# THE 'AGAMEMNON' OF AESCHYLUS; WITH AN INTRODUCTION, COMMENTARY, AND TRANSLATION

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649039746

The 'Agamemnon' of Aeschylus; With an Introduction, Commentary, and Translation by Aeschylus & A. W. Verrall

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

# **AESCHYLUS & A. W. VERRALL**

# THE 'AGAMEMNON' OF AESCHYLUS; WITH AN INTRODUCTION, COMMENTARY, AND TRANSLATION



### ΑΙΣΧΥΛΟΥ ΑΓΑΜΕΜΝΩΝ.

# THE 'AGAMEMNON'

OF.

AESCHYLUS.

#### ΑΙΣΧΥΛΟΥ ΑΓΑΜΕΜΝΩΝ.

### THE 'AGAMEMNON'

OF

### **AESCHYLUS**

WITH AN

# INTRODUCTION, COMMENTARY, AND TRANSLATION

WY

A. W. VERRALL, LITT.D., FELLOW OF TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.



MACMILLAN AND CO.
AND NEW YORK.

1889

[The Right of Translation is reserved.]

# A ...

#### THIS BOOK IS OFFERED

# IN TOKEN OF

#### FRIENDSHIP RESPECT AND WELCOME .

TO

#### RICHARD CLAVERHOUSE JEBB, LITT.D.,

REGIUS PROFESSOR OF GREEK

IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE.

#### PREFACE.

THIS edition of the Agamemnon is the second instalment of that edition of Aeschylus which I hope to complete in course of time. The present volume has occupied me for many years, having been commenced long before my edition of the Septem, and frequently re-written, as I gained more knowledge of the poet.

No one competent to undertake such a work can flatter himself much upon the little that he can possibly have achieved in comparison with the desirable ideal. It is not likely that, as long as there is any spirit of progress, there will ever be a final edition of Aeschylus. Certainly we are far enough from such a consummation at present. But with all the defects which I see, and the many which doubtless I do not see, I trust that this book is not unworthy of the place in which it has been written and of the great living scholars by whose teaching and encouragement it has been inspired.

Where my version merely follows tradition, the commentary is for the most part silent or brief; and in this sense only I may say that the bulk of it is the product of my own work. But indeed I have the less hesitation in saying so, as I fear that the bulk of it is not a merit.

The English editions of Paley, Kennedy and Mr A. Sidgwick have been by me throughout; Enger's I have consulted often. Dr Wecklein's interesting and useful edition of the *Oresten* with notes (1889) appeared when this was in the press. This will, I hope, explain anything that may seem obscure in the relations of the two. All will know the difficulty of dealing satisfactorily with such a case. I should explain that where 'Weeklein's Appendix' is referred to on critical questions, the reference is to the edition of the text with apparatus criticus only (1885).

Since the appearance of my former volume Kennedy, Paley, and J. F. Davies have died, honoured and regretted, as I need scarcely say, by me as by all students of literature. To Paley in particular, whatever may have been said or thought of his defects, I shall always profess myself deeply indebted. It was easy to disagree with him and to see the weak points of his scholarship. But few men have done more for the spread of learning and literature in this country. He sent me a few days before he died a vigorous letter of adverse criticism. Most mournfully do I feel that I shall never receive another.

Beyond the editions of the play (my relations to which in general will appear from the several references) the writings most useful to me have been the editions of Sophocles by Professor Jebb (who has permitted me to express my admiration and gratitude by the dedication of this volume), the *Homeric Grammar* of Mr D. B. Monro, Provost of Oriel College, Oxford, and an excellent article on the *Agamemnon* by Mr A. E. Housman in Vol. XVI. of the *Fournal of Philology*.

It is not easy to enumerate accurately the friends who have assisted me at various times by conversation and otherwise. But for particular suggestions my thanks are due to Mr R. A. Neil, who was kind enough to revise the whole of the Introduction, to Dr W. Leaf, Mr J. G. Frazer, Mr E. S. Thompson, Mr Wyse, Mr Duff, and Mr H. B. Smith. I have also taken some particular hints from Professor Mahaffy's books on the geography and customs of Greece and from a dissertation on the *Parodos* of the *Seven against Thebes* recently published by Dr Fennell. Other references will appear in their places.

Continual study strengthens my conviction on one not unimportant point in relation to Aeschylus, the substantial integrity of the text. The greater part of what are called errors of the Ms. are merely normal variations of spelling, not affecting the authority of the tradition in the slightest degree. The errors properly so called are often such that their reproduction through long periods, from the time when by their nature they must have originated, bears speaking testimony to the conservative care of those by whom the text was handed down. Although this edition adheres more closely to the Mss. than its predecessors in modern times, my revision, were I to revise it now, would tend rather to closer adhesion than the other way.

Indeed the men who preserved Aeschylus through the long night of literature were protected as much by their defects as by their merits from tampering with the words. They were scholars, as can be proved out of their own mouths, of the narrowest type. In old words, old forms, and the like they were keenly interested. For the poet they did not care. Of the Agamemnon the Ms. Introduction speaks with a reserve barely saved from disapprobation. And no wonder; for the editors had not read the play, as literature, at all. This is the simple fact. To a reader who wished to understand a drama, as well as make notes of the words in it, no point could be so essential as the fixing and distribution of the parts. The Byzantine scholars were entirely indifferent to the matter. If a modern editor were to adopt the dramatis personae of the Medicean manuscript, he would justly be thought a fool. Nor were the Greek commentators unaware that their scheme was dubious; but they would not be at any trouble about a thing of so little consequence to grammar and lexicography. The corrector of the Mediceus assigned the speech beginning ηκω σεβίζων (v. 270) to a certain άγγελος of his own invention, perceiving that in the scene which follows there was some difficulty in finding speakers for all the speeches. To this ἄγγελος, as appears from the later copies, he assigned among other things the speech youat, κατ' ἄνδρα (υ. 363), while to Clytaemnestra he gave τάχ'

elσόμεσθα (v. 494). Now nothing is more certain than that all these speeches are spoken, as all modern editors print them, by members of the Chorus, and that at v. 494 Clytaemnestra is not even on the stage, and further that no one could have read the play with any consecutive attention from the beginning to this point without discovering these facts. But the Greek editor was looking for glosses, and having once ascertained the correctness of his copy (a work on which he can be proved to have spent very great pains), would not interrupt the true labour of scholarship for a question so trivial as the name of a particular speaker.

Consequently, so far as relates to the literary form and purpose of the drama, the makers of our MSs. bequeathed to their modern successors no more than the vague indication of a problem. In the Introduction our first concern will be with this problem, its nature and the material for a solution.

TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE, 27 September, 1889.