# REFORMED LOGIC: A SYSTEM BASED ON BERKELEY'S PHILOSOPHY WITH AN ENTIRELY NEW METHOD OF DIALECTIC

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649219681

Reformed logic: a system based on Berkeley's philosophy with an entirely new method of dialectic by D. B. McLachlan

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## D. B. MCLACHLAN

# REFORMED LOGIC: A SYSTEM BASED ON BERKELEY'S PHILOSOPHY WITH AN ENTIRELY NEW METHOD OF DIALECTIC



# REFORMED LOGIC

A SYSTEM BASED ON

## BERKELEY'S PHILOSOPHY

WITH AN ENTIRELY NEW METHOD OF

DIALECTIC

BY

D. B. McLACHLAN

'Smars are active, indivisible substances; IDEAS [objects] are inert, fleeting, dependent things, which subsist not by themselves, but are supported by, or exist in minds or spiritual substances..., The cause of Ideas is an incorporcal active Superance or Spirit.'

-Berkeley.

London

SWAN SONNENSCHEIN & CO

PATERNOSTER SQUARE

1892

[ All rights reserved ]



#### 53081

"Looking to the chaotic state of logic text-books at the present time, one would be inclined to say that there does not exist anywhere a recognised, currently-received body of speculation to which the title Logic can be unambiguously assigned, and that we must therefore resign the hope of attaining by any empirical consideration of the received doctrine, a precise determination of the nature and limits of logical theory."

Encyc. Bril., An. Logic.



### PREFACE

THE object of the following treatise is to give an intelligible account of the principal facts of Mind, with a method for the right expression and criticism of Reasoning. It is based on principles not before applied to such a purpose. The current systems of Metaphysic are obscure and difficult simply because they start from false premises, not because the nature and operations of Mind cannot, if properly understood, be made as comprehensible to beginners as other branches of knowledge. The rules of Dialectic are quite within the capacity of any intelligent schoolboy, and should be an essential part of early education, like Arithmetic.

Let not the student be repelled at finding a philosophy reputed to be one of the most difficult taken as the basis of this work. It is Berkeleyism considerably modified. Also it is to be borne in mind that a philosophy is not to be judged by its *primâ* facie probability, but by its power of explaining many facts in a coherent and lucid way. A theory that does this should not be rejected for a seeming paradox at the outset.

Most of the theoretical and all the dialectical parts of this work can be adapted to Realistic thinking, by treating the judgments of the two Berkeleyan categories as intuitions instead of inferences.



|       |               |                          |                       |                           |                      |   |                     |                          |                         |               |          | PAGE |
|-------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------|------|
| PREFA | CE .          | 0.0                      |                       | •                         | (0.00)               | *3  |                     |                          |                         | 25            | ¥        | v    |
| SECT. |               |                          |                       | In                        | rrod                 | UCTIO                                       | N                   |                          |                         |               |          |      |
| 1     | stant         | ial sul                  | bdivid                | ed int                    | o Me                 | Idea<br>ntal ar<br>antiali                  | nd M                |                          |                         |               |          | 1    |
| 11    | Funç<br>discu | sts of<br>tions<br>ssed— | (at<br>of ca<br>-Berk | least)<br>ich —<br>elcy's | Self<br>Perc<br>view | tial to<br>and<br>eption<br>on th<br>tus of | a Pl<br>of<br>ls po | lastic<br>Inor<br>int re | Subs<br>gante<br>jected | tance<br>(hin | gs<br>gs | 9    |
| 111   | of Ca         | tantial<br>ategor        | alone<br>ics in       | has :<br>Subs             | a cont<br>tantia     | l and<br>necting<br>lism—<br>neaden         | prin<br>Its o       | ciple                    | -Im                     | porta         | nce      | 8    |
|       |               |                          |                       | 1                         | NTEL                 | LECT  |                     |                          |                         |               |          |      |
| 17    | ment<br>gove  | al and                   | d Inte                | llecti                    | ual co               | e—Di<br>onsciou<br>au—M                     | isnes               | -In                      | tellec                  | t not         | the      | 11   |
| v     | Truth,        | its va<br>ect In         | ferenc                | e-N                       | o abs                | Verse<br>olute s<br>tulta                   | tand                | ard of                   | Trut                    | $h-\Lambda$   | Vest     |      |
|       |               |                          |                       |                           |                      | tellect                                     |                     |                          | ::<br>•:                |               |          | 14   |

| SECT. |  | PAGE |
|-------|--|------|
| VĮ    | Standard of Truth relating to Bodily welfare different from that relating to Mental welfare—Realism the theory of Perception under the former standard—Its main dogmas—Cause why it is superseded—Superstition of One Truth—Realism and Substantialism both true and yet contradictory   | 18   |
|       | <b>P</b>   |      |
|       | Perception   |      |
| VII   | Defined, according to Substantial principles—Noumenon—Subject—Object—Sentiment not excited by Objects, but Objects accompany Sentiments—Subject not passive in Perception—Attention—Kant's opinion on the inconceivability of Noumena refuted—Difficulty of overcoming Realistic prejudices—Science of little use in Philosophy.                         | 23   |
|       | IDEAS  |      |
| VIII  | How produced—Hume's notion untenable—No innate<br>Ideas—but Sentiment is innate—Division of Ideas into<br>Particular, General, and Imaginary   | 27   |
| 1X    | MEMORY—OBLIVION—RECOLLECTION—Images, how pre-<br>served and how lost—Good Memory not necessarily<br>advantageous—Recovery of the apparently Forgotten—<br>Sudden extinction of Ideas—'Decay of the mind' in<br>old age—Memory inexplicable on any theory but the<br>Substantial—John Stuart Mill's confession—Memory the<br>chief fact of Mental Science | 28   |
| x     | Sentiments may be remembered—Feelings and Emotions —No detailed analysis of Sentiments possible or necessary—Spinoza's list—Can sentiments be noumenally excited without objects?  | 34   |
| XI    | Analysis of Comparison-It is the principle of General-   | -24  |
|       | isation and Imagination  | 36   |
|       | ₹ GENERALISATION   |      |
| XII   | Purpose of Generalisation—Objects classified must be   |      |

| SECT. |  | PAGE |
|-------|--|------|
|       | Ideas acquired by definition—Names not essential to<br>general thought—Generalisation resembles composite<br>photography—Classification on mere objective resem-<br>blance—neat but superficial—Conceptualism.                                     | 38   |
| хііі  | MATTER the most general notion derived from Objects  —Belief in Real Matter a form of Mysticism—A material basis for phenomena unnecessary   | 43   |
| XIV   | Nominalism—Berkeley's Nominalism, and objections thereto—Concrete Thought expressed in general terms   | 46   |
| xv    | Generalisation the bane of European philosophy—<br>Plato's theories on General Ideas—Aristotle's 'Essence'<br>—Classification a means, not the end of Reason—The<br>explanatory Unity a unity of service—Evolution a                               | /    |
|       | concrete Platonism   | 58   |
|       | IMAGINATION  |      |
| xvi   | How distinguished from Recollection and Reverie — Imagination by Simple Combination—By Transfusion — Artistic Imagination — Rational Imagination — Wrong views of Metaphysicians   | 63   |
|       | DIALECTIC  |      |
| XVII  | Recapitulation of the genealogy of Reason—It is the<br>art of conceiving the Future and Unknown—Dialectic<br>the science of Reason—Division of theorems into<br>Arguments and Fallacies—Method of Dialectic  | 68   |
| xviii | The RATIONAL PARALLEL—Rational Conclusions are<br>never certain—Essential parts of an Argument—Rules<br>of Parallel—False conclusions cannot be destroyed<br>but may be stigmatised—In what circumstances we<br>may reason concerning a known fact | 74   |
| xix   | e aan Namera ay ah   | 3355 |
| xx    | DEBATE is extra-dialectic—How a valid argument may be criticised   | 87   |