ANECDOTA OXONIENSIA; A COLLATION WITH THE ANCIENT ARMENIAN VERSIONS OF THE GREEK TEXT OF ARISTOTLE'S CATEGORIES DE INTERPRETATIONE, DE MUNDO, DE VIRTUTIBUS ET VITIIS AND OF PORPFYRY'S INTRODUCTION

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649615780

Anecdota Oxoniensia; A Collation with the Ancient Armenian Versions of the Greek Text of Aristotle's Categories De Interpretatione, De Mundo, De Virtutibus et Vitiis and of Porpfyry's Introduction by Frederick Cornwallis Conybeare

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

# FREDERICK CORNWALLIS CONYBEARE

ANECDOTA OXONIENSIA; A COLLATION WITH THE ANCIENT ARMENIAN VERSIONS OF THE GREEK TEXT OF ARISTOTLE'S CATEGORIES DE INTERPRETATIONE, DE MUNDO, DE VIRTUTIBUS ET VITIIS AND OF PORPFYRY'S INTRODUCTION



# Anecdota Oxoniensia

A COLLATION WITH THE ANCIENT
ARMENIAN VERSIONS OF THE GREEK TEXT

OF

## ARISTOTLE'S CATEGORIES

DE INTERPRETATIONE, DE MUNDO, DE VIRTUTIBUS ET VITIS

AND OF

### PORPHYRY'S INTRODUCTION

BY

FREDERICK CORNWALLIS CONYBEARE, M.A.

Late Fellow and Prejector of University College, Oxford



Oxford
AT THE CLARENDON PRESS
1892

#### Fondon

HENRY PROWDE Octobe Undersolv Press Washington, Augus Counter, E.C.



New York

TO MY KIND ARMENIAN FRIENDS

ABGAR JOANNISSIANY

OF TIFLIS

AND

THE REV. FATHER KARÉKIN

OF VENICE

THIS STUDY OF THEIR ANCIENT LITERATURE IS DEDICATED.

# CONTENTS

										PAGE		
INTRODUCTION		20	*	3	$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ij}$	(6)	-	39	800	$\dot{x}$	i	
TEXT: -												
The Categories	13	20	10	12		$\overline{\mathcal{D}}$		139	80	(4)	1	
De Interpretatione .	0.0	10	20	22	**************************************	101	92	33	6	23	28	
De Mundo		15	32	15	-	58	33	200		19	51	
De Virtutibus	80	91	9		83	12.	12	10			72	
Porphyry's Introduction	27	123	3	35	$\widetilde{x} \in$	(8)			98	33	76	
APPENDIX I.												
On the Codex Ticinus .	70	47	14		$\widetilde{x}_i^{i}$	130	83	\$81		(9)	89	
APPENDIX II.												
The Armenian Text	93	92	172	91	127	88		9		119	107	

#### HEADS OF PROLEGOMENA.

- § 1. On scope of work and on necessity of starting from a pure Armenian text.
- § 2. Conditions on which value of a collation depends.
- § 3. Age and authorship of Armenian Version of Categories and 'On Interpretation.'
- § 4. Problems suggested by Armenian commentaries which accompany these treatises.
- $\S\S\,5,6.$  Evidence of style proves that the versions of treatises and commentaries were made together.
- § 7. Internal evidence as to date of these versions.
- § 8. Evidence of subscriptions in the Armenian MSS.
- § 9. List of the versions and commentaries attributed to David Invictus.
- § 10. Evidence of early Armenian writers, of Stephanus of Siunik and of Gregory Magistros.
- § 11. Who was David Invictus? Views of Valentine Rose.
- § 12. The evidence of the old Armenian ' Book of Beings.'
- § 13. Authorship of Armenian commentaries on the Categories and De Interpretatione an enigma. They are not to be ascribed to David Invictus-Character of these commentaries.
- §§ 14, 15, 16. Probabilities regarding these commentaries.
- §§ 17, 18. These commentaries along with the version they accompany at least as old as the fifth century.
- § 19. They are perhaps the work of a non-Christian school of early Armenian translators.
- § 20. Recapitulation of evidence. The affinity of the old Armenian text of the . De Interpretatione to that used by Boethius.  $\S~21$ . On the fidelity of the old Armenian Versions.

- § 22. On the Text of the Armenian Version of Categories and De Interpretatione. (a) On Venice Printed Text, and its Manuscript Sources.
- § 23. (3) On other Manuscript Sources. Paris Codices.
- § 24. Codices of Jerusalem.
- § 25. The Codex Ticinus of the University Library of Pavia.
- § 26. Contents of this Codex and characteristics.
   § 27. History of this Codex. Theseus Ambrogius.
- § 28. On version of De Mundo and De Uirtutibus. Imperfections of the Armenian Text and of the Manuscript Sources. § 29. Version of the De Uirtutibus.
- § 30. Version of the Isagoge of Porphyrius. Venetian and other Texts of it. Its age.
- § 31. On the version of David's Commentary on the Isagoge.
- § 32. Aim pursued in making these collations. § 33. Acknowledgment of help received in preparing the work.

#### PROLEGOMENA.

- $\S$  1. THE following work is a collation with the ancient Armenian Versions of:—
  - (1) The treatises of Aristotle upon the ten Categories and upon Interpretation. The Greek text used is that of Waitz, and all references to Greek Codices are based on his apparatus criticus.
  - (2) The pseudo-Aristotelian treatises De Mundo and De Uirtutibus. The Greek text used is Bekker's, as printed in the Berlin edition of Aristotle.
  - (3) The Isagoge of Porphyrius. The text used is that of Adolph Busse, published at Berlin, and references to Greek Codices are based on his apparatus criticus.

It will be noticed that the collation of the Categories and 'Upon Interpretation' is given in two halves, in a main text and in an appendix thereto, in such a way that both must be consulted by a reader anxious to know what reading of any particular passage is implied by the version. The reason of this inconvenient arrangement is that, after the main text was already printed, I chanced upon a manuscript of the version greatly superior to those which I had been able to use in preparing that main text. There was no other course open to me but to add in an appendix the critical gains resulting from an examination of this better Armenian text. Although the results printed in my main text are thus premature, I may at least hope to escape the charge of negligence of sources and of over-haste; for in preparing that main text, which occupies pp. 1-50, I had not acquiesced in the Venetian text of the version,—itself based upon three MSS. in the Library of