THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO. THE HEBREW PARTICLE. A DISSERTATION

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649471706

The University of Chicago. The Hebrew Particle. A Dissertation by Carl Gaenssle

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

CARL GAENSSLE

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO. THE HEBREW PARTICLE. A DISSERTATION

Trieste

The University of Chicago

.

.

9 .ex

THE HEBREW PARTICLE

A DISSERTATION

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ARTS AND LITERATURE IN CANDIDACY FOR THE DECREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

(DEPARTMENT OF SEMITIC LANGUAGES AND LITERATURES)

BY CARL GAENSSLE

ANDOV THEOLOGI	CAT	ARVARD
DEC	cat	1915
		1912
HAR DIVINITY	S	PN H'YH

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

÷.

Agruta

THE CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS LONDON AND EDINBURGE

THE MARUZEN-KABUSHIKI-KAISHA TOKYO, OSAKA, KYOTO

> KARL W. HIERSEMANN LEIPZIG

THE BAKER & TAYLOR COMPANY NEW YORK

.

The University of Chicago

. •

THE HEBREW PARTICLE つじゃ

A DISSERTATION

.

•

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ARTS AND LITERATURE IN CANDIDACY FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

(DEPARTMENT OF SEMITIC LANGUAGES AND LITERATURES)

BY CARL GAENSSLE



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

ANDOVER-HARVARD THEOLOGICAL LIBRARY DEC 1 1915 HARVARD DIVINITY SCHOOL H43,648

1

363 Gaenssie

Published March 1915

Composed and Printed By The University of Chicago Press Chicago, Illinois, U.S.A.

1997. - 1997. - 1997. 1997. - 1997. - 1997. - 1997. - 1997. 1997. - 1997. - 1997. - 1997. - 1997. - 1997. - 1997. - 1997. - 1997. - 1 1

activity States

.

66

CONTENTS

PART I. TWN AS A NOTA RELATIONIS1

22

35

000 ₂₄

| | A. THE ETYMOLOGY OF TUN |
|------------|---|
| a) | VARIOUS ETYMOLOGICAL THEORIES |
| | Reference to Older Theories as to the Relation between אוֹש and ש׳. Recent Theories. Theory of the Priority of אוֹשר. The View of Ewald. Theory of the Priority of ש׳. The Views of Boettcher and Wright. Theory of the Substantive Origin of אוֹשר. |
| 41 | THEORY OF THE COMMON PRONOMINAL ORIGIN OF THE AND T |
| 0, | Fixanres |
| c) | THE SUBSTANTIVE ORIGIN OF THEN |
| ., | 19-24. Traces of Substantive Origin in the Adverbial Use of TUN. 25-32. The Adverbial Use of TUN without Analogy in the Demonstratives of Other Semitic Dialects. 33-39. The Close Analogy between TUN and the Assyrian a šar. 40. Objection against the Substantive Origin of TUN Considered. 41-45. Analogies from Other Languages. |
| | B. THE STNTACTIC RELATION OF |
| a) | CRITICISM OF BAUMANN'S THEORY |
| -/ | 54-50. Baumann's Theory of the Syntax of Υύχ. 51-53. Baumann's Theory Involves the Assumption That Two Successive Demonstratives May Belong to the Same Antecedent. 54. A Point of Difference between the Relative Use of TI and N, and Υύχ. 55-57. Comparison with Other Semitic Dialects. 58-61. Υύχ Following Demonstratives Used Alone. |
| b) | SUBSTANTIVE RELATIVE CLAUSES |
| •1 | ¹ Cf. sec. 39, note. |

3

.

CARL GAENSSLE

| | | | PAGE |
|----|------------------|--|-------|
| | | 1. Substantive Relative Clauses as Subject | |
| | | Syntactic Position of TUR according to Boettcher-Baumann
and Gesenius-Kautzsch Grammar. | |
| | 63-65. | Objections against This View. | |
| | | 2. Substantive Relative Clauses as Object | |
| | 66. | Examples Illustrating Baumann's Theory | |
| | | Objections against the Theory. Importance Attached to אמר Preceding אמיר. | |
| | 69. | Object Relative Clauses without nn. | |
| 4 | 3. | Substantive Relative Clauses Depending on a Preposition | |
| | 70. | Clauses with אמר. | |
| | 71. | Clauses without Nin. | |
| | | 4. Relative Clauses in Construct State | |
| | 72. | Clauses with min. | |
| | 73. | Clauses without wir. | |
| c) | א אשר | VAGUE MEDIUM OF RELATION | 50 |
| | 74-76. | Used as a Connective Indicating Neither a Relative Nor a
Conjunctional Subordination. | |
| | 77. | Does the Duty of a Partitive Genitive. | |
| | 78. | Takes the Place of an Accusative of Result. | |
| | 79. | Expresses Attendant Circumstance. | |
| | | Expresses Means (?). | |
| | 81.
82. | Dispenses with Adjuncts Ordinarily Employed.
After Time-Determinations. | |
| | | | 1220 |
| d) | 12120 - 500 - 51 | N CLAUSES OF SPECIFICATION | 55 |
| | 83-86. | Clauses the Equivalent of an Accustive of Specification. | |
| e) | MISCEI | LLANEOUS | 58 |
| | 87. | with an Entire Sentence as Antecedent. | |
| | 88. | Construed Like the Indo-European Relative. | |
| | | Attraction of Antecedent. Salzverflechtung. | |
| | 90. | Relative Clause Precedes Antecedent. | |
| | 91.
92. | Removed from Antecedent by Intervening Words.
Continues an Idea Begun by a Participle. | |
| 8 | 17 | | 12325 |
| n | | ETROSPECTIVE COMPLEMENT | 63 |
| | | Weakness of Baumann's View Regarding the Syntactic Importance of the 'Aid. | |
| | | View of König as to the Origin of the 'Afd | |
| | 98-100 | . Erroneous Statements of Gesenius-Kautzsch Grammar with Reference to the Suppression of the 'Atd. | |

13

4

80

1000 C

THE HEBREW PARTICLE NON

el eg

5

| | | PAGE | |
|-----------|--|------|----------------------|
| PAR | T II. THE CONJUNCTIONAL USE OF "D' AND ITS | | |
| | Compounds | | |
| a) שלאר U | SED ALONE | 71 | 3 |
| 101- 2. | General Remarks. | | |
| 103. | in Subject Clauses. | | |
| 104- 6. | In Object Clauses. | | |
| 107. | In Causal Clauses. | | |
| 108- 9. | In Causal Relative Clauses. | | |
| 110-11. | In Pure Final Clauses. | | |
| 112. | In Complementary Final Clauses. | | |
| 113. | In Final Relative Clauses. | | |
| 114. | In Consecutive Clauses. | | |
| 115. | In a Complementary Consecutive Clause. | • | |
| 116. | In Consecutive Relative Clauses. | | |
| 117. | In Conditional Clauses. | | |
| 118-34. | In Conditional Relative Clauses. | | |
| | In Explicative Clauses. | | |
| 139. | In Concessive Clauses. | | |
| 140. | In Concessive Relative Clauses. | | |
| 141. | In Temporal Clauses. | | |
| | In Modal Clauses. | | |
| 144. | NUR Recitatioum. | | |
| b) אשר (b | Compounds | 105 | |
| 145-47. | שר as a Rule Dispensed with. הַאָשֶׁר as a Rule Dispensed with. | | |
| | in Comparative Clauses | | |
| 148-54. | TWN Equivalent to "According to That Which," the | | |
| 155-57. | THE Equivalent to "According to the Fact or Circumstance That," the The Revealing Its Conjunctional Force. | | |
| 158. | Introducing an Assumed Comparison. | | |
| 159. | Equivalent to quo [eo]. | | |
| 160-61. | In Temporal Clauses. | | |
| 162. | In Causal Clauses. | | |
| | באשר | | ${\mathfrak t}_{i};$ |
| 163. | As a Causal Conjunction. | | |
| | על אמור | | |
| 164-65 | Causal. | | |
| | Used Concessively. | | |
| | כל-דבר אמור | | |
| | | | |

167. Causal.