CRNELL STUDIES IN CLASSICAL PHILOLOGY. NO. XIV. A STUDY IN CASE RIVALRY

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649372799

Crnell Studies in classical philology. No. XIV. A Study in Case Rivalry by Clinton L. Babcock

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

CLINTON L. BABCOCK

CRNELL STUDIES IN CLASSICAL PHILOLOGY. NO. XIV. A STUDY IN CASE RIVALRY



Cornell University

Itbaca, Rew Pork

CORNELL STUDIES

IN

CLASSICAL PHILOLOGY

EDITED BY

CHARLES EDWIN BENNETT

AND

GEORGE PRENTICE BRISTOL

No. XIV

A Study in Case Rivairy being an Investigation Regarding the Use of the Genitive and the Accusative in Latin with Verbs of Remembering and Forgetting.

RY

CLINTON L. BABCOCK

PUBLISHED FOR THE UNIVERSITY

BY

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

1901

A STUDY IN CASE RIVALRY

BRING AN

INVESTIGATION REGARDING THE USE OF THE GENITIVE AND THE ACCUSATIVE IN LATIN WITH VERBS OF REMEMBERING AND FORGETTING.

BY

CLINTON L. BABCOCK

CORNELL STUDIES IN CLASSICAL PHILOLOGY, No. XIV.

LIBRARY OF THE LELAND STANFORD JR. UNIVERSITY.

A 53091 COPPRIGET, 1901, BY CORNELL UNIVERSITY

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

AUG 1. 1901

ITHACA, N. Y. PRESS OF ANDRUS & CHURCH 1901

CONTENTS.

	AGE.
INTRODUCTION	1
PART ONE: HISTORY OF VIEWS	3
The Ancient Grammarians	3
The Partitive Theory—Reisig, Clairin	4
The Substantive Theory—Dräger, Guardia and Wierzeyski, Kühner, Deecke, Schottmüller, Haase	6
Explanations Based on Signification—Madvig, Riemann and Goelzer, Greenough, Donaldson, Gosarau, Schmalz, Zumpt,	
Lane, Bennett	10
Part Two: Collection of Material	16
Early Latin	16
Ciceronian Age	21
Examples with de and the Ablative	29
Augustan Age	32
Supplementary Examples	41
Tables	46
Part Three : Determination of Usage and Meaning	49
I. Critical	49
A. The Partitive Theory	49
B. The Substantive Theory	
C. Explanations Based on Signification	55
II. Constructive	61
A. Summary of Usage	61
Memini	6 1
Obliviscor	64
Recordor, Reminiscor	65
Commemini	
Table Showing Personal Usage	67
B. Deductions and Conclusions	68
General Summary	73



INTRODUCTION.

It is a recognized fact that many of the statements touching Latin syntax, generally accepted as true, rest upon slight foundation. One after another, trusted "rules" have been weighed in the balance of exhaustive research, and found wanting, till the careful scholar finds himself regarding with great reserve even the most plausible statements of traditional grammar, unless those statements have been amply verified by thorough investigation. Unfortunately the number of instances in which such investigations have been made is comparatively few. This paper is presented in the hope that it may add one more to the list.

Primarily the object has been to present a complete, classified, list of all the examples in point that occur in extant Latinity down to the end of the Augustan period. No effort has been spared toward the attainment of this object. Sometimes, where no reliable index was available, a standard text has been carefully read the second time, lest any examples should escape notice. This has been done in the case of Plautus, and Terence, and Cicero's Letters. The accuracy of the text has been tested in the case of each example and the reading discussed when necessary. In spite of all precautions, however, it is possible that some few examples have been omitted. Moreover, scattered fragments, not found in standard collections such as the Poetae Latini Minores of Baehrens, and the Scaenicae Romanorum Poesis Fragmenta of Ribbeck, have not been bunted out. All material belonging to the post-Augustan period has been gleaned from lexicons and indexes. Some of these were good and some were not. No effort has been made toward completeness of the material belonging to this period of the language, but examples, so far as given, have been verified and are accurate. They are valuable as throwing light upon the later development of the construction which forms the subject of this paper, and they probably cover over seventyfive per cent, of the instances occurring in the entire period from which they are drawn.

Two other objects have been kept in mind; and the three, treated separately, give rise to the division of the paper into three parts. Part One contains a collection of the statements regarding the subject made by scholars both ancient and modern, with an occasional criticism of statements of fact. Part Two contains the material gathered in the present investigation, as described above. Part Three includes criticisms of theories quoted in Part One, together with such independent suggestions and conclusions as may seem warranted by Part Two.