

**A PLEA FOR VOLUNTARY  
SOCIETIES: AND A DEFENCE  
OF THE DECISIONS OF THE  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 1836**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649059720

A Plea for Voluntary Societies: And a Defence of the Decisions of the General Assembly of 1836  
by Absalom Peters

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**ABSALOM PETERS**

**A PLEA FOR VOLUNTARY  
SOCIETIES: AND A DEFENCE  
OF THE DECISIONS OF THE  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 1836**



**P L E A**  
**FOR**  
**VOLUNTARY SOCIETIES,**  
**AND A**  
**D E F E N C E**  
**OF THE**  
**DECISIONS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 1836,**

**AGAINST THE STRUCTURES OF THE**  
**PRINCETON REVIEWERS AND OTHERS.**

---

**BY A MEMBER OF THE ASSEMBLY.**

---

**NEW-YORK:**  
**PUBLISHED BY JOHN S. TAYLOR,**  
**THEOLOGICAL AND SUNDAY-SCHOOL BOOKSELLER,**  
**Brick Church Chapel, opposite the City Hall.**

**1837.**

*Gilt*  
*Tappan Publ. Ass.*  
*3-7-1932*

## CONTENTS.

### PREFATORY REMARKS.

PAGE:

Publications and measures of the minority of the Assembly of 1836 since its rising—To prevent division, the object of this work. 13.

### CHAPTER I.

#### A PLEA FOR VOLUNTARY ASSOCIATIONS IN THE WORK OF MISSIONS—GENERAL PRINCIPLES.

Signs of the times indicating the approaching conversion of the world—The Church, a spiritual society for a spiritual work—Associated action necessary—Different views of the way in which this action should be applied—Views of the friends of Voluntary Associations—Views of the friends of ecclesiastical organizations—Reasons for the former—1. Christ has left this matter to the decision of every man's conscience—2. The Bible does not enjoin this work on the Church, as such, to be done through her judicatories—Denominational organizations not enjoined by the Bible—The higher judicatories of the Presbyterian Church not of Divine appointment—To be done by the Church it is not necessary that the work be done by ecclesiastical organization—Efforts of the primitive Church voluntary—*Inexpediency* of Missionary effort through Church courts—1. The General Assembly not well adapted to this work—2. Defective responsibility of Boards ecclesiastically organized—3. Evil effect of so much property and secular business on the spirituality of church judicatories—4. Ecclesiastical organization not favorable to unembarrassed and alert action—Reference to various examples of the voluntary character. . . . . 17

4-30-32 Voluntary

## CHAPTER II.

PAGE.

DEFENCE OF THE DECISIONS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 1836	
—ATTEMPTED ORGANIZATION OF A BOARD OF FOREIGN MISSIONS	
—The Assembly of 1836, a large one—Occasions of this—History of the attempted organization—Resolutions of the Assembly of 1836—Mr. Latta's resolution—Less than one third of the Assembly present—Terms of agreement submitted by the Committee of the Assembly to the Synod of Pittsburgh—Accepted by the Synod—Resolutions of the Synod of Philadelphia—These documents referred by the Assembly of 1836 to a Committee—Dr. Phillips' report of the majority of this Committee—The plan rejected by the Assembly—Protest of Dr. Miller and others—Assembly's answer by Dr. Peters.....	31

## CHAPTER III.

THE PRINCETON REVIEWERS REVIEWED—Hasty publication of their Review—Errors arising from this—Their history of the missionary case incorrect—Examination of the true origin of the proposed ecclesiastical organization—The Reviewers attribute it to Dr. J. H. Rice—Dr. Rice's overture written in 1831—The Princeton professors not led by it to desire an ecclesiastical missionary organization—Dr. Miller's views in 1833 adverse to such organization—But in favour of it in 1836—The change not owing to Dr. Rice's overture—The plan connected with the Pittsburgh Convention—Resolutions of that Convention.—Extracts from its memorial to the General Assembly of 1836—Its principles virtually sustained by that Assembly—Other particulars showing the origin of the proposed organization....	48
--	----

## CHAPTER IV.

THE PRINCETON REVIEWERS REVIEWED—FURTHER EVIDENCE THAT THE PROPOSAL TO ORGANIZE A FOREIGN MISSIONARY BOARD OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY ORIGINATED IN THE PITTSBURGH CONVENTION—THE OVERTURE OF DR. RICE EXPLAINED—Statements of Dr. Miller—The Pittsburgh Convention make no reference to Dr. Rice's overture—That paper does not sanction the measures of the Convention and the Assembly of 1836—Nor provide for a	
--	--

CONTENTS.

IX

	PAGE.
permanent board—Views of Dr. Rice in 1828—Quotation from his Review of Beecher's Sermons—His letter to the American Home Missionary Society in 1829—To Dr. Wisner in 1830.....	64

CHAPTER V.

<p><b>THE PRINCETON REVIEWERS REVIEWED—DISCUSSION OF THE RIGHT OF THE ASSEMBLY TO CONDUCT MISSIONS—THE AGREEMENT WITH THE SYNOD OF PITTSBURGH UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND INCOMPLETE—Positions of the Reviewers—1. That the General Assembly of 1836 was bound to appoint the proposed board—Examination of the reasons by which this is supported—The right of the Assembly to conduct missions under certain restrictions admitted—The Constitution on this point—Does not confer the power of appointing a permanent Board of Missions over the heads of the Presbytery—The conditions attached to the proposed organization, a reason for its rejection—The contract with the Synod of Pittsburgh not completed by the Committee of the General Assembly of 1835—Right of the Assembly of 1836 to reject it without a violation of good faith—Correction of the Reviewers as to remarks of Dr. Peters—Whence the right of the Assembly to conduct Missions—Its limitations.....</b></p>	78
--	----

CHAPTER VI.

<p><b>THE PRINCETON REVIEWERS REVIEWED—THE CHARGE OF INCONSISTENCY REFUTED—The positions of the Majority not new—The Reviewers' misconception of them—The same principles published in 1830—Quotation from Mr. Peters' "Plea for Union in the West"—The question at issue not a new one.....</b></p>	94
--	----

CHAPTER VII.

<p><b>THE PRINCETON REVIEWERS REVIEWED—UNREASONABLENESS OF THE CLAIMS OF THE MINORITY—2d. Position of the Reviewers, that the proposed organization "is reasonable and expedient"—their arguments for this examined—The measure not "reciprocal," as is asserted—An ecclesiastical organization unfair because it would bind to support it those who do not approve it—The General Assembly the "mutual representative" of both portions of the Church</b></p>	
--	--



—The minority may not use their "natural right" so as to destroy the rights of others—How they can carry their plans into effect ecclesiastically—The proposed measure voluntary and not ecclesiastical in its origin—3d. Position of the Reviewers—That the Assembly ought to organize a Board of Foreign Missions because a portion of the Church desires it—The minority have no claim to its adoption ecclesiastically by the whole Church..... 102

## CHAPTER VIII.

THE PRINCETON REVIEWERS REVIEWED—The 4th argument of the Reviewers—That other denominations have their organizations for Missionary purposes—Yct these are mostly voluntary—An ecclesiastical organization not consistent with the liberal character of the American Presbyterian Church, whose glory it is that it is less exclusive than other denominations—Example of the Moravians not favourable to the purpose of the Reviewers—Results of their Missions compared with those of Voluntary Societies—5th. Argument of the Reviewers, that the proposed plan is essential to the bringing of the Church, in its present state, into action—How the Churches have been brought to this state—Influence of "monied men"..... 112

## CHAPTER IX.

THE PRINCETON REVIEWERS REVIEWED—TRIAL AND RESTORATION OF MR. BARNES—INFLUENCES LEADING TO DIVISION OF THE CHURCH DEPRECATED—History of the case of Mr. Barnes—Dr. Miller's Resolution in the Assembly of 1836.—Mr. Laberec's—Protest of Dr. Phillips and others—Protest of Dr. Hoge and others—The Assembly's answer—Notices of the complaints of the Reviewers on the case.—On the mode of taking the question.—Mr. Barnes not a 'Taylorite'—The "Edwardeans" not inconsistent in restoring him—Dr. Peters' speech misrepresented by the Reviewers—The correct report of that speech from the New-York Obs.—The Assembly did not endorse the alleged errors—The Reviewers surprised at the Assembly's answer—The real ground of the alarm expressed by the Reviewers—Their remarks on the impropriety of divisive measures correct—Yct their attack on the majority of the Assembly, and on individuals in it, tend to division—Resolutions of the Presbytery of New-Brunswick..... 126

## CHAPTER X.

PROPOSED DIVISION OF THE CHURCH—The Secret Circular and the Pamphlet of the Confidential Committee—Intended to promote division—Their unfair presentation of the case of Mr. Barnes and the Foreign Missionary question—Avowal of the purpose to produce separation—Meeting of the minority at Pittsburgh—Their plan of operation—Comments on the Secret Circular by a Correspondent of the Philadelphia Observer—Its tendency—its departure from Presbyterian principles—Its authors.....	156
--	-----

## CHAPTER XI.

PROPOSED DIVISION OF THE CHURCH—The Adopting Act of 1729—Schism in 1741—Dr. Miller's account of the re-union in 1758—Alarm of the minority arises from a fear of losing power—The majority desire not ascendancy but protection—Concluding remarks.....	175
---	-----

