THE LAW OF LIBEL AS AFFECTING NEWSPAPERS AND JOURNALISTS

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649156689

The law of libel as affecting newspapers and journalists by W. Valentine Ball

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

W. VALENTINE BALL

THE LAW OF LIBEL AS AFFECTING NEWSPAPERS AND JOURNALISTS



THE

LAW OF LIBEL

AS AFFECTING

NEWSPAPERS AND JOURNALISTS.

BY

W. VALENTINE BALL, M.A. (CANTAB.)

OF LINCOLN'S INN AND THE NORTH EASTERN CIRCUIT BARRISTER-AT-LAW.



LONDON:

STEVENS AND SONS, LIMITED, 119 & 120, CHANCERY LANE, Law Zublishers. 1912.



PREFACE

In the early summer of the present year, the author was invited by the Faculty of Laws of the University of London to deliver a course of five lectures on the law of libel at the Institute of Journalists. By the kind permission of the University, he has been allowed to publish these lectures. Inasmuch as they were prepared for practical men, it is hoped that their reproduction will be of value in the office of every newspaper. The fact that the text was the subject of oral delivery to a lay audience may serve to account for a certain freedom of expression, and for certain fanciful illustrations which are not usually found in a law book. Special care has been taken to make the index as complete and exhaustive as possible.

A part of the work is devoted to the metes and bounds of Criticism, the art of Law Reporting, and to the rules which should be observed by him who reports the proceedings of public meetings. Certain suggested reforms of the law of libel as it affects newspapers are dealt with at pp. 125–128.

With regard to the authorities cited, the author has been compelled, owing to limitations of space, to select but a few from among the vast number which appear in the law reports. He has endeavoured, however, to select cases of unquestioned authority in which the judges have enunciated principles of a general character.

Although primarily intended for the newspaper and the journalist, it is hoped that the work may also prove useful to the lawyer.

W. VALENTINE BALL.

September, 1912.

CONTENTS

I.	What constitutes a Libel	PAGE 1
II.	Construction of Libels; and Herein of Justifica- tion, Fair Comment, and Fair Criticism	26
ш.	PRIVILEGE: PRACTICAL HINTS ON LAW REPORTING .	69
IV.	MEETINGS: AND STATUTORY PROTECTION OF NEWS-	
	PAPERS	103
v.	CRIMINAL LAW OF LIBEL	130



TABLE OF CASES

	PAGE
ALEXANDER v. North-Eastern Railway Company (1865), 7 B. & S.	5.
340; 34 L. J. Q. B. 152; 18 L. T. 738; 11 Jur. (N. S.) 619; 1	
W. R. 651	. 45
Allen v. Flood (1897), 67 L. J. Q. B. 119; A. C. 1; 77 L. T. 717	
62 J. P. 595; 46 W. R. 258; 14 T. L. R. 125	. 15
Apthorpe v. Neville & Co. (1907), 23 T. L. R. 375	. 24
Archhold v. Sweet (1832), Moo. & Rob. 162	, 4
Arne v. Johnson (1795), 10 Mod. 111	. 29
Australian Newspaper Co. v. Bennett, [1894] A. C. 284; 63 L.	J.
P. C. 105; 70 L. T. 597; 58 J. P. 604; 6 R. 484	. 8
Barrow v. Lewellin (1615), Hob. 62	. 18
Barrow v. Lewellin (1615), Hob. 62 . Beatty v. Gillbanks (1882), 9 Q. B. D. 308; 51 L. J. M. C. 117	<i>i</i> ;
31 W. R. 275; 47 L. T. 194; 46 J. P. 789; 15 Cox. C. C. 188	3 117
Beswick v. Smith (1907), 24 T. L. R, 169	. 31
Boal v. Scottish Catholic Printing Co., Ltd., [1907] S. C. 1120	. 31
Boydell v. Jones (1888), 4 M. & W. 446; 7 Dowl. (N. S.) 21	0;
1 Horn, & H. 408	. 28
Brookes v. Tichborne (1850), 5 Ex. 929; 14 Jur. 1122	. 4
Broom v. Ritchie (1905), 6 F. 942	. 19
Camprell & Hay v. Ritchie & Co., [1907] S. C. 1007	. 28
Campbell v. Spottiswoode (1863), 3 B. & S. 769; 32 L. J. Q.	В.
185; 8 L. T. 201; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1069; 11 W. R. 569 .	. 55
Capital & Counties Bank v. Henty (1882), 7 A. C. 741; (1886))),
5 C. P. D. 514; 49 L. J. Q. B. 830; 43 L. T. 651; 45 J.	Ρ.
188; 28 W. R. 851; on app. 7 A. C. 741	. 3, 25
Chaloner v. Lansdown and Sons (1894), 10 T. L. R. 290.	. 110
Clement v. Lewis (1882), 7 Moo. 200; 3 Brood. & Bing. 29	7;
10 Price 181	. 90
Concaris v. Dunean, [1909] W. N. 51	. 1
Cook v. Ward (1830), 6 Bing. 409; 4 Moo. & P. 99; 8 L. J. (O.	S.)
C. P. 126	. 35
Crosland v. Farrow & Skeffington (1905), Times, Feb. 7	. 5
DAKHYL v. Labouchere (1907), 2 L. B. 325; 77 L. J. Q. B. 79	8;
96 L. T. 339; 23 T. L. R. 364	. 6
Davis c. Shepstone (1886), 11 A. C. 187; 55 L. J. P. C. 51; 55	L.
T. 1; 50 J. P. 709; 84 W. R. 722	- 5