQUESS OF NORMANBY.

Bice-Presidents.

RT. HON. LORD BRAYBROOKE, F.S.A. RT. HON. LORD F. EGERTON, M.P. ET. HON. THE EARL OF GLENGALL. RT. HON. LORD LEIGH.

AMYOT, THOMAS, ESQ., F.R.S., TREAS. S.A. AYRTON, WILLIAM, ESQ., F.R.S., F.S.A. BRUCE, JOHN, ESQ., F.S.A. CAMPBELL, THOMAS, ESQ. COLLIER, J. PAYNE, ESQ., F.S.A., DIRECTOR. COURTENAY, RT. HON. THOMAS P. CRAIK, GEORGE L., ESQ. DILKE, C. W. ESQ. TREASURER. DYCE, REV. ALEXANDER. HALLIWELL, J. O. ESQ., F.R.S., F.S.A., &c. HARNESS, REV. WILLIAM. JERROLD, DOUGLAS, ESQ. KENNEY, JAMES, ESQ. KNIGHT, CHARLES, ESQ. MACREADY, WILLIAM C., ESQ. MADDEN, SIR F., F.R.S., F.S.A., KEEPER OF THE MSS. IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM. MILMAN, REV. HENRY HART. TALFOURD, MR. SERGEANT, M.P. TOMLINS, F. GUEST, ESQ., SECRETARY. WRIGHT, THOMAS, ESQ., F.S.A.

YOUNG, CHARLES M., ESQ.

INTRODUCTION.

STEPHEN GOSSON, the author of the tract reprinted on the following pages, was not the earliest literary enemy of theatrical performances in this country. That distinction belongs to John Northbrooke, whose "Treatise wherein Dicing, Dauncing, Vaine Playes or Enterluds &c. are reproved," was licensed for the press in 1577: it was printed by H. Bynneman, without date, but no doubt prior to 1579, when Gosson's "Schoole of Abuse, conteining a plesaunt Invective against Poets, Pipers, Plaiers, Jesters" &c. made its appearance. Our author, therefore, is only entitled to the second place in the attack upon the stage, although he says nothing about any predecessor. Northbrooke's work well merits at least equal attention; and on an early occasion we shall offer a careful re-impression of it to the members of the Shakespeare Society. We were led, in the first instance, to Gosson's tract, by his connection with Edward Alleyn, late in life, when Gosson was vicar of the parish in which that great actor and most benevolent man was born.

We are told by Anthony Wood, (Athenæ Oxon. I. 675, edit. Bliss,) that Stephen Gosson was a Kentish

committed.

Christ Church College, Oxford, on April 4th, 1572, "aged 16, or thereabouts." Gosson was, in fact, (as appears by the registration of his death, which will be introduced hereafter,) in his 18th year; and the Oxford antiquary adds, that "he took one degree in arts, four years after his admission, left the university without completing that degree by determination, and went to the great city, where he was noted for his admirable penning of pastorals." Of his pastorals we know nothing; and certainly whatever Gosson has left behind him savours more of a satirical than of a rustic character. He became tutor in a family, and soon afterwards wrote at least three plays, some of which were acted: on p. 30 of the present republication he mentions "Catalines Conspiracies, usually brought in at the Theatre," as "a pig of his own sow;" and he elsewhere admits himself to have been the author of a comedy called "Captain Mario," and of a moral play, which had for title "Praise at Parting." He asserts that he had been "drawn like a novice to these abuses," and he entirely abandoned them before he had completed his 25th year. The subsequent pages are full of self-reproaches for the offences he had in this respect

"The School of Abuse" came out in 1579; and possibly Gosson had been led to see the error of his way by Northbrooke's "Treatise," which must then have been in the hands of the puritanical readers of such productions about a year. Gosson's tract was dedicated to "Master Philip Sidney Esquier;" and we have it on

no less evidence than that of Spenser (in one of his letters to Gabriel Harvey, dated in 1580,) that Gosson "was for his labour scorned; if, at least, it be in the goodness of that nature to scorn." Gosson was either not so scorned as to make him hesitate in the same year in dedicating to Sidney his "Ephemerides of Phialo," or the reproof he received on the occasion was not given until both those pieces had appeared.

In his "Ephemerides of Phialo" Gosson informs us that the players, having in vain applied to some members of the universities to answer his "School of Abuse," had at length found "one in London to write certain honest excuses, for so they term it, to their dishonest abuses, which I revealed." This sentence alludes to Thomas Lodge, the dramatist, who very soon afterwards published his reply to Stephen Gosson, only two copies of which are supposed to exist, both of them wanting the title-page: this mutilation was occasioned by the interference of some of the public authorities to suppress the work, and by the unwillingness of those who happened, by some chance, to obtain it to have it found in their possession in a perfect state. It consists of three divisions-the Defence of Poetry, the Defence of Music, and the Defence of Plays: in the last, Lodge speaks of Gosson not only as a writer, but as an actor of plays-a circumstance which Gosson kept in the back-ground.

No sooner had Lodge's "honest excuses" made their appearance, than Gosson set about his "Plays confuted in Five Actions," which he dedicated to Sir F. Walsingham; but, as it is without date, we can only presume that it was not delayed beyond the autumn of

1581, or the spring of 1582. Hence we learn that a piece called "the Play of Plays," intended as a practical contradiction to Gosson and to the other enemies of dramatic representations, had been acted on one of the public stages of London. A full description of the performance, and of the course and conduct of the plot, may be seen in Collier's "History of English Dramatic Poetry and the Stage," II. 275. In his "Plays confuted in Five Actions," Gosson terms Lodge "a vagrant person, visited by the heavy hand of God," which did not come very well from Gosson, considering that he had been "a vagrant person" himself.

Lodge did not think it necessary to pursue the contest in any separate publication, and possibly none such would have obtained a licence; but when he printed his "Alarum against Usurers" in 1584, he introduced the subject incidentally, not venturing to give any hint on the title-page that it was noticed in the course of the tract. It is remarkable that the "Alarum against Usurers" is dedicated to Sidney, who had "scorned" Gosson five years before; and the reply to Gosson is contained in a preliminary address " to the Gentlemen of the Inns of Court." Lodge there states, that Gosson had procured only an imperfect copy of his " Defence of Plays;" and as a proof that it was without the title-page, we may notice that Gosson attributes it to William, instead of Thomas Lodge. In how much better and more charitable a spirit Lodge wrote than his antagonist, may be judged from the subsequent passage, addressed to Gosson, at the conclusion of what Lodge advances in favour of theatrical