

**ANCESTRY AND DESCENDANTS  
OF JOSIAH HALE: FIFTH  
IN DESCENT FROM SAMUEL  
HALE HARTFORD, CONN., 1637**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649747740

Ancestry and Descendants of Josiah Hale: Fifth in Descent from Samuel Hale Hartford, Conn.,  
1637 by Oscar Fitzalan Hale

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**OSCAR FITZALAN HALE**

**ANCESTRY AND DESCENDANTS  
OF JOSIAH HALE: FIFTH  
IN DESCENT FROM SAMUEL  
HALE HARTFORD, CONN., 1637**



ANCESTRY *and* DESCENDANTS

OF

JOSIAH HALE

FIFTH IN DESCENT FROM SAMUEL HALE

OF HARTFORD, CONN.,

1637.

TO WHICH IS ADDED AN EPISTOLARY APPENDIX

SHOWING OTHER LINES

OF DESCENT

---

Compiled by

OSCAR FITZALAN HALE

---

THE TUTTLE COMPANY, PRINTERS  
RUTLAND, VERMONT  
1909

VERMONT  
HISTORICAL  
SOCIETY

CS  
71  
H163  
1909  
162,000

MAY 11 1910

1.25

## FOREWORD.

---

The work of compiling this record was not begun, nor has it at any time been prosecuted, with any expectation or desire of pecuniary reward, but, on the contrary, was prompted purely by a love of kinship, and a desire to get in closer touch with the various lines of descent.

It is true, the work has grown to proportions beyond all expectation, and has required a large amount of time, patience and perseverance, but it has at all times been a fascinating and delightful labor of love.

It was the original intention of the compiler of these records to confine them to the descendants of his grandfather, Josiah<sup>s</sup> Hale, but so much information, relative to his (Josiah's) ancestry and to other lines of descent therefrom, has been received that it has been thought best to extend the record to include his direct descent from Samuel Hale, "the settler" of Hartford, Conn., 1637.

An epistolary appendix has also been added showing some of the sources of information and incidentally other lines of Hale descent.

In this connection I would fain mention the names of those who have rendered conspicuous aid in the work, but so many have rendered such willing and more or less important service that to mention any names might seem to imply that the aid of all was not fully appreciated, and I must therefore content myself with this general expression of appreciation and gratitude.

There is a growing desire among the descendants of the old New England families to have the genealogies of their ancestors compiled, and I shall be most happy if this little volume should prove one of the stepping stones to a complete genealogy of our American ancestor Samuel Hale of Hartford.

But if it should only result in cementing the ties of friendship and consanguinity between those herein named, I shall feel amply rewarded.

OSCAR FITZALAN HALE.

Storm Lake, Iowa, Feb. 22, 1909.

## DIRECTIONS FOR USING RELATIONSHIP CHART

First, study carefully the ancestral paragraph, found under "General Remarks" on another page. Then trace from the record the ancestry of the two persons whose relationship you wish to find, to their first and nearest *common* ancestor. From this ancestor taken as generation No. 1 find the number of the generation of each of the two persons. Now, refer to the Chart and trace the intersection of the generation numbers, *so found*, (from top and left hand margins of Chart) where you will find the relationship stated.

*Examples.* Find the relationship between Ray Ernest Smith (No. 216 of record) and Oscar Fitzalan Hale (No. 34). Turning to No. 216 we find the ancestry of Ray to be: (*Ray*<sup>10</sup>, *Milford*<sup>9</sup>, *Ira*<sup>8</sup>, *Julia*<sup>7</sup> *Adsit*, *Hannah*<sup>6</sup> *Hale*, *Josiah*<sup>5</sup>, *Moses*<sup>4</sup>, *Thomas*<sup>3</sup>, *Thomas*<sup>2</sup>, *Samuel*<sup>1</sup>). Now, turning to No. 34 we are referred to No. 32, where we find the ancestry of No. 34 to be: (*Oscar*<sup>7</sup>, *Daniel*<sup>6</sup>, *Josiah*<sup>5</sup>, *Moses*<sup>4</sup>, *Thomas*<sup>3</sup>, *Thomas*<sup>2</sup>, *Samuel*<sup>1</sup>).

An inspection of these ancestries shows *Josiah*<sup>5</sup> to be the first and nearest *common* ancestor, from whom as *first* Ray is the 6th and Oscar 3rd generation. The intersection on Chart of numbers 6 and 3 or 3 and 6 shows them to be 4th cousins.

Again, take John White Hinckley, No. 26, and Kenyon Tudor Fay, child of No. 202. From No. 26 we are referred to No. 23, where we find for No. 26 *John*<sup>7</sup> *White Hinckley*, *Sarah*<sup>6</sup> *Hale*, *Josiah*<sup>5</sup>, *Moses*<sup>4</sup>, etc., and from No. 202 we get *Kenyon*<sup>10</sup> *Tudor Fay*, *Frances*<sup>9</sup> *Wheeler*, *Mary*<sup>8</sup> *Clarke*, *Elvira*<sup>7</sup> *Hinckley*, *Sarah*<sup>6</sup> *Hale*, *Josiah*<sup>5</sup>, *Moses*<sup>4</sup>, etc. These show *Sarah Hale* as nearest common ancestor, from whom as *first generation*, *Hinckley* is 2nd and *Fay* is 5th generation. Tracing on chart the intersection of 2 from the left with 5 from top and 5 from the left and 2 from the top, we get the senior and junior relationship to be great-great uncle and great-great nephew.

For practice, take *Milford Knowles Smith*, child of No. 216, and *Emily Rogene Seymour*, child of No. 158, and find *Josiah*<sup>5</sup> *Hale* as nearest common ancestor, from whom as *first generation* find *Milford* to be the 7th and *Emily* to be the 6th generation, and their relationship that of eighth cousins.

# RELATIONSHIP CHART.

*Originated by O. F. Hale.*

NUMBERS GENERATION	SENIOR RELATIONSHIP.						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Nearest Common Ancestor	1 is to 2 a Parent	1 is to 3 a Grand Parent	1 is to 4 a Great Grand Parent	1 is to 5 a Great, great Grand Parent	1 is to 6 a Gr. gr. gr. Grand Parent	1 is to 7 a Gr. gr. gr. gr. Grand Parent
2	2 is to 1 a Child	Brother or Sister	Uncle or Aunt	Great Uncle or Aunt	Great, great Uncle or Aunt	Gr. gr. gr. Uncle or Aunt	Gr. gr. gr. gr. Uncle or Aunt
3	3 is to 1 a Grand Child	Nephew or Niece	First Cousin	Second Cousin	Third Cousin	Fourth Cousin	Fifth Cousin
4	4 is to 1 a Great Grand Child	Great Nephew or Niece	Second Cousin	Third Cousin	Fourth Cousin	Fifth Cousin	Sixth Cousin
5	5 is to 1 a Great, great Grand Child	Great, great Nephew or Niece	Third Cousin	Fourth Cousin	Fifth Cousin	Sixth Cousin	Seventh Cousin
6	6 is to 1 a Gr. gr. gr. Grand Child	Gr. gr. gr. Nephew or Niece	Fourth Cousin	Fifth Cousin	Sixth Cousin	Seventh Cousin	Eighth Cousin
7	7 is to 1 a Gr. gr. gr. gr. Grand Child	Gr. gr. gr. gr. Nephew or Niece	Fifth Cousin	Sixth Cousin	Seventh Cousin	Eighth Cousin	Ninth Cousin

JUNIOR RELATIONSHIP.



## GENERAL REMARKS

---

The consecutive method of numbering is now used by all genealogists and is the standard adopted by the New England Historical-Genealogical Register nearly half a century ago and still adhered to as being simple and easily understood. It will be noticed that children's names following their parental record, with their consecutive number on the left hand margin, are carried forward, in order, to the body of the record for fuller treatment. Children under age and those without issue are, as a rule, given no consecutive number, but their record is given in full under parental record. The collection of these records has been a difficult task and many are not as full as they should be, but the best has been done under the circumstances. Doubtless many errors have unavoidably crept in, and in order to correct the same and prevent them from being perpetuated by future genealogists, all who recognize such errors are requested to inform me of the same, and at some time in the future I will endeavor to send out to all purchasers of the record an errata paster.

The ancestry of the members of each family is given following the name, in the body of the record, of the oldest member of the family and a reference to this member, *by number*, follows each successive member of that family. Children who have been given no consecutive numbers will of course get their ancestry from their parental records.

Particular attention is called to the above ancestral paragraph, as it will greatly aid in the use of the Relationship Chart found on another page.

The abbreviations used in this record are few and will be readily understood without explanation.

O. F. H.

ANCESTRY *and* DESCENDANTS  
OF  
JOSIAH HALE.

---

FIRST GENERATION.

1

SAMUEL<sup>1</sup> HALE was our first American ancestor, born in England A. D. 1610 (Middletown Upper Houses, Grafton Press, N. Y City, p. 166).

The exact date of his coming to America is not known, but he is known to have been a settler at Hartford, Conn., in 1637 and that he bought land on the east side of the river Connecticut in 1639. He served in the Pequot war, with his brother Thomas, for which he received a lot in the Soldiers' Field (Glastonbury for 200 Years, p. 166, Hartford, 1885) and a grant of fifty acres was made to his heirs "for his services in the Pequot Warre" (Colonial Records, Conn., p. 423). In 1643 he resided in Weathersfield, Conn., moving to Norwalk, where he lived in 1655. While living in Norwalk he represented that town in the General Court in 1656, 1657 and in 1660 (Colonial Records, Conn., 1656—65, pp. 282, 288, 298). He returned to Weathersfield in 1660, living in that part now Glastonbury (set off in 1692), where he is reputed as having been a leading man. Died Glastonbury, Conn., Nov. 9, 1698. His wife's given name was Mary, thought by some to have been Mary Wells, but her maiden name is not certainly known.

His ancestry is not definitely known, but at the time when the settlement of New England was begun there were in England

at least three large families of Hales in different parts of the Kingdom, viz.: the Hales of Kent, the Hales of Hertford and the Hales of Gloucestershire, and it is believed that Samuel of Hartford was descended from one of these (Appendix, letter G).

Contemporary with Samuel Hale in this country was Thomas Hale of Newbury, Mass., and Dea. Robert Hale of Charleston, Mass., 1630, and from these three all the Hales of New England stock are descended. They are not known to have been related, the Thomas Hale of Newbury not being the Thomas spoken of as having served in the Pequot war with his brother Samuel (Appendix, letter C).

## CHILDREN.

- i. Martha, b. 1643.
- ii. Samuel, b. 1645; m. 1st Ruth Edwards, 1679, dan. of Thomas Edwards. She d. Dec. 26, 1682. M. 2nd Mary Wells, eldest dan. of Geo. Thos. Wells. She d. Feb. 18, 1715. He d. Nov. 12, 1711.
- iii. John, b. Feb. 21, 1647; m. Hannah Nott. He d. July 9, 1709.
- iv. Mary, b. 1649.
- v. Bebekah, b. 1651.
2. vi. Thomas, b. 1653; m. Naomi Kilburn.
- vii. Ebenezer, b. 1661.
- viii. Dorothy, b. ———.

For further information see Appendix, letters F. and G.

## SECOND GENERATION.

## 2

THOMAS<sup>2</sup> HALE (Samuel<sup>1</sup>). Born 1653, probably at Weathersfield; m. Naomi Kilburn, most likely at Weathersfield, now Glastonbury. He d. Dec. 23, 1723.

## CHILDREN.

- i. Naomi, b. Sept. 20, 1680; m. John Gains.
- ii. Mary, b. Nov. 20, 1682; m. Edward Benton.
3. iii. Thomas, b. Jan. 26, 1684; m. Susanna Smith.
- iv. Ruth, b. — — —; m. Benj. Hollister.
- v. Eunice, b. — — —; m. Ebenezer Kilburn.
- vi. Timothy, b. — — —, 1692; m. Sarah ———.