MAN A CREATIVE FIRST CAUSE, TWO DISCOURSES, DELIVERED AT CONCORD, MASS, JULY, 1882

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649537686

Man a Creative First Cause, Two Discourses, Delivered at Concord, Mass, July, 1882 by Rowland G. Hazard

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

ROWLAND G. HAZARD

MAN A CREATIVE FIRST CAUSE, TWO DISCOURSES, DELIVERED AT CONCORD, MASS, JULY, 1882



MAN A CREATIVE FIRST CAUSE

Two Discourses

DELIVERED AT CONCORD, MASS., JULY, 1882

BOWLAND G. HAZARD, LL. D.



BOSTON
HOUGHTON, MIFFLIN AND COMPANY
New York: 11 East Seventeenth Street
Che Hibroside Press, Cambridge
1883

265.

j. 314,



Copyright, 1888, BOWLAND G. HAZARD.

All rights reserved.

The Riverside Press, Cambridge: Electrotyped and Printed by H. O. Houghton and Company.

PREFACE.

In these discourses I have intended briefly to present the leading results of previous investigations, most of which had already been published; but more especially to vindicate metaphysical science from the charge of being unfruitful, by showing that in its proper application to the subject of its investigation, it is susceptible of the highest practical utility.

I have endeavored to show that, to say nothing of the invigorating exercise of such study, it may be a means of making the same amount of intellectual power more effective, by the invention or discovery of better methods in its application; and further, that in this its own proper realm,—the realm of the spirit,—it may achieve a yet higher utility, a utility transcending all other, in creating, moulding, and elevating the moral character. I have also pointed out some modes in which the creative powers of mind may be successfully exerted for these objects.

Peace Dale, Rhode Island, September, 1883.

CONTENTS.

FIRST DISCOURSE.

MAN A CREATIVE PIRST CAUSE.

§ 1. General Indifference to the Subject.	
Utility of Mataphysics. It may add to intellectual power, and thus improve that which invents or makes all other utility, but its special sphere of utility will be found in	
our moral nature	1
§ 2. CHARACTERISTICS OF MIND.	
Knowledge, feeling, and volition. Mind knows, feels, and wills. The will is its only real faculty. An act of will is simply an effort. All intelligent beings are thus constituted, and to these attributes there is no conceivable	
limit	7

§ 3. RELATIONS AND FUNCTIONS OF MENTAL CHARAC-TERISTICS.

It is conceivable that we might have knowledge only, but we could not have feeling without knowing it. We might have knowledge and feeling without will, but will without these would be dormant and merely potential. An unintelligent being cannot be self-active. Our sensations are not dependent on the will, nor is our knowledge. The truth is often apparent without effort. The additions to our knowledge are always simple immediate mental perceptions. Feeling (sensation and emotion) incites to action, but is not itself active. Knowledge enables us to direct our efforts, but is itself passive. By will we produce change and thus act as cause. Our own will is the only cause of which we are directly conscious. Means

by which we come to know ourselves, our fellow beings,

§ 4. Existence of Matter and its Relations to Cause. We know matter only as an inference, from the sensations which we impute to its agency, and these are not conclusive as to any such external existence. The phenomena are all as fully accounted for, on the hypothesis that they are the thoughts and imagery of God's mind directly impressed upon our own. In either case it is the expression of his thought, and to us equally real. Matter and spirit are still contradistinguished. The ideal hypothesis is the more simple and more nearly in accord with powers we ourselves exert. We can ourselves create such imagery, and to some extent make it durable, and palpable to others. But we flud no rudiment of power in these creations of our own, and no reason to suppose that any increase of power in the creator of them could imbue them with any. If matter exists, being inert, it can have no power to change itself, and even if endowed with power to move, being unintelligent, it could have no tendency to move in one direction rather than another. Such power of self-movement would be a nullity, and matter can only be an instrument which intelligence uses to aid its efforts. Against these arguments it may be said that matter has always existed and was always in motion, as intelligence, with its activity, is presumed to have had no beginning. To assume the existence of both when one is sufficient is unphilosophical, and the spiritual should have precedence. It is inconceivable that matter, which does not know, should create spirit, which does know; while it is quite conceivable that spirit should create all we know of matter. But whether matter, even if in motion, can be a cause or power, depends upon this question, - if left to itself and the moving power withdrawn, would it stop or continue to move? If its tendency is to stop, it could not

even be an instrument for conserving or extending the effects of other power. Power could not make matter self-active, or the subject of government by law. Quiescent it could only be acted upon

§ 5. OF PAST EVENTS AS CAUSE.

§ 6. FREEDOM IN WILLING.

This has been a prominent question for ages. It has been obscured by erroneous notions and defective definitions of will and freedom. Defects in Edwards' definitions of these terms and the consequent fallacies in his results. Will is the faculty of effort. An act of will is an effort, a trying to do. Freedom as applied to willing is self-control. The object of every effort must be to make the future different from what it otherwise would be. This is the only conceivable motive. A being with a faculty of effort, want to incite, and knowledge to direct it, is a self-active being; could act if there were no other power or activity. The will cannot be directly controlled by any extrinsic power. The only way it can be influenced is by changing the knowledge by which the being directs its act of will, and this would not avail if the being did not will freely. The notion of a coerced will, and the expression for it, are self-contradictory. It is willing when we are not willing. The future is always the composite creation of the free efforts of all constive beings acting as independent powers in the universe. The action even by the lowest order may influence the action of the highest. This inter dependence of the action of each