THE PLACE-NAMES OF CAMBRIDGESHIRE. NO. XXXVI

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649395729

The Place-names of Cambridgeshire. No. XXXVI by Walter W. Skeat

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

WALTER W. SKEAT

THE PLACE-NAMES OF CAMBRIDGESHIRE. NO. XXXVI



THE PLACE-NAMES

OF

CAMBRIDGESHIRE

THE PLACE-NAMES

OF

CAMBRIDGESHIRE

BY THE

REV. WALTER W. SKEAT, LITT.D., D.C.L., LLD., Ph.D.,
ELRINGTON AND BOSWORTH PROFESSOR OF ANGLO-SAXON
AND FELLOW OF CRIEF'S COLLEGE.



Cambridge :

PRINTED FOR THE CAMBRIDGE ANTIQUARIAN SOCIETY.

SOLD BY DEIGHTON, BELL & CO.; AND MACMILLAN & BOWES.

LONDON, GEORGE BELL AND SONS.

1901

CONTENTS.

		PAGE
§ 1.	PREPATORY REMARKS	1
§ 2.	THE SUFFIX TON:—Barton, Carlton, Caxton, Cherry Hinton, Chesterton, Clopton, Comberton, Coton, Croxton, Ditton, Drayton, Foxton, Girton, Harlton, Harston, Hauxton, Hinxton, Histon, Kingston, Linton, Long Stanton, Malton, Milton, Newton, Rampton, Royston, Saxton (Saxon Street), Sutton, Weston, Wilburton	5
§ 3.	THE SUFFIX -INGTON:—Arrington, Doddington, Impington, Leverington, Litlington, Oakington, Trumpington, Wimblington—Ickleton, Sawston—Abington, Barrington, Conington	14
§ 4.	THE SUFFIX -HAM:—Babraham, Badlingham, Balsham, Barham, Bottisham, Chettisham, Chippenham, Coldham, Cottenham, Downham, Dullingham, Fordham, Haddenham, Hildersham, Isleham, Newnham, Soham, Stretham, Swaffham, Teversham, West Wickham, Wilbraham, Willingham, Witcham	19
§ 5.	THE SUFFIX -STEAD : Olmstead	25
§ 6.	THE SUFFIX -WORTH:Boxworth, Duxford (Duxworth), Elsworth, Kneesworth, Lolworth, Pampisford (Pampisworth), Papworth, Stetchworth, Wentworth	25
§ 7.	The supplies -wick and -cote :—Benwick, Hardwick, Westwick—Coates, Caldecott	27
§ 8.	THE SUFFIXES -BRIDGE, -HITHX, -LOW, AND -WELL: Cambridge, Pearl's Bridge, Sturbridge—Clayhithe, Aldreth, Earith— Bartlow, Tadlow, Triplow—Barnwell, Burwell, Knapwell, Orwell, Outwell, Snailwell, Upwell	29

CONTENTS.

§ 9.	RETH, WARE:—Castle Camps, Shudy Camps—Chesterton, Grantchester—Ditton, Brent Ditch, Fleam Dike, Flendish—	PAGE
	Mepal, Enhale—Guyhirn—Oxlode—Littleport—Meldreth, Shepreth—Upware	37
§ 10.	THE SUFFIXES BEACH, BOURN, DEN, DOWN, EA, FEN, FIELD, FORD, HRATH, LEA, MERE, FOOL, WADE:—Landbeach, Waterbeach, Wisbeach.—Bourn, Bassingbourn, Fulbourn, Melbourn—Croydon (Crawden), Eversden (Eversdon), Gransden, Morden (Mordon), Guilden Morden, Steeple Morden—Whaddon—Anglesea, Barway, Coveney, Ely, Eastrea, Horningses, Manea, Stonea, Stuntney, Swavesey, Thorney, Welney, Wendy, Whittlesea, Gamlingay, (Bungay, Hilgay, Wormegay), Shingay, Lingay—Fen Ditton, &c.,—Haslingfield, Nosterfield, Radfield—Armingford, Chilford, Dernford, Shelford, Stapleford, Thetford, Whittlesford, Witchford—Horscheath—Ashley, Brinkley, Cheveley, Childerley, Eltisley, Graveley, Hatley, Madingley, Silverley, Westley, Wetherley—Fowlmere (Foulmire)—Wimpole—Landwads	44
§ 11.	Chatteris, Elm, Kennet, Kirtling, March, Newmarket, Over, Prickwillow, Quy, Reach, Spinney, Stane, Staplow, Stow,	eo
	Toft, Tydd, Wicken, Wratting	68
§ 12.	LIST OF ANCIENT MANORS	74
§ 13.	CONCLUSION	44
	IVARY	77

THE PLACE-NAMES OF CAMBRIDGESHIRE.

§ 1. PREFATORY REMARKS.

In attempting to deal with some of the principal placenames in Cambridgeshire, with a view to obtaining some light upon their etymologies, I find myself at a disadvantage in one respect, but in another to have some hopes of partial success. The disadvantage is, that I have made no wide or extended study of English place-names in general; and it is obvious that, in many an instance, one place-name is likely to throw light upon another, though the places may be in different counties. On the other hand, I have had much experience in tracing the etymologies of most of the main words that occur in our

h Dictionaries; and the phonetic laws that regulate place-names are precisely the same as those that regulate other native words that are in common use.

Perhaps there is no subject of study that is, generally speaking, in so neglected a state. The wild and ignorant guesswork of the eighteenth century, and even of the nineteenth, has filled our books of antiquities and our country histories with many misleading theories; and the results of these unconscionable inventions have not unfrequently found their way even into the ordnance-maps. However, the principles of phonetics are beginning to make progress. It is now recognised that, if it is necessary to look to our spellings, it is still more necessary to know what those spellings mean, and not to talk at random about words until we have at least learnt how to pronounce them. For it is, after all, the spoken word that

matters; the spellings are merely symbols and guides, and will only guide those who understand them.

It is only of late years that the phonetic laws which govern the gradations and mutations of Anglo-Saxon words have been intelligently investigated; and hence it is that it is quite impossible for such as know nothing about such laws to realise their intricacy, and the certainty with which, in the hands of the student, they point to the original sounds. And there is yet another matter which is of vast importance and has nevertheless received far too little attention; viz the now well ascertained fact that many of our spellings are Norman or Anglo-French, and cannot be interpreted even by the student of Anglo-Saxon until he has further realised what such symbols mean. I beg leave to say that this is a point which I have carefully studied; and I have now in the press a fairly complete statement of the 16 Canons whereby the spelling of a Norman scribe is distinguished from that of a Saxon one. Many of those who have hitherto investigated the spellings of Domesday Book have sometimes, I fear, been in almost complete ignorance of the sounds which such spellings denote. Whilst I offer these remarks by way of showing that I have considered the matter seriously, and have avoided frivolous guesses, I by no means suppose that all the results here obtained are final. Some are obvious; others are reasonably certain; but some are doubtful. Which these are, I shall usually endeavour to indicate, by the introduction of such words as 'probably' and ' possibly,' and the like.

I wish to express my sincere thanks for help received. I do not think I should have undertaken the present task but for the kindness of Mr C. Sayle and Mr J. E. Foster. Mr Sayle supplied me with the alphabetical list of the principal placenames in the county, nearly all of which are here considered; whilst Mr J. E. Foster did me inestimable service by ascertaining the old spellings of our place-names as they are given in the Red Book of the Exchequer, the Ely Registers, the Feudal Aids, the Pipe Rolls, and the like, supplying in every case the exact reference, and (wherever it was possible) the exact date. Only the philologist wholly realises the helpfulness of such

data; and it is sufficient to say that, without such material, the work could not have been undertaken at all. I shall frequently give the dates of various spellings below; but I wish it to be understood that, in every case, the exact reference is known, and the evidence can always be produced. When, for example, I say that Chesterton is spelt Cestretons in 1210 and in 1130, it is meant that Mr Foster has found that spelling under the date 1210–12, in the Red Book of the Exchequer (Rolls Series), p. 529, and under the date 1130–1 in the Pipe Roll.

I am also much indebted for many hints and corrections to Mr W. H. Stevenson, Fellow of Exeter College, Oxford; but it will be understood that he is in no way responsible for the results here given.

The chief authorities which I have myself consulted are not many. I may instance the very valuable work entitled Inquisitio Comitatus Cantabrigiensis, ed. N.E.S.A. Hamilton (London, 1876), which is practically the original of the Domesday Book as far as relates to Cambridgeshire, with the Inquisitio Eliensis appended; the Domesday Book for Cambridgeshire; the Ramsey Chronicle and the Ramsey Chartulary (in the Rolls Series); the printed charters as edited by Kemble, Thorpe, Earle, and Birch; Sweet's Oldest English Texts and his History of English Sounds; the New English Dictionary and the English Dialect Dictionary; the Anglo-Saxon Dictionary by Bosworth and Toller; and other helpful books of a like character. For the spelling of Anglo-Saxon names, I have depended on Kemble's Index of place-names in his sixth volume, and Searle's Onomasticon Anglo-Saxonicum. I have also obtained various useful information from Miller and Skertchly's book entitled The Fenland Past and Present, from a History of Cambridgeshire dated 1851, and from the more recent History of Cambridgeshire by Conybeare.

The result of a study of English place-names can hardly prove to be other than extremely disappointing, especially to the sanguine and the imaginative. Speaking generally, we can only satisfy our curiosity to a very limited extent; and we have borne in upon us the fact, which any reflecting mind might have anticipated, that names were conferred upon places quite