SHAKSPERE AND MONTAIGNE: AN ENDEAVOUR TO EXPLAIN THE TENDENCY OF 'HAMLET' FROM ALLUSIONS IN CONTEMPORARY WORKS

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649103683

Shakspere and Montaigne: an endeavour to explain the tendency of 'Hamlet' from allusions in contemporary works by Jacob Feis

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

JACOB FEIS

SHAKSPERE AND MONTAIGNE: AN ENDEAVOUR TO EXPLAIN THE TENDENCY OF 'HAMLET' FROM ALLUSIONS IN CONTEMPORARY WORKS



SHAKSPERE AND MONTAIGNE.

SHAKSPERE AND MONTAIGNE:

AN ENDEAVOUR TO EXPLAIN

THE TENDENCY OF 'HAMLET' FROM ALLUSIONS
IN CONTEMPORARY WORKS.

EX

JACOB FEIS.



LONDON:

KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, & CO., 1 PATERNOSTER SQUARE. 1884.

CONTENTS.

| | | | 1. | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|-------|---------|---------|-------|-----------------|--------|--------|-------|
| Introduction | | ř | 15.5 | 36 | | (4) | 30 | 70 | FACIE |
| | | | II. | | | | | | |
| THE BEGINNINGS O | F THE | Engi | ısıı D | RAMA | (0) | | | t | 9 |
| THE STAGE A MEI | NUI M | OR P | OLITIC/ | M. ANI | RE | 11010 | us C | on- | |
| TROVERSIES | í. | | • | | | Ð | | • | 19 |
| SHAKSPERE'S POLIT | ICAL (| CRESH | | ÷ | 73 | | 2 | $T_i($ | 32 |
| FLORIO'S TRANSLA | TION O | F Mos | STAIGN | e's Ess | AYS | Į. | - W | 20 | 37 |
| | | | ш. | | | | | | |
| Montaigne | ì | ¥0. | (36) | (4) | i. | | | \$33 | 45 |
| | | | IV. | | | | | | |
| HAMLET . | 34 | (4) | (4) | 3 | | $\hat{\rho}(t)$ | 10 | 43 | 65 |
| | | | v. | | | | | | |
| THE CONTROVERSY | BETW | REN I | BEN JO | NSON A | ND I | DEKK | ER | | 131 |
| MENTION OF A I | Disput | E BET | WEEN | BEN J | ONSO: | N AN | D SH | AK- | |
| SPERE IN *TH | E RET | URN F | ROM P | ARNASS | us' | | i A | | 135 |

viii

CONTENTS.

| CHARACTERISTIC OF BEN JONS | oN | | * | | | * | • | 138 |
|----------------------------|-------|------|--------|-------------------|--------|-----|----|-----|
| BEN JONSON'S HOSTILE ATTIT | UDE | TOV | VARDS | SHA | KSPERE | | | 149 |
| DRAMATIC SKIRMISH BETWEEN | e BEN | ı Jo | ONSON | AND | SHARS | PER | E. | 151 |
| BEN JONSON'S 'POETASTER' | | | | 4 | | | | 153 |
| Dekker's 'Satiromastix' . | | | | | G | | | 163 |
| | | | | $\tilde{\psi}(t)$ | | | | |
| | LI. | | | | | | | |
| "Volpone," By Ben Jonson | 92 | | ÷); | 7 | X | | ã | 169 |
| 'EASTWARD HOE,' BY CHAPMAN | , Br | n Jo | ONSON. | AND | MARS | ron | | 196 |
| 'THE MALCONTENT,' BY JOHN | MAR | STO | N . | | 4 | 20 | | 199 |

I.

INTRODUCTION.



T.

INTRODUCTION.

It has always been a daring venture to attempt finding out Shakspere's individuality, and the range of his
philosophical and political ideas, from his poetical productions. We come nearest to his feelings in his 'Sonnets;'
but only a few heavy sighs, as it were, from a time of
anguish in his life can be heard therefrom. All the
rest of those lyrical effusions, in spite of the zealous
exertions of commentators full of delicate sentiment
and of deep thought, remain an unsolved secret.

In his historical dramas, a political creed has been pointed out, which, with some degree of certainty, may be held to have been his. From his other dramas, the most varied evidence has been drawn. A perfect maze of contradictions has been read out of them; so much so that, on this ground, we might almost despair of trustworthy results from further inquiry.

The wildest and most incongruous theories have been founded upon 'Hamlet'—the drama richest in philosophical contents. Over and over again men have hoped to be able to ascertain, from this tragedy, the great master's ideas about religion. It is well-nigh impossible to say how often such attempts have been made, but SO WIND

the reward of the exertions has always remained unsatisfactory. On the feelings which this masterwork of dramatic art still excites to-day-nearly three hundred years after its conception-thousands have based the most different conclusions; every one being convinced of the correctness of his own impressions. There is a special literature, composed of such rendering of personal impressions which that most enigmatical of all dramas has made upon men of various disposition. Every hypothesis finds its adherents among a small group, whilst those who feel differently smile at the infatuation of their antagonists. Nothing that could give true and final satisfaction has yet been reached in this direction.

It is our intention to regard 'Hamlet' from a new point of view, which seems to promise more success than the critical endeavours hitherto made. We propose to enter upon a close investigation of a series of circumstances, events, and personal relations of the poet, as well as of certain indications contained in other dramatic works-all of the period in which 'Hamlet' was written and brought into publicity. This valuable material, properly arranged and put in its true connection, will, we believe, furnish us with such firm and solid steppingstones as to allow us, on a perfectly trustworthy path, to approach the real intentions of this philosophical tragedy. It has long ago been felt that, in it, Shakspere has laid down his religious views. By the means alluded to we will now explain that credo.

We believe we can successfully show that the ten-