# THE TEMPLE: SACRED POEMS AND PRIVATE EJACULATIONS. BEING A FACSIMILE REPRINT OF THE FIFTH EDITION, WITH AN INTRODUCTION

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

### ISBN 9780649718757

The Temple: Sacred Poems and Private Ejaculations. Being a Facsimile Reprint of the Fifth Edition, with an Introduction by George Herbert

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## **GEORGE HERBERT**

# THE TEMPLE: SACRED POEMS AND PRIVATE EJACULATIONS. BEING A FACSIMILE REPRINT OF THE FIFTH EDITION, WITH AN INTRODUCTION



828-H5-36 t



THE TEMPLE.





## INTRODUCTION.

SAAK WALTON — whose "Compleat Angler," in similar facsimile, precedes this—in his pathetic narrative of the last

illness and gentle end of George Herbert, tells the story of the delivery to good Mr. Edmund Duncon of that manuscript which proved to be those poems that, as "The Temple," have passed "for all time" into the sacred verse of England, if one might not even say of Christendom. Biographically and bibliographically, it is important to six the exact date of its "sweet singer's" death, and as a corollary of the first edition of "The Temple." Consequently this incident salls here sirst of all to be given and examined. After other more personal matters, the departing saint, says his bio-

grapher, "did, with fuch a humility as feemed to exalt him, bow down to Mr. Duncon, and with a thoughtful and contented look, fay to him: Sir, I pray deliver this little book to my dear brother Farrer [Nicholas Ferrar], and tell him he shall find in it a picture of the many spiritual conflicts that have past betwixt God and my foul before I could subject mine to the will of Jesus my Master, in Whose service I have now found perfect freedom; defire him to read it, and then, if he can think it may turn to the advantage of any dejected poor foul, let it be made publick; if not, let him burn it, for I and it are less than the least of God's mercies." "Thus meanly," adds Walton, " did this humble man think of this excellent book, which now bears the name of The Temple, or Sacred Poems and Private Ejaculations." The incident and interview and the fwift-following death have usually been affigned to 1632, because of the register's entry of the burial, but they really belong to 1633. For reasons that will appear immediately,

the entries preceding and succeeding, and the entry of Herbert's own burial, are here given verbatim et literatim, as thus:—

Ann 1633.

Buried was the widow Smith 5 of February
William Ellyott the younger was buried viij March
Mr George Herbert Efq' Parfon of Fugglestone
and Bemerton buried the 3<sup>d</sup> day of
March 1632
Richard Pirmmett was buried
xxij of June

An. Don.

1634 Edith Bacon the wife of John Bacon was buried iiij January

1634

It lies on the surface that these entries were made by the old (illiterate) scribe of Fugglestone and Bemerton irregularly, as probably suited his memory or convenience. Though apparently he used to reckon as a rule from January to January, in adding the year in the Herbert entry, he slid into the old mode of reckoning from 25th March, whereby our 3rd March, 1633, would be recorded as 3rd March, 1632. It will be noticed that in addition to this, as

Edith Bacon's burial belongs to 1634, the prefumption would have been that Herbert's and Pirmmett's belonged to the immediately preceding year, i.e. 1633, while Herbert's FOL-Lows one belonging to 1633, not 1632. But besides these considerations, other facts go to prove that George Herbert was living long fubsequent to 3rd March, 1632, as = 1632, e.g. his well-known Letter to Nicholas FERRAR concerning VALDESSO is dated 20th September, 1632; then, the Will of his niece DOROTHY VAUGHAN (daughter of his fifter MARGARET) was "proved" by him as executor in the Prerogative Court of Canterbury on 9th October, 1632\*; and finally, his own Will is shown to have been drawn up subsequent to his niece's, by its disposing of 1001. left by her to him. Thus 3rd March, 1633, was unquestionably the real date of Herbert's interment, and the last of February or 1st of March his probable day of death. It follows that not to 1632 but to 1633 belongs the

Herbert was fworn by commission before Nathaniel Bostock, his curate—the 'commission' being explained by his fragile health.

"first impression" of "The Temple."
NICHOLAS FERRAR appears to have thrown off a few gift copies of the "little book" for immediate friends. These are without date, AND ONLY A SINGLE EXEMPLAR OF THESE UNDATED COPIES IS AT PRESENT KNOWN. From that, by the kindness of its owner (Henry Huth, Esq.), our facsimile has been made. But with the slight exception of the imprints on the title-pages, the two editions of 1633—first and second—are identical with the undated copy. Doubtless the two title-pages of these two editions will be acceptable. They are as follows:—

The Rev. I. Gregory Smith, M. A., in his collected Essays (from "The Christian Remembrancer," vol. xliv. pp. 106-7) says: "'The Temple' was first given to the world in 1633, by Nicholas Ferrar, Herbert's literary executor; under his editorship it was printed by his daughters and other members of his household, or 'Protestant Nunnery,' as it has been called, at Little Gidden, in Northamptonshire, and then published at Cambridge, after being, of course, formally licensed by the Vice-Chancellor's 'imprimatur.'" 'There is no authority whatever for this alleged printing privately at Little Gidding. The undated and dated slike are expressly stated to be "Printed by Thomas Buck" (as supra).

