

**THREE LECTURES ON
THE CHURCH OF
SCOTLAND; PP. 1-97**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649417698

Three Lectures on the Church of Scotland; pp. 1-97 by Robert Rainy

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

ROBERT RAINY

**THREE LECTURES ON
THE CHURCH OF
SCOTLAND; PP. 1-97**

AP
BX
5320
R159
1872

THREE LECTURES

ON THE

CHURCH OF SCOTLAND,

WITH ESPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE DEAN OF WESTMINSTER'S
RECENT COURSE ON THAT SUBJECT,

DELIVERED IN THE MUSIC HALL, ON THE 24TH, 26TH, AND 28TH JANUARY, 1872.

BY

ROBERT RAINY, D.D.

THIRD EDITION.

EDINBURGH:
JOHN MACLAREN, PRINCES STREET.

MDCCLXXII.

Western Univ. Lib.
9.
8-21-1923

NOTE.

THE Course of Lectures delivered by the Dean of Westminster, and referred to in those which follow, closed on Friday, the 12th of January. Those now published were resolved upon on Tuesday, the 16th, and were delivered on the earliest days thereafter on which the Music Hall could be procured for the purpose—viz., on the 24th, 26th, and 31st of January. Readers will understand that no great elaboration is to be looked for in such circumstances. The Lectures are now published as they were delivered; except that passages omitted in delivery from want of time, are restored to their places, effect is given to one or two corrections in matters of fact, forwarded to me by the courtesy of gentlemen on whom I had commented, and one or two notes are added which have occurred to me in passing the sheets through the press.

R. R.

EDINBURGH, 3rd February, 1872.

Contents.

	PAGE
Influence on Scottish Convictions,	46
Parliament,	46
Hildebrandism,	47
Laudianism,	50
Disruption of 1843. Dean's View,	51
Establishments,	53

LECTURE III.

VIEWS OF CHRISTIANITY DOMINANT IN SCOTTISH CHURCH LIFE.

Two Conditions—the First,	55
The Second,	58
Illustration, Dr. Chalmers,	61
The Dean and the Moderates,	64
<i>Qui bono?</i>	65
Precursors, Robert Douglas,	66
Leighton,	67
Carstairs,	68
The Dean's Case,	69
Moderate Tolerance,	70
Moderate Zeal; the Highlands,	71
Moderate Zeal; Whitefield,	73
The Secession,	74
True History of Moderatism,	75
Culture before Truth and Life,	81
Dean's Sympathy with Moderatism,	83
Robert Burns,	85
Advocacy of Established Churches,	87
Answer for the Non-Established,	89
Conclusion,	90
Appendix A,	93
Appendix B,	96

THE CHURCH OF SCOTLAND.

FIRST LECTURE.

WHEN a clergyman of the Church of England comes among us to deliver to us his impressions of our Churches and of our Christianity, we owe him first of all a courteous reception. We are to presume that he came among us on a benevolent design to do us good, and we are to treat him accordingly. In that, I hope, we have not failed. And we thank him for all that was friendly, either in his criticism or in his praise. Next, however, we owe him, and we owe it to ourselves, to sift the statements which he makes and the conclusions which he implies. In the present case this duty is the more incumbent, because Dean Stanley has given us, not a version of our history only, but a version with a moral. No one, I suppose, is so blind as not to see that it is the moral rather than the story which interests the Dean. He did not come among us merely to reform our notions about our past history. He came to influence, if possible, the history of the years that are before us. Every one of these lectures, like *Æsop's Fables*, looks towards a practical application. The Dean, one may complain, does not state his moral quite so plainly as *Æsop* did. But we shall have no great difficulty in gathering what it is as we proceed.

The element of the lectures now referred to is that which

gives them a claim to attention, and this alone has induced me to ask you to hear me to-night on the other side. I should count it an idle thing to ask you to take so much trouble merely for the purpose of showing that an Englishman has fallen into some mistakes about our antiquities or about our controversies. So ordinary and natural a circumstance could discompose no one. Still less have I come here to try to defend through thick and thin the Scots in general or my own ecclesiastical progenitors in particular. They were men, and therefore fallible and failing; they were Scotsmen, and therefore when they went wrong they did it energetically, blowing a trumpet before them, and defying all the world to refute them. Yes, and being Scotsmen they had like ourselves the moral and intellectual physiognomy which the world, favoured with many a wandering specimen, knows so well; an ungainly people, shall I say, wearing our principles in a serious pedantic way, angular, lumbering, roundabout in our motions, argumentative, inflexible. Why, the very birds of the air, passing us on easy wing, could they see our inner man as they see our outer, would judge us, from the point of view of *their* consciousness, much as the Dean does. Defence here is useless; let us not attempt it. The Dean, coming among us, discerns this family likeness in us all. He only discerns in us all what we have all discerned in one another. To enjoy a joke and a laugh at one another is a privilege that has been claimed and exercised by religious parties in Scotland ever since the days of John Knox. Long may it be ere so wholesome a practice shall be proscribed. We have been able to combine it with reverence, with earnestness, with a strength of conviction and of purpose not easily shaken either by laughter or tears.

It is no untried "strategical operation" which the Dean has employed, in making our history the means of raising doubts in our mind about our principles and our prejudices. Every

reader of his works knows this method well. I remember a passage somewhere in which he dwelt with delight on the idea, that theological principles, carefully built up and fenced by argument, often simply vanish into air when they are brought into contact with great and good men, whose greatness and goodness is not of the regulation pattern of the theologians. Such men, he said—Socrates, for instance, Spinoza, William Penn—simply walk through the fences the theologians have set up. And the method has an opposite application. The representative of a principle makes himself and it ridiculous on the Dean's page, and so principle and representative are turned about their business together. Just so we have seen, of late, a long procession of Scotsmen, headed by Lord Pittsigo, and Bishop Jolly, and closing with Robert Burns and Walter Scott, marched up and down through our Scottish principles and practices, upsetting all our fences, obliterating all our demarcations, driving us from our fixed points, tearing off our theological garments, until we are left nearly as naked as we were born. It cannot be wondered at, surely, if we drop some natural tears at finding ourselves so maltreated by kindly Scots of our own flesh and blood. Yet we need not wonder, perhaps, that these well-tried tactics should have been applied by the Dean to the case of Scotland and to the minds of Scotsmen. The Scottish vote has once or twice come heavily into the scale in decisive moments of the history of these islands. Two hundred and thirty years ago, when the liberties of England were in question, the Scottish vote determined the issue. Two years ago, when the maintenance of the Irish Establishment—always questionable on other grounds—had begun to threaten us with the endowment of Romanism (and no man advocated the maintenance of the one and the adoption of the other more ably than Dean Stanley), it was the Scottish vote that, right or wrong, determined its overthrow. There are other questions rising on which the Scottish vote may again tell heavily. If the Dean thought he