THE LAW AND PRACTICE UNDER THE BILLS
OF SALE ACTS, 1854, 1866 AND 1878:
COMPRISING THE ACTS, PRECEDENTS, AND
FORMS, TOGETHER WITH NOTES ON THE
LAW OF FIXTURES AND BANKRUPTCY SO
FAR AS THE SAME RELATE TO BILLS OF SALE

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649536702

The Law and Practice under the Bills of Sale Acts, 1854, 1866 and 1878: Comprising the Acts, Precedents, and Forms, Together with Notes on the Law of Fixtures and Bankruptcy so Far as the Same Relate to Bills of Sale by Darcy Bruce Wilson

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

DARCY BRUCE WILSON

THE LAW AND PRACTICE UNDER THE BILLS
OF SALE ACTS, 1854, 1866 AND 1878:
COMPRISING THE ACTS, PRECEDENTS, AND
FORMS, TOGETHER WITH NOTES ON THE
LAW OF FIXTURES AND BANKRUPTCY SO
FAR AS THE SAME RELATE TO BILLS OF SALE



Law and Practice

UNDER

THE BILLS OF SALE ACTS

1854, 1866, AND 1878.

COMPRISING

THE ACTS, PRECEDENTS, AND FORMS,

TOGETHER WITH

NOTES ON THE LAW OF FIXTURES AND BANKRUPTCY SO FAR AS THE SAME RELATE TO BILLS OF SALE.

BY

DARCY BRUCE WILSON, M.A.,

OP BALLIOL COLLEGE, OXFORD, AND THE INNER TEMPLE, BARRISTER-AT-LAW.

LONDON:

LAW TIMES OFFICE, 10, WELLINGTON-STREET, STRAND, W.C.

1879.

LONDON:

PRINTED BY HORACE COX,

. 10, WELLINGTON-STREET, STRAND, W.C.

PREFACE.

THE Bills of Sale Acts, 1854 and 1866, being still in force as regards bills of sale executed before the 1st of January, 1879, the text of those Acts has been printed at length; the portions altered or amended by the Act of 1878 being, so far as possible, distinguished by italics.

The text of the Act of 1878 appears twice—in the first instance, at page 9, where the Act is printed by itself; and afterwards with notes, embodying the principal decisions on the former Acts, appended to the several sections.

The 21st section of the Act of 1878 provides that rules may be made for the purposes of the Act in the same manner as under the Judicature Act. No such rules have yet been issued, and, upon inquiry, I am informed that it is not intended to issue any at present. Under the circumstances, it is presumed that the practice which has been adopted under the

former Acts will apply to cases arising under the new Act, unless and until altered by rules.

The table of cases prefixed contains references to all the reports in which the cases cited appear.

D. B. W.

ADDENDUM

Page 26, line 8 from top. This case, however, has been recently dissented from by the Court of Appeal; and it seems that now a liberal construction will be put upon the definition of a bill of sale: (Es parte Cooper, re Baum, W. N. 1878, p. 244.)

TABLE OF CASES.

Bar-Cli	PAGE
Barker v. Aston, 1 F. & F. 192	63, 109
Barker v. Smark, 7 M. & W. 590	108
Barrand, Re, 4 Ch. Div. 23; 35 L. T. Rep. 950; 46 L. J. Bank. 38	64 70
Beadon v. Parrott, 40 L. J. M. C. 200	40
Beales v. Tennant, 29 L. J. Q. B. 188; 6 Jur. N. S. 628; 1 L. T.	
Rep. 295 Beck v. Rebow, 1 P. Wms. 94	32
Begbie v. Fenwick, L. Rep. 8 Ch. 1075 n.; 24 L. T. Rep. 58; 19	
W. E. 402 Belding v. Read, 3 H. & C. 955; 13 L. T. Rep. 66; 11. Jur. N. S.	
547; 34 L. J. Ex. 212; 13 W. E. 867	
Bell v. Tidd, 9 Dowl. 949; 6 Jur. 59	68
Bellamy v. Sauli, 4 B. & S. 265; 8 L. T. Rep. 534; 32 L. J.	
O D 900. 11 TO D 000	62. 69
Q. B. 366; 11 W. R. 800 57. Bessey e. Windham, 6 Q. B. 166; 14 L. J. Q. B. 7	52
Biddulph v. Goold, 11 W. R. 882	48, 57
Bishop v. Elliott, 10 Ex. 496; 11 Ex. 113; 24 L. J. Ex. 229	
Blackwell v. England, 8 E. & B. 541	
Blake v. Izard, 16 W. R. 108	8 55 0
Blanshard, Re. 8 Ch. Div. 601; 38 L. T. Rep. 601	
Blount v. Harris, 47 L. J. Q. B. 596; 27 W. B. 202	
Boyd v. Shorrock, L. Rep. 5 Eq. 72; 17 L. T. Rep. 197; 37 L. J.	
Ch. 144; 16 W. B. 102	42
Brantom v. Griffits, 1 C. P. Div. 349; 2 C. P. Div. 212; 34 L. T.	į
Rep. 871; 36 L. T. Rep. 4; 45 L. J. C. P. 588; 46 L. J.	
C. P. 408; 24 W. B. 762; 25 W. R. 813 23, 30	. 34, 37
Briggs v. Boss, L. Rep. 3 Q. B. 268; 37 L. J. Q. B. 101;	
	60, 61
16 W. R. 480	
C. P. 130; 19 W. R. 386	59, 60
Brook, Ex parts, rs Roberts, W. N. 1878, p. 237	32
Brooke, Ez parte, re Hassall, L. Rep. 9 Ch. 301; 30 L. T. Rep.	
103; 43 L. J. Bank. 49; 22 W. R. 395	
Brown, Ex parts, re Reed, 9 Ch. Div. 389; 39 L. T. Rep. 338	34, 48
Brown v. Bateman, L. Rep. 2 C. P. 272; 15 L. T. Rep. 658;	Comment of the commen
36 L. J. C. P. 134; 15 W. R. 350	
Buckland v. Butterfield, 2 Brod. & B. 54; 4 Moore, 440	38
Bunbury v. Matthews, 1 C. & K. 380	
Burdekin v. Potter, 1 Dowl. N. S. 134	
Byerley v. Prevoet, L. Rep. 6 C. P. 144	
CAER v. Acraman, 11 Ex. 566; 25 L. J. Ex. 90	24
Carr v. Allatt, 27 L. J. Ex. 385	24
Cawthorne v. Holben, 1 N. R. (B. & P.) 279	
Chidell v. Galsworthy, 6 C. B. N. S. 471	
Chipp v. Harris, 5 M. & W. 430	55
Clifford v. Turrill, 1 Y. & C. Ch. 138; 9 Jur. 633; 14 L. J.	-
Сћ. 390	48
Climie v. Wood, L. Rep. 3 Ex. 257; 4 Ex. 328; 18 L. T. Rep.	01 62
809 : 37 L. J. Ex. 158	31, 32

ı

TABLE OF CASES.

Coe-Duk	PAGE
Cochrane, Ez parte, L. Rep. 20 Eq. 282; 32 L. T. Rep. 508;	
44 L. J. Bank. 87; 23 W. B. 726	37, 50
Cohen, Ex parte, re Cohn, 38 L. T. Rep. 884	23, 26
Colegrave v. Dios Santos, 2 B. &. C. 77	32
Collins, Ez parts, L. Rep. 10 Ch. 367; 32 L. T. Rep. 108; 44 L. J.	
Bank. 78; 23 W. B. 862	48, 63
Congreve v. Evetts, 10 Ex. 298; 18 Jur. 655; 23 L. J. Ex. 273	24
Conning, Exparte, L. Rep. 16 Eq. 414; 21 W. R. 784	27, 28
Cookson v. Fryer, 1 F. & F. 328	101
Cooper, Ex parte, re Baum, W. N. 1878, p. 244	53
Cooper v. Braham, 15 L. T. Rep. 610	64
Cooper v. Chitty, 1 Burr. 20; 1 Smith L. C. 488	54
Cooper v. Woolfit, 2 H. & N. 122; 3 Jur. N. S. 870; 26 L. J.	
Ex. 810	30, 33
Coote v. Jecks, L. Rep. 13 Eq. 597; 41 L. J. Ch. 599	29
Copland v. Davis, L. Rep. 5 H. of L. 358	23
Cort v. Sagar, 3 H. & N. 370; 27 L. J. Ex. 378	57
Cox v. Hewer, 3 L. T. Rep. 508; 6 Jur. N. S. 1339; 30 L. J.	
Q. B. 73; 9 W. B. 143	59, 60
Q. B. 73; 9 W. R. 143 Crawoour, Es parte, 9 Ch. Div. 419; 39 L. T. Rep. 2; 26	
W. R. 733 Credland v. Potter, L. Rep. 10 Ch. 8; 31 L. T. Rep. 356; 23	27
	64
W. R. 36	7
Crosbie v. Murphy, 8 Ir. C. L. Rep. 301	61
Daglish, Ez parts, L. Rep. 8 Ch. 1072; 29 L. T. Rep. 168; 21 W. R. 893 34 Daines v. Heath, 3 C. B. 938; 11 Jur. 185; 16 L. J. C. P. 117	
W R. 893	38 44
Deines " Heath 3 C R 938 : 11 Inv 185 : 16 L I C P 117	110
Darvill v. Terry, 6 H. & N. 807; 30 L. J. Ex. 335	53, 57
Davies v. Jones, 5 Dowl. 503; 10 W. R. 779; 7 L. T. Rep.	
130	36, 68
Davis v. Jones, 2 B. & Ald. 165 Dawson, Ex parte, L. Rep. 19 Eq. 438; 44 L. J. Bank. 49; 32	
L. T. Rep. 102	53
De Bastros v. Wilmot, 1 Hodges 15	
Dean v. Allalley, 2 Esp. 11	
Deffall v. Miles 15 L. T. Ren. 993	47, 55
Deffell v. Miles, 15 L. T. Rep. 293 Deffell v. White, L. Rep. 2 C. P. 144; 15 L. T. Rep. 211; 12	
Jur. N. S. 902; 36 L. J. C. P. 25; 15 W. R. 68	34, 62
D'Eyncourt v. Gregory, L. Rep. 3 Eq. 382; 36 L. J. Ch. 107;	0.4,00
15 W. B. 186	31
Dillon v. Edwards, 2 Moo. & P. 550	58
Doe v. Bragg, 8 A. & E. 620	
Doe v. Lewis, 10 B. & C. 673	
Doe v. Snaith, 8 Bing. 146	
Downs v. Garbutt, 12 L. J. Q. B. 269; 7 Jur. 800; 2 D. N. S. 939	
Dryden v. Hope, 9 W. R. 18, p. 60	
Duck v. Braddyl, 13 Price 455; M'Clel. 217	
Duke of Northumberland v. Todd, 7 Ch. Div. 777; 38 L. T. Rep.	
746; 47 L. J. Ch. 343; 26 W. B. 350	55, 69

TABLE OF CASES.

Dum—Gum	PA	OB.
Dumergue v. Rumsey, 2 H. & C. 777		33
Dyer v. Greene, 1 Ex. 71; 16 L. J. Ex. 239		
EARL of Limerick, Re, 7 Ir. Jur. N. S. 65		61
Edwards v. Edwards, 2 Ch. Div. 291; 34 L. T. Rep. 472; 24 W. B. 713; 45 L. J. Ch. 391	50	g.
Elliott v. Freeman, 7 L. T. Rep. 715	30,	58
Ellison v. Ellison, 1 Wh. & Tud. L. C. 273		53
Elwes v. Mawe, 2 Sm. L. C. 162; 3 East, 38		32
Emanuel v. Bridger, L. Rep. 9 Q. B. 286; 43 L. J. Q. B. 96; 30		36
L. T. Rep. 195 Emmott v. Marchant, 3 Q. B. Div. 555; 47 L. J. Q. B. 436; 38		5000
L. T. Rep. 508; 26 W. B. 632		69
Empson v. Soden, 4 B. & Ad. 656; 1 Nev. & M. 720 England v. Downs, 2 Beav. 522; 9 L. J. Ch. 313; 4 Jur.		33
526 Eslick, Re (see Alexander, Ez parte).		57
FELLS, Re, 4 Ch. Div. 509; 36 L. T. Rep. 38; 46 L. J. Bank. 23;		
25 W. R. 382 Fisher, Ex parts, L. Rep. 7 Ch. 636; 26 L. T. Rep. 931; 20 W. R.		87
849		53
Fisher v. Dixon, 12 Cl. & Fin. 312; 9 Jur. 833		32
36 L. T. Bep. 758; 25 W. B. 573		36
Foley v. Addenbrocke, 13 M. & W. 174; 14 L. J. Ex. 169	UK.200	
Pool - Date of T.O.B. on T.T. W.C.O.	59,	28
Fowler v. Foster, 28 L. J. Q. B. 210; 5 Jur. N. S. 99		
Rep. 208 Furber, Ez parte, 6 Ch. Div. 181; 36 L. T. Rep. 668	28,	54
GARDNOR v. Shaw, 24 L. T. Rep. 319; 19 W. E. 753		58
756		28
Gibson, Re, 8 Ch. Div. 280 Gough v. Everard, 2 H. & C. 1; 8 L. T. Rep. 363; 32 L. J. Ex.		53
210 : 11 W. R. 702	36,	37
Grant v. Shaw, L. Rep. 7 Q. B. 700; 27 L. T. Rep. 602; 41 L. J. Q. B. 305		61
Gray, Re, 21 L. J. Q. B. 380; 1 B. C. C. 93		69
Green v. Attenborough, 3 H. & C. 468; 11 L. T. Rep. 513; 34		57
L. J. Ex. 88; 13 W. E. 185 Grindell v. Brendon, 6 C. B. N. S. 698; 7 W. B. 579; 28 L. J. C.	58,	69
P. 333 Grymes v. Boweren, 6 Bing. 437; 4 M. & P. 143	90,	32
Gugen v. Sampson, 4 F. & F. 974		61
Gummer v. Adams, 13 L. J. Ex. 40		68