

**THE CASKET  
LETTERS AND MARY  
QUEEN OF SCOTS**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649093687

The casket letters and Mary queen of Scots by T. F. Henderson

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**T. F. HENDERSON**

**THE CASKET  
LETTERS AND MARY  
QUEEN OF SCOTS**



THE CASKET LETTERS

AND

MARY QUEEN OF SCOTS

# THE CASKET LETTERS

AND

MARY QUEEN OF SCOTS

*WITH APPENDICES*

BY

T. F. HENDERSON

EDINBURGH  
ADAM AND CHARLES BLACK  
1889

DA  
787  
A36H3

## PREFACE.

—o—

*The 'Casket Letters' controversy—important though the issues involved in it may be—has latterly been regarded by many as practically futile, the supposition being that no evidence is now obtainable adequate to justify a very definite conclusion on one side or the other. The character latterly assumed by the controversy in this country has afforded some ground for this prevailing opinion. It is only on the Continent—and especially in Germany—that the importance and significance of the discoveries of original versions of the letters have been recognised. In the present volume an endeavour is made to show that within recent years substantial progress has been made towards a definite conclusion; but the chief reason for its publication is the discovery of the vital evidence contained in Morton's Declaration.*

v

992087

# CONTENTS.



## CHAPTER I.

### INTRODUCTORY.

	PAGE
Historical Interest of the Casket Problem, . . . .	1
Mary's Prominent Place in Scottish History, . . . .	3
The Regent Moray, Cecil, and Elizabeth, . . . .	3
Mr. Skelton's Middle Position as to Mary's Guilt, . . . .	4
Mary's Attitude towards Bothwell, . . . .	6
Mr. Swinburne's Alternative, . . . .	8
Bearing of the Casket Evidence, . . . .	9
The Regent Moray's Position, . . . .	10

## CHAPTER II.

### PRODUCTION OF THE LETTERS IN SCOTLAND.

Bothwell and Mary after "Kirk-o'-Field," . . . .	12
Discovery of the Casket—Morton's Declaration, . . . .	13
The Privy Council Reference, . . . .	13
Throckmorton's Statement, . . . .	14
Were the Letters produced at Lochleven? . . . .	15
De Silva and the Regent Moray, . . . .	16
Drury's Statement, . . . .	17
Were the Letters produced on 4th December? . . . .	19
Professor Schiern's Ingenious Theory, . . . .	20
Hosack's supposed Discovery, . . . .	21
Were the Letters produced in the Scottish Parliament? . . . .	24



## CHAPTER III.

## THE PRODUCTION OF THE LETTERS IN ENGLAND.

	PAGE
Mary's Flight to England, . . . . .	27
Negotiations of the Regent Moray, . . . . .	27
Commission at York, . . . . .	28
Character and Powers of the Commission, . . . . .	29
The Letters shown privately, . . . . .	30
Was the French Version produced at York? . . . . .	31
The Westminster Conference, . . . . .	32
Declaration of the Scottish Commissioners, . . . . .	34
Examination of the Letters, . . . . .	35
Criticism of Hosack adopted by Professor Schiern and Mr. Skelton, . . . . .	36
The Charge of Carelessness—the Persons at Fault, . . . . .	38
The Two Minutes, . . . . .	39
Tendency of the Weight of Evidence, . . . . .	40
Return of the Scottish Commissioners with the Casket, . . . . .	40

## CHAPTER IV.

## THE PUBLICATION OF THE LETTERS.

Disappearance of the Letters, . . . . .	42
By whom were they destroyed? . . . . .	43
By Mary's Accusers? . . . . .	45
By the Marian Party? . . . . .	46
By James VI. ? . . . . .	46
Buchanan's <i>Detection</i> , . . . . .	47
Mr. Skelton on Cecil and Buchanan, . . . . .	48
Was there a "Transparent Mystification"? . . . . .	49
Cecil's "Obscure Activity," . . . . .	50

## CONTENTS.

ix

## CHAPTER V.

## THE CONTROVERSY.

	PAGE.
Goodall's Demonstration, . . . . .	51
Hosack's Modification of Goodall's Theory, . . . . .	53
Mr. Skelton's Exposition of the Theory, . . . . .	53
Hosack's Discoveries, . . . . .	54
Compromising Expressions in Letter 4, . . . . .	55
Did Darnley know French? . . . . .	56
Belief of Lennox in the "Handwrite," . . . . .	58
Could the Letters not have been sent to Bothwell? . . . . .	59
Hazardous Character of Hosack's Theory, . . . . .	60
Letter 2 and Crawford's Declaration, . . . . .	61
Baron de Lettenhove's Discovery at Hatfield, . . . . .	62
Dr. Bresslan's Criticism of the Letters, . . . . .	63
Mr. Skelton's Latest Opinions, . . . . .	63
Mr. Skelton and Markham Thorpe, . . . . .	64
Professor Mandell Creighton's Views, . . . . .	65
The Editors of the Hatfield Calendar, . . . . .	65
Demolition of the Modified Goodall Theory, . . . . .	66
Mr. Skelton and Recent Continental Writers, . . . . .	67
Recent German Theories, . . . . .	67
The supposed "Manipulations," . . . . .	68
Dr. Bresslan's Conclusions, . . . . .	69
How far these Conclusions are warranted, . . . . .	70

## CHAPTER VI.

## LETTER 2.

Peculiar Structure of the Letter, . . . . .	72
An "Unaccountable Intimation," . . . . .	73
Mr. Froude's Estimate, . . . . .	73
Mr. Skelton's Opinion, . . . . .	73

	PAGE
Hosack's Criticism, . . . . .	74
The "Coarseness of Tone," . . . . .	75
Minute References in the Letter, . . . . .	76
Was it originally written in French? . . . . .	77
Examples of the Influence of a French Original, . . . . .	77
The Letter and Crawford's Declaration, . . . . .	82
Was there "Collusion"? . . . . .	83
Was the Letter partly forged from Crawford's Statements? . . . . .	85
Could the Letter have been written from Glasgow? . . . . .	86
In what Sense the Evidence is Negative, . . . . .	89

## CHAPTER VII.

## MORTON'S DECLARATION.

Discovery of the Declaration, . . . . .	90
Its Exhaustive Statements, . . . . .	90
The "Sichting" of the Documents, . . . . .	91
The Witnesses to the "Sichting," . . . . .	92
The Catholic Nobles, . . . . .	93
Testimony of Atholl, . . . . .	94
Testimony of Lord Home, . . . . .	94
Testimony of other Nobles, . . . . .	94
What the Testimony proves, . . . . .	95
The "most Notable Witness," . . . . .	95
Maitland of Lethington and Queen Mary, . . . . .	96
Norton and Maitland, . . . . .	96
Maitland's Silence, . . . . .	97
Was Maitland the Forger? . . . . .	98
Mr. Skelton's Past and Present Views, . . . . .	99
Mr. Skelton on Morton, . . . . .	99
Mr. Skelton's Vindication of Maitland, . . . . .	100
Maitland and Darnley—the "Verdict of not proven," . . . . .	101
Mr. Skelton's Dilemma, . . . . .	102
Morton's Declaration and Meray's Receipt, . . . . .	103
Conditions essential to the Forgery Theory, . . . . .	104