

INTOLERANCE IN THE REIGN OF ELIZABETH, QUEEN OF ENGLAND

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649222728

Intolerance in the reign of Elizabeth, Queen of England by Arthur Jay Klein

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

ARTHUR JAY KLEIN

**INTOLERANCE IN THE
REIGN OF ELIZABETH,
QUEEN OF ENGLAND**

INTOLERANCE IN THE REIGN OF ELIZABETH

LIBRARY OF
OCT 20
MEDICAL

INTOLERANCE
IN THE REIGN OF
Elizabeth
Queen of England

By ARTHUR JAY KLEIN, Professor of History
in Wheaton College, Norton, Massachusetts.



BOSTON & NEW YORK
HOUGHTON MIFFLIN COMPANY
The Riverside Press Cambridge
M. DCCC. XVII

COPYRIGHT, 1917, BY ARTHUR JAY KLEIM

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Published February 1917

PREFACE

IN the preparation of this study the writer has attempted to make the text interesting and intelligible to the average reader. He has, therefore, relegated the dry bones and paraphernalia of study to the footnotes and a bibliographical appendix. The material for the reign of Elizabeth is so voluminous, however, that footnotes and bibliography are not complete. The footnotes do not represent all the material upon which statements in the text are based, but the writer believes that the authorities given amply support the opinions and conclusions there expressed.

In selecting material for the footnotes from the vast amount of published and unpublished source matter collected in the preparation of this essay, the author has confined the references for the most part to a few representative men and collections of sources. The works of Jewel, Parker, Whitgift, Hooker, and Cartwright, the *Zurich Letters* and the *Domestic State Papers*, have, for instance, been chosen as most representative and easily available to the general reader. Unless otherwise noted, however, the author has depended upon the manuscripts in the Record Office and not upon the *Calendar of the Domestic State Papers*, since the *Calendar*, especially for the earlier years of Elizabeth's reign, is often so condensed as to give inadequate information. The representative sources selected have been given so as to make as complete as possible, within the limits of this study, the facts and opinions presented by them. Other sources have been given whenever those chosen as most representative were lacking or were not of sufficient weight.

The sources used consist of the laws, Parliamentary debates, acts of Council, proclamations, public and private

papers, correspondence, sermons, diaries, controversial works, and foreign comment. References in the footnotes to secondary works have been reduced to the minimum for the sake of the appearance of the printed page, but the writer has tried to express his sense of obligation to the work of others in the Bibliographical Appendix. It is hoped that the Appendix will serve the further purpose of assisting the American student, about to enter upon a study of Elizabethan ecclesiastical and religious history, to find his way in the somewhat confusing mass of the literature of the period.

There remains the pleasant duty of expressing my gratitude to the officials of the Public Record Office and of the British Museum for their courteous and painstaking assistance. To the Reverend Mr. Claude Jenkins, of the Lambeth Palace Library, who took the time to teach an American stranger how to read and handle the documents of the period, I owe one of my most⁹ pleasant memories of England and of Englishmen. To Miss Cornelia T. Hudson, reference assistant in the Library of Union Theological Seminary, I wish to express my thanks for friendly help in excess of the official courtesy with which I have met in all the libraries I have consulted. The mere acknowledgment of my debt of gratitude to Professor James T. Shotwell, of Columbia University, and to Professor William Walker Rockwell, of Union Theological Seminary, must necessarily express inadequately the value of the encouragement, the suggestions, and the hours of labor which they have so freely given. The kindness of Professor Edward P. Cheyney, of the University of Pennsylvania, in reading and criticizing the completed manuscript, and the help in reading the proof given by Professor F. J. Foakes Jackson, of Union Theological Seminary, have assisted materially in making the essay more readable.

ARTHUR J. KLEIN.

CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTORY I

Vague conceptions of tolerance — Social nature of intolerance — Intolerance manifested in all kinds of social activity — Intolerance of the larger groups of society — Religion intolerant because its truths are revealed and positive — Historic causes of religious intolerance — Extent of religious intolerance — Non-religious intolerance — Tolerance is not negative — This study deals with Elizabethan England — It was a period of the formation of parties — Importance of Protestant dissent for Elizabethan intolerance.

II. POLITICS AND RELIGION 7

The death of Mary Tudor — England at the accession of Elizabeth — Elizabeth's alleged illegitimacy — Catholics and Protestants — Paul IV and England — The position of Mary Stuart — The attitude of Philip II — The attitude of Scotland — Importance of securing the Queen's political position — Caution of the government — Religious tastes of Elizabeth — Religious indifference of the nation — Tendencies of the Marian exiles toward compromise — Compromise and the Catholics — Identification of the Sovereign and the State — Catholic opposition — Complication of the domestic with the foreign situation — Plans of the government — The first Parliament — Freedom of discussion — Disputation at Westminster — Employment of moderate Protestants — Character of the Parliament — Acts of Supremacy and Uniformity — Other acts of the Parliament — Removal of the Catholic Bishops — The Royal Visitation — High Commission — The choice of the higher clergy — The character of the new clergy — The choice of the lesser clergy — Elements of hope for Catholics — The foreign political situation — Weaknesses of the ecclesiastical system — Act for the Assurance of the Queen's Supremacy — Act for execution of Writ *de Excommunicato Capiendo* — Offenses that incurred excommunication — Acts against prophesyings and conjurers — Similarity of the new establishment to the old.

III. THE GOVERNMENT AND THE CATHOLICS 35

The lenient policy of the government — The Rebellion of the North — The old and new nobility — Significance of the revolt — The Bull of Excommunication — Its effect on the religious situation — Elizabeth's reply to the Bull — Need for further

legislation — Act making further offenses treason — Restraints upon the press — Act against the introduction of papal bulls and instruments — Fugitives beyond the sea — The Jesuit missionaries — Foreign dangers — Statutes to retain the Queen's subjects in obedience — Seditious words and rumors — Spanish resentment and plot — Parliament of 1584-85 — Parliament of 1586-87 — Mary Stuart in England — English policy and Mary Stuart — England, Mary, and Scotland — England, Mary, and Spain — The defeat of the Armada — Continued fear of the Spaniard — Enthusiasm for the Crown — Legislation of 1593 — The government and the Jesuits — Government policy in dealing with the Catholics — The imposition of the death penalty — Exile — Desire to keep Catholics in England — Exception in cases of the Jesuits and the poor — Inability of the government to imprison all Catholics — Fines and confiscations — Resistance of the Catholics — Failure of the fines and confiscations to produce an income — Later imposition of the pecuniary penalties — Lenient administration of the laws against Catholics — Governmental influence to prevent execution of letter of the law — Factions in the Council — Moderating proposals of Cecil — Educational value of the government's tolerant attitude.

IV. CHURCH AND STATE 64

Formative period of Anglicanism — The Establishment an experiment — Elements of patriotism and of moderation in the Church — Political dominance determined these characteristics — Relations of Church and State before Elizabeth — Causes for political dominance in Elizabeth's reign — The supremacy of the Queen — Erastianism — Legal extent of Crown's Supremacy — Exercise of supremacy by commission — Preservation of regular ecclesiastical jurisdiction — High Court of Delegates and the Royal Supremacy — Commissions of Review and the favor of the Crown — The Council and the High Commission — Change in the nature of High Commission activity — Council and Star Chamber — Court influence and the lower ecclesiastical courts — Justices of peace and the religious acts — Control of the Council over the justices of peace — The logic of secular administration of the Religious Acts — Use of the prerogative writs by King's Bench and Common Pleas — Special privileges — The Peculiars — The Peculiars added confusion to the system — The Palatinates — Lesser franchises — System subject to the interference of the Court at all points — Irregularity, causes and results — The Queen's prerogative and coercive power — Dispensing power of the Crown — Legality of the judicial acts of the Queen and Council — Extent of the activity of the Council — Need for coordinating power — Inadequacy of the inherited machinery to deal with new conditions — The success of the relationship existing between State and Church — State intolerance imposed upon the Church — Religious and ecclesiastical intolerance restrained by the State — Influence of the union of the Church and State upon the development of dissent — Political dominance and promotion of tolerance — Personal influence of the Queen in this development.