THE ARMY LISTS OF THE ROUNDHEADS AND CAVALIERS, CONTAINING THE NAMES OF THE OFFICERS IN THE ROYAL AND PARLIAMENTARY ARMIES OF 1642

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649393794

The Army Lists of the Roundheads and Cavaliers, containing the Names of the Officers in the royal and parliamentary armies of 1642 by Edward Peacock

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

EDWARD PEACOCK

THE ARMY LISTS OF THE ROUNDHEADS AND CAVALIERS, CONTAINING THE NAMES OF THE OFFICERS IN THE ROYAL AND PARLIAMENTARY ARMIES OF 1642

Trieste

13H CHOSEL

THE ARMY LISTS OF THE ROUNDHEADS

ా

12

C

AND CAVALIERS.

ě

10

121

с Э.

and S Ar Pa

1

e a conse an ÷.

•

THE ARMY LISTS OF THE RO Mores AND CAVALIERS,

CONTAINING THE NAMES OF THE OFFICERS IN

THE ROYAL AND PARLIAMENTARY

ARMIES OF 1642.

EDITED BY

EDWARD PEACOCK, F.S.A.

"An epoch rich alike in thought, action, and paffion, in great refults, and fail greater beginnings." MARGARET FULLER OSSOLI.



LONDON:

JOHN CAMDEN HOTTEN, PICCADILLY.

1863.

en si

ant him his 4-18-1927



PREFACE.



HOSE who have fludied that period of our annals which is occupied by the reign of Charles the Firft and the Commonwealth, not only in the pages of modern hiftorians and contemporaries who wrote confciously for posterity, but also in the immense

majjes of unclajjified and often uncatalogued documents, journals, ftate papers, letters, treatijes, ballads, and fermons, in which the hopes, fears, and dejires of the people exprejjed themjelves from day to day, may probably have remarked, if their purjuits have led them to contrajt our Civil War with other portions of Britijh or European hijtory, that then, for the firjt time in the modern world, individual perfonality began to exercise a marked effect upon contemporary politics. In the preceding ages, from the time indeed when freedom junk under the organized imperialijm of the Cæfars, until the outburft of modern thought in the fixteenth century, human progrefs had been but little accelerated by perfonal qualities. The ignorance of external nature was too denfe, the allpervading influence of the dominant theology too firong, the terrors it wielded and the punifhments it threatened too frightful for the energy of any one perfon to become confpicuous in directing public

ь

PREFACE.

events or moulding the thoughts of others, except in those rare cases where the minds of men had already been prepared by the Church's teaching, or by their inherent or inherited fuperflitions. In the career of Saint Bernard indeed, and the few others of his ftamp, who fhed fuch luftre over the dark times in which they were placed, we fee the religious inftinct of Western Christendom stirred to a higher pltch of devotion by the labours of a fingle man; but where the leffons of the reformer took a direction contrary to ecclefiaftical teaching, (and then theology feemed to embrace the whole area of human thought,) one refult only was possible. The fate of those who ftruggled to win freedom for them elves and their kind is a fufficlent proof that it was neither the want of intellect, energy, nor highfouled devotion, that rendered their lives and labourt unfruitful. Even the paffionate prophecy and withering fcom of the great Florentine could do nothing towards roufing mankind from its lethargy. The first defire of his heart was indeed accomplished ; Dante won for his Beatrice the higheft place in the ideal world of love and beauty, but against the " she-wolf" even the invectives of the " Inferno" were powerlefs.

The education of the European mind has progreffed flowly; it was not until the Tudor period of our hiftory that fociety could have exifted without the protection of a powerful religious cafte. A univerfal theocracy was the only inftitution firong and free enough to curb the oppreffor, and with a fufficiently extended mental vifion to attempt the work of legiflation : by its means the brutal tyranny of the feudal lord was fomewhat kept in check ; and his fiercer paffions, at leaft, did not pafs entirely without rebuke. Laws were made for the protection of flaves, infants, and *women*, fuch as even a Norman baron or an Italian count feared to difobey. It does not feem poffible that moral truths could have been brought home to the hearts of the people by any other means. If fo great a misfor-

vi

tune could have happened as the premature overthrow of this fpiritual dominion it is almost certain that a similar institution, or one differing in outward accidents only, would have taken its place. And if it had not been so, the people would have lapsed into mere pagan sensualism; a compound of Teutonic materialism and Southern creature-worship without the nobleness of the one or the poetry of the other.

The great religious contests of the fixteenth century, while they released the races of Northern Europe from subjection to one class of ideas which they had outgrown, left almost everything to be accomplished in the direction of personal freedom. It is doubtful whether any of those engaged on either fide in that memorable strife even understood what is now meant by liberty.

The fingularly complex nature of our political and focial relations on the accellion of the Stuart dynasty tended greatly to develope individual energies, and thus to produce that marked-contraft between the Civil-War era and all preceding ones, which is perhaps the most interesting phenomenon presented by that memorable contest. Probably no descendant of the Plantagenets, then alive, was more unfitted to rule England than the weak perfon whom political neceffity forced upon us on the death of the noble-minded Elizabeth. It would have taxed the highest energies of a wife and brave fovereign to have governed a land fo diftracted with religious fac. tions with dignity and in peace, James poffeffed neither courage nor wijdom, but had the abjence of those virtues been his chief defect, his reign might have caufed lefs evil. His political bigotry, mitigated as it was in action by his extreme cowardice, was not calculated to produce the worft refults ; but unfortunately, like many other feeble-minded men, he took intenfe delight in theological fpeculation. As the head of the eftablished religion in England it was the obvious duty of the chief magiftrate to make that body as little