

**MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT IN  
MICHIGAN AND OHIO. A STUDY  
IN THE RELATIONS OF CITY AND  
COMMONWEALTH. VOLUME V,  
NUMBER 3, PP.329-498**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649244812

Municipal government in Michigan and Ohio. A study in the relations of city and commonwealth. Volume V, Number 3, pp.329-498 by Delos F. Wilcox

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**DELOS F. WILCOX**

**MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT IN  
MICHIGAN AND OHIO. A STUDY  
IN THE RELATIONS OF CITY AND  
COMMONWEALTH. VOLUME V,  
NUMBER 3, PP.329-498**



310  

---

1

III  
MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT

*STUDIES IN HISTORY, ECONOMICS AND PUBLIC LAW*

EDITED BY  
THE UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF POLITICAL SCIENCE  
OF COLUMBIA COLLEGE.

Volume V]

[Number 3

MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT

IN

MICHIGAN AND OHIO:

A STUDY IN THE RELATIONS OF CITY AND  
COMMONWEALTH

BY

DELOS F. WILCOX, Ph.D.,

*University Fellow in Administrative Law, Columbia University in the City  
of New York*



COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY  
IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

May, 1896

Price \$1

74653

S  
48  
55

## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

### CHAPTER I.

#### INTRODUCTORY.

	PAGE
I. <i>Necessity of studying the legal relations of cities</i> . . . . .	11
II. <i>Evils of special legislation</i> . . . . .	12
Expense to the state . . . . .	12
Local irresponsibility . . . . .	12
Legislative corruption . . . . .	13
State interference in local affairs . . . . .	13
III. <i>Constitutional remedies</i> . . . . .	13
Guarantee of local choice of local officers . . . . .	13
Prohibition of special legislation . . . . .	13
Granting to cities the right to form their own charters . . . . .	14
IV. <i>Geographical distribution of constitutional limitations and their relation to local institutions</i> . . . . .	16
The belt of states which have forbidden special legislation . . . . .	16
Three types of local organization . . . . .	17
Special legislation and local self government . . . . .	17
Contrast between states with the Pennsylvania type and those with the New York type . . . . .	19
V. <i>Michigan and Ohio as typical states</i> . . . . .	20
The origins of their population . . . . .	21
Their local institutions . . . . .	21
VI. <i>Detroit and Cleveland as typical American cities</i> . . . . .	22
Largest cities are not typical . . . . .	22
Intermediate position of Cleveland and Detroit . . . . .	23
VII. <i>Outline of the plan of study</i> . . . . .	24

### CHAPTER II.

#### CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS FOR CITIES IN MICHIGAN.

I. <i>The Convention of 1850 and its work</i> . . . . .	25
Private and municipal corporations distinguished . . . . .	25
Provisions for cities adopted . . . . .	26
History of the section regarding the choice of local officers . . . . .	27
II. <i>The Convention of 1867</i> . . . . .	27
Propositions for general legislation . . . . .	28
Evils of special legislation . . . . .	29
Choice of local officers . . . . .	30
Plea for local self-government . . . . .	31
Influence of party politics . . . . .	31
Attack on the board system . . . . .	31
What is a city? . . . . .	32
The spirit of the convention . . . . .	32

	PAGE
III. <i>The Constitutional Commission of 1873</i> . . . . .	32
Its composition . . . . .	33
Provisions for cities . . . . .	33

## CHAPTER III.

## THE DOCTRINE OF LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT IN MICHIGAN.

I. <i>Outline of its early development</i> . . . . .	35
The ordinance of 1787 . . . . .	35
Michigan under Gov. Hull . . . . .	36
Gov. Cass' influence . . . . .	36
The state constitutions . . . . .	36
II. <i>The doctrine of the Supreme Court</i> . . . . .	36
The Detroit water commissioners of 1853 . . . . .	36
The Police Board of 1865, <i>People vs. Mahaney</i> . . . . .	37
Legislative commissions for Detroit . . . . .	37
The first board of public works case, <i>People vs. Hurlbut</i> . . . . .	37
Judge Christiancy's opinion . . . . .	38
The constitution interpreted historically . . . . .	38
Judge Campbell's opinion . . . . .	39
<i>People vs. Mahaney</i> explained . . . . .	39
The law back of the written constitution . . . . .	40
Judge Cooley's opinion . . . . .	41
The right of local self-government . . . . .	41
Local choice of local officers . . . . .	42
Judge Graves' opinion . . . . .	42
The first park case, <i>Attorney General vs. Lothrop</i> . . . . .	43
<i>Hubbard vs. The Township Board of Springwells</i> . . . . .	43
Right of the State to interfere in township affairs denied . . . . .	43
The great Detroit park case . . . . .	43
Distinction between general and local municipal functions . . . . .	45
The second board of public works case, <i>Attorney General vs. Com-</i> <i>mon Council</i> . . . . .	46
The council an essential part of municipal government . . . . .	46
<i>Allor vs. Wayne County Auditors</i> . . . . .	47
Constables cannot be displaced by State police . . . . .	47
<i>Torrent vs. Muskegon</i> . . . . .	47
Implied powers of cities . . . . .	48
The board of elections case, <i>Attorney General vs. Board of Council-</i> <i>men</i> . . . . .	48
The bipartisan requirement unconstitutional . . . . .	49
Elections are a municipal function . . . . .	49
Summary of the court's doctrine . . . . .	50

## CHAPTER IV.

## CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS FOR CITIES IN OHIO.

I. <i>The early development of special legislation</i> . . . . .	51
II. <i>The convention of 1851, and its work</i> . . . . .	52
General hostility to corporations . . . . .	52
Prohibition of special incorporation acts . . . . .	54
General municipal organization required . . . . .	54



CONTENTS.

vii

	PAGE
III. <i>The convention of 1873-74</i> . . . . .	54
New and more stringent prohibition of special municipal acts proposed . . . . .	54
Necessity for classification recognized . . . . .	55
Special legislation and local autonomy . . . . .	56
Mr. Herron's speech. General laws favorable to ring-rule . . . . .	56
Necessity of special legislation . . . . .	58
Mr. King's speech . . . . .	58
The failure of the constitutional limitations of 1851 . . . . .	59
Interference of cities with each other under general laws . . . . .	59
Financial provisions adopted by the convention . . . . .	61
What lesson will Ohio learn? . . . . .	61

CHAPTER V.

MUNICIPAL LEGISLATION IN OHIO UNDER THE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATIONS  
OF 1851.

I.	<i>The general laws of 1852 and 1853</i> . . . . .	63
	General municipal powers . . . . .	63
	Classification of cities and villages . . . . .	64
	Corporation officers . . . . .	64
	Limitations on the taxing and borrowing powers . . . . .	65
	The amendments of 1853 . . . . .	65
	Classification and advancement . . . . .	66
	The right of the council to redistrict the city . . . . .	67
II.	<i>Growth of special legislation prior to the municipal code of 1869</i> . . . . .	67
	Special classifications by population . . . . .	67
	Avowedly special acts . . . . .	68
	Growth of cities without advancement of grade . . . . .	69
	Provision for the "Eggleston avenue sewer" . . . . .	70
	Special classification upheld in <i>Welker vs. Potter</i> . . . . .	71
	Apparent effects of this decision . . . . .	71
	The municipal code of 1869 . . . . .	72
III.	<i>Special legislation since 1870 under the influence of Supreme Court decisions</i> . . . . .	72
	Special act fixing Cincinnati's corporate limits invalid . . . . .	72
	Misleading decision, <i>Walker vs. Cincinnati</i> . . . . .	73
	The Cincinnati Commercial Hospital cases . . . . .	74
	The State <i>vs. Covington</i> . . . . .	75
	Dictum with reference to special acts in general forms . . . . .	75
	The dictum carried into effect, <i>State vs. Mitchell</i> . . . . .	75
	Inconsistency of the General Assembly . . . . .	76
	The code of 1878, with a new classification . . . . .	77
	The victory of the General Assembly . . . . .	78
	Great increase of strictly special legislation . . . . .	78
	Number of special acts granting financial powers . . . . .	79
	Progress in the descriptive character of "general" laws . . . . .	80
	Defiance of the court . . . . .	82
	Further classification of cities . . . . .	83
	Table showing present classification . . . . .	84
IV.	<i>The doctrines of the Supreme Court</i> . . . . .	85
	School districts, townships and counties . . . . .	85
	Classification, proper and improper . . . . .	86
	Judge Okey's opinion . . . . .	87

	PAGE
Division within the court . . . . .	87
The awkward logic of the court's decisions . . . . .	88
V. <i>General remarks</i> . . . . .	89
Unfortunate results of the court's position . . . . .	89
The question of necessity of special legislation . . . . .	89
The real causes of special legislation . . . . .	90

## CHAPTER VI.

## THE DEVELOPMENT OF DETROIT'S CHARTER.

The difficulty of logical arrangement . . . . .	91
Political periods . . . . .	91
I. <i>The Military Period, 1610 to 1813</i> . . . . .	93
Discovery and settlement . . . . .	93
First incorporation . . . . .	93
The failure of Hull's government . . . . .	94
II. <i>The Council Period, 1813 to 1854</i> . . . . .	95
The influence of Lewis Cass . . . . .	95
The charter of 1815 . . . . .	95
The charter of 1824 . . . . .	96
Changes in the council and executive officers . . . . .	97
Elections . . . . .	98
Financial affairs . . . . .	98
Assessments . . . . .	99
The schools . . . . .	100
Corporate functions . . . . .	100
The water commissioners . . . . .	101
III. <i>The Period of Boards, 1854 to 1889</i> . . . . .	102
Changed political conditions . . . . .	102
The legislation of 1855 . . . . .	102
The charter of 1857. Name . . . . .	103
The council and executive offices . . . . .	103
Qualifications for office. Removal . . . . .	104
Elections . . . . .	105
The powers and duties of the council . . . . .	105
Revenue, finance and contracts . . . . .	106
Assessment of taxes . . . . .	108
The Recorder's Court . . . . .	108
Charter amendments, 1859 to 1864 . . . . .	108
The Metropolitan Police . . . . .	109
The fire department . . . . .	111
Parks . . . . .	111
The board of public works . . . . .	113
Public lighting . . . . .	113
The board of health . . . . .	114
The board of education . . . . .	114
Charities . . . . .	115
Changes in the council . . . . .	116
Charter amendments of 1879 and 1881 . . . . .	117
The charter of 1883 . . . . .	117
Elections . . . . .	118
IV. <i>Mayor Pingree's administration, 1889 till the present time</i> . . . . .	119
Political changes . . . . .	119

CONTENTS

ix

	PAGE
Increased powers of the mayor and the people . . . . .	119
The board of health . . . . .	120
The public lighting commission . . . . .	120
The street railways . . . . .	121
Present condition of Detroit . . . . .	121
Mayor Pingree's ideas . . . . .	121
Need of home-rule and centralized administration . . . . .	122

CHAPTER VII.

THE DEVELOPMENT OF CLEVELAND'S CHARTER.

Division into periods . . . . .	123
I. <i>Village organization</i> . . . . .	123
Incorporation of 1814 . . . . .	123
Changes in the village charter . . . . .	124
II. <i>Cleveland under its first city charter, 1836 to 1852</i> . . . . .	125
The organization of the council . . . . .	125
The powers of the council . . . . .	125
The mayor . . . . .	126
Financial provisions . . . . .	126
The school system . . . . .	127
Subscriptions to railroad and plank road stocks . . . . .	127
The excise problem . . . . .	128
Changes in the council and executive offices . . . . .	129
Taxation . . . . .	130
Special assessments . . . . .	130
The mayor's court . . . . .	131
III. <i>Cleveland under general laws, 1852 to 1891</i> . . . . .	131
Growth in population . . . . .	131
The general act of 1852 . . . . .	131
The council, its organization and powers . . . . .	132
The executive officers and boards . . . . .	132
Taxation, revenue and finance . . . . .	133
Extension of the borrowing power . . . . .	134
Taxation and finance in later laws . . . . .	135
Deposits, contracts and appropriations . . . . .	136
Special assessments . . . . .	137
Reports to the State Auditor . . . . .	137
The board of revision . . . . .	138
The appointment of officers . . . . .	139
The control of the council over the administration . . . . .	140
General powers of the city . . . . .	141
The police department . . . . .	143
The fire department . . . . .	143
The bicameral experiment . . . . .	144
Increasing powers of the mayor . . . . .	145
IV. <i>The charter of 1891</i> . . . . .	146
The legislative department . . . . .	146
The executive department . . . . .	147
Duties of the mayor and directors . . . . .	149
The board of control and financial commissions . . . . .	150
Contracts . . . . .	151
Amendments to the charter . . . . .	151
The new system applied to the schools . . . . .	152