CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE BOTANICAL LABORATORY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, VOL. V, NO. 1 - 2, 1919 - 1920

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649253692

Contributions from the Botanical Laboratory of the University of Pennsylvania, Vol. V, No. 1 - 2, 1919 - 1920 by Various

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

VARIOUS

CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE BOTANICAL LABORATORY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, VOL. V, NO. 1 - 2, 1919 - 1920

Trieste

Vol. V	1919	No.
	CONTRIBUTIONS	
	FROM THE	
Botan	ical Labor	atory
	OF THE	
Univ	ersity of Pennsylv	ania
1	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA PHILADELPHIA	
	1919	

CONTENTS OF VOLUME V, NO. 1.

	Pad
i.	The Macroscopic and Microscopic Structure of some Hybrid Sarracenias Compared with that of their Parents. By ALICE MARY RUSSELL, B.S., M.S. (With plates i, ii, iii, iv, v.)
2.	A Comparative Study of the Structure and Saphrophytism of the Pyrolaceae and Monotropaceae with Reference to their Derivation from the Ericaceae. By MARGARET W. HENDERSON, B.S., M.A.

Vol. V

1919

No. 1

LURRARY NEW YORK BOTANICAL CARDEN

CONTRIBUTIONS

FROM THE

Botanical Laboratory

OF THE

University of Pennsylvania

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSVLVANIA PHILADELPHIA 1919

The Macroscopic and Microscopic Structure of some Hybrid Sarracenias Compared with that of their Parents

BY ALICE MARY RUSSELL, B.S., M.S.

-

[Thesis presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School in partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy.]

CONTENTS

Historical Review	4
Natural Distribution of Species and Hybrids Selected for Study	8
Comparison of Parents and Hybrids	
S. purpurea, S. flava, S. Catesbaei	9
S. flava, S. Drummondii, S. Moorei	18
S. Sledgei, S. Drummondii, S. areolata	24
Comparison of Flowers	27
Description of Structure of Glands	32
Ovarian Gland Structure	33
Conclusions	35
Bibliography	39
Description of Plates	40

HISTORICAL REVIEW

Before Tournefort had named the genus Sarracena (1) and Linnaeus (2) had accepted the name, the group of the Sarracenias was already known to the early settlers in North America. They collected the plants and sent them to Europe as interesting exotics, where they were carefully described in botanical publications. As early as 1570, Lobel described specimens of the group which had come to his attention. Clusius (1601) (3) figures S. purpurea and Parkinson (4) copies his figure and adds a note which seems to indicate that he knew S. flava as well. Concerning this plant, which he calls "The Hollow Leaved Strange Plant of Clusius," he writes: "This strange plant hath such strange leaves, as the like are seldome seene in any other that we know growing, for they are nine or ten or more, rising from the head of a small long roote, each by itselfe, being small below, and growing greater upward, with a belly as it were bunching forth, and a bowing backe, hollow at the upper end, with a peece thercon like a flappe, and like unto the flower of Aristolochia, or Birthwort, and round at the mouth like a halfe circle, full of great darke purplish veins on the inside; the whole leaf is of thicke substance almost like unto leather; among these leaves sprang a stalke but was broken short off, so that what flower or seed it bore could not be observed. This was sent to Clusius from Paris by one that received it from Lishbone in the same manner. But of late Master John Tradescant the younger found this very plant in Virginia, having his toppe thereon, which he brought home and groweth with him, which I here show you with Clusius his figure. The leaves are longer, narrower and not bellying out, and the flower is borne at the top of the roundish seed vessell." The specimen sent by Tradescant was probably S. flava.

Plukenet (6) (7) was familiar with both S. flava and S. purpurea, since he gives very accurate figures of both species.

John Ray (8) gave a Latin translation of Parkinson's description already quoted. The actual specimen described by him, however, was a natural hybrid between *S. flava* and *S. purpurea*, and was the first natural hybrid collected. (See below.) Tournefort named the genus Sarracena, in honor of Dr. Sarrasin of Quebec (1), and described one species, S. canadensis. Linnaeus accepted the genus name and described the two species long recognized, S. flava and S. purpurea.

Walter, in 1788 (9), described and named two new species, S. minor and S. rubra. S. psittacina was added to the genus by Michaux in 1803 (11). Croom (12) described S. Drummondii in 1835.

Since the above time, only one new species has been added, S. Sledgei in 1906, by Macfarlane (17).

A few of the botanical publications of this time review the genus as varying in composition:

"Flore des Serres" (13) gives seven species: S. flava (L.), S. purpurea (L.), S. variolaris (= minor Walt. = adunca Smith), S. undulata (Dcn.), S. Drummondii (Cro.), S. rubra (Walt.), S. psittacina (Michx.) (= calceolata = pulchella (Croom)). Chapman (14) gives all of the above except S. undulata, which he considers synonymous with S. Drummondii. Hooker (15) mentions eight species but does not enumerate them. Boulger (16), in reviewing the genus, has the six species: S. purpurea, S. flava, S. rubra, S. Drummondii, S. psittacina, S. variolaris.

During the latter half of the 18th century, Sarracenias were widely cultivated in European gardens. New varieties were eagerly sought for exhibition, many new forms were introduced from America and several artificial hybrids were produced. Since each exhibitor appended a name to his own product, a great confusion of names had arisen and a survey of the forms under cultivation became most necessary. Dr. Masters, therefore, undertook the review in three numbers of the Gardeners' Chronicle for the year 1881 (18). Here he gives a key to the forms raised in English gardens and gives for each a short description from living specimens furnished to him. The forms and species described by him are as follows:

- I. S. psittacina (Michx.) A. D. C. Prod. XVII, p. 4.
- 2. S. purpurea (L.) A. D. C. Prod. XVII, p. 4.
- 3. S. Chelsoni × (Hort. Veitch, G. C. vol. 9, p. 11 (rubra × purpurea).
- 4. S. variolaris (Michx.) Croom. A. D. C. Prod. XVII, p. 6.
- S. Drummondii (Croom) A. D. C. Prod. XVII, p. 5 (var. alba G. C. vol. 10, p. 281).
- S. undulata (Dcn.) = S. Drummondii (Croom) Rev. Hort. i, p. 126. Flore des Serres 7, A. D. C. Prod. XVII, p. 5. Index Amer. Bot. p. 40.