FUTURE PUNISHMENT: A TEST CASE. CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE CHICAGO TRIBUNE

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649265671

Future Punishment: A Test Case. Correspondence with the Chicago Tribune by $\, \, H. \, L. \, \, Hammond \,$

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

H. L. HAMMOND

FUTURE PUNISHMENT: A TEST CASE. CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE CHICAGO TRIBUNE





A TEST CASE.

CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE CHICAGO TRIBUNE.

THIRD EDITION.

With Additional Chapters on the NATURE of this Punishment, Its DURATION and the RELATIVE NUMBER of THE SAVED and THE LOST.

By REV. H. L. HAMMOND, Author of "Valley of Pearls," "New Stories from an Old Book."

FOR SALE BY
CONG'L 8, 8. AND PUB, SOC.
CHICAGO DEPOSITORY, 175 WABASH AVENUE.

1. H. TEWKSBURY, Agent.
1888.

Entered according to act of Congress, in the year 1883, by HENRY L. HAMMOND,

In the office of the Librarian of Congress, at Washington.

BT 836 .H23 1888

DOSONUS & RESERBERT, PRINTERS AND BINDERS, CHICAGO, The didney Thompson

CONTENTS.

| I. Correspondence with the Chicago Tribune. | | |
|--|---|----|
| 1. A Test Case (Tribune), - · · | | |
| 2. The Murderer and his Victim (Author), | | 10 |
| 3. Divine and Human Standards (Tribune), | * | 19 |
| 4. Agreement of Divine and Human Standards (Author), | • | 24 |
| II, Nature of Future Punishment, | | 82 |
| III. Duration of Future Punishment, | • | 89 |
| IV. Number of Saved. | * | 48 |

NOTE.

The first part of this pamphlet—the correspondence with the Chicago *Tribune*—was published, as will be seen, some ten years ago. The favor with which it was then received may be learned from a few samples of notices:

"An admirable dissection and exposure of a plausible and popular delusion. A good thing to have it scattered abroad by thousands.

"Racine, Wis. J. K. K."

"The pamphlet hits the nail right on the head. The old prophet speaks of the hand that smites once and not the second time. Once satis est. [Rev.] C. Hammond, Principal."
"Monson, Mass.

"Greatly interested in your treatise. The best thing I have seen on that subject." "Rev. E. W. Taylor."

"It seems to me to meet the case amply, plainly, fully and candidly. Hope you will secure for it a wide circulation among those who are confused and misled by such sophistries."

C. C. C."

"Howard University, Washington, D. C.

"Your little pamphlets on Future Punishment came safely to hand and were read with deep interest by me and my family. You have not often done a better thing. The points were admirably made, and covered the case completely, leaving the *Tribune* not an inch of standing room. As the pastors did not reply to the paper, they should certainly give you a vote of thanks for doing it in their behalf, for the *Tribune* article was very plausible to the common mind." Yours truly,

"WM. W. PATTON."

The following from the now sainted commentator is not quite all germane to this subject, but is too good to be abbreviated:

"OBERLIN, March 30, 1878.

"Dear Brother:—I have just read your pamphlet on Futche Punishment, with unqualified admiration. The spirit of the discussion is a model, the argument on your side well managed and conclusive. I am sure it must do good.

"As I read I was impressed with this: that the gospel scheme is virtually God's great solicitation to revolted man to come back with pardon for the past, into sympathy with himself in his benevolence toward all sentient beings; regeneration is not that vague meaningless thing many suppose it to be, but is in substance man's consent, but to be unregenerate is his guilty refusal. Pity the gospel should be so

egregiously misconceived! Let us do all we can to bring men to better thinking in its vital points." "Ever yours,

"HENRY COWLES."

"A remarkably clear and clean-cut argument. The best I ever saw on that subject."

"[Dea.] James Francis,
"Pittsfield, Mass."

"Brother Hammond has done that work singularly well.

"H. D. KITCHEL,

"Ex-President of Middlebury College."
Equally emphatic indorsements could be quoted from President Fairchild, Dr. Edward P. Goodwin, Joseph Cook, and many others.

The professors of the Chicago Theological Seminary supplied each of its students with a

copy.

Two editions were issued at that time, but they were long ago exhausted. In preparing a third, the author has seen no occasion for revising his reply to the *Tribune*, since there has never, to his knowledge, been any attempt to answer the argument. But he has thought to increase the usefulness of the treatise by adding a few short chapters which then seemed essential to a full discussion of the great theme, but were omitted, — on the Nature of this punishment, its Duration, and the comparative numbers of the Sayed and the Lost.

These additions, it may be well to say, have been submitted to the same critical theologian of national repute who advised the publication of the first edition.

And now it is confidently hoped that it presents in compact form a ready and satisfactory reply to the most common and popular objections brought against the doctrine of Retribution. It is commended to theological students, to Bible classes, to evangelistic workers, to young pastors, and to all who have to deal with honest inquirers, or even with skeptics.