

**THE CASE AGAINST THE
LITTLE WHITE SLAYER
VOLUMES I, II, III AND IV.**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649379668

The Case Against the Little White Slaver Volumes I, II, III and IV. by Henry Ford

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

HENRY FORD

**THE CASE AGAINST THE
LITTLE WHITE SLAYER
VOLUMES I, II, III AND IV.**


The Case
Against the Little
White Slaver

Volumes I, II, III and IV

Copyright, 1914
Copyright, 1915
Copyright, 1916
by Henry Ford, Detroit, Mich.

Published by
HENRY FORD
Detroit, Mich.

H 5447.49.14.15



Rec'd through the Business School.

KJ1757 MR. EDISON'S LETTER

Call address "Edison, New York"

*From the Laboratory
Thomas A. Edison.*

Orange, N.J. April 26 1914

Friend Ford

The injurious agent in Cigarettes comes principally from the burning paper wrapper. The substance thereby formed is called "Acrobin".


It has a violent action on the nerve centers, producing degeneration of the cells of the brain, which is quite rapid among boys.

Unlike most narcotics this degeneration is permanent and uncontrollable.

I employ no person who smokes Cigarettes.

Yours

Thomas A. Edison



Thomas A. Edison

TO MY FRIEND, THE AMERICAN BOY

While spending some time in Florida with Mr. Thomas A. Edison, the noted electrical genius, and Mr. John Burroughs, the eminent naturalist, the question of cigarette smoking and its evil effects, particularly upon boys and young men, came up for discussion.

Mr. Edison advanced some pronounced views in condemnation of the cigarette. For several years he had been experimenting with combustion of various substances for the purpose of discovering a suitable filament for use in incandescent lamps, and it was during this research that the harmful effects of acrolein were observed. I asked Mr. Edison to put his conclusions in writing. He did so, and the letter is herewith reproduced in facsimile.

Following receipt of this letter, I authorized an interview in which I went squarely on record as opposed to cigarettes, making it plain that "I do not feel called upon to try to reform any person over 25 years of age because by that time the habit has been formed. Then it is only a question of the strength of will or mind of the smoker which will enable him to stop. He knows the injurious effects and controls his own destiny.

"With the boys it is a different matter. Most boys are told to refrain from many things. Seldom are they given a reason. Boys must be educated so they will know why cigarettes are bad for them.

"If you will study the history of almost any criminal you will find that he is an inveterate cigarette smoker. Boys, through cigarettes, train with bad company. They go with other smokers to the pool rooms and saloons. The cigarette drags them down. Hence if we can educate them to the dangers of smoking we will perform a service."

Mr. Percival I. Hill, president of the American Tobacco Company, in a letter sent broadcast, challenged me to produce proof of assertions he charged me with making, he declaring among other things that "the scientific facts are all in favor of the cigarette;" "that it contains less nicotine than any other form of tobacco products; that it is absolutely pure; that the combustion of the paper is harmless in its effects on human physiology." I was challenged to either prove my contention or enable the manufacturers to disprove it; to give as much publicity to the retraction Mr. Hill felt certain would be forthcoming as was given to my "original unwarranted attacks."

I *do* not ask you to accept my word alone in this matter. I want you to read carefully Mr. Edison's letter, Mr. Hill's defense, and the opinions of doctors, judges, university instructors, athletes, etc.—a few selected at random from hundreds who have testified. Then you will be in a position to judge for yourself whether "the scientific facts are all in favor of the cigarette;" whether you can afford to become a slave to a habit that a no less noted person than Hudson Maxim declares is "a maker of invalids, criminals and fools."

HENRY FORD.

MR. HILL'S DEFENSE

New York, May 16, 1914.

Mr. Henry Ford,
Detroit, Mich.

Dear Sir:—Statements relative to cigarettes, credited to yourself and Mr. Thomas A. Edison, have appeared in the newspapers recently.

The statements are so erroneous and so misleading that in justice to the millions of intelligent men who use cigarettes we are compelled to resent your unjustified attack. Slander of the cigarette by parties of less prominence than yourself attracts no attention, certainly from us. Since your prominence and fame give your words greater weight than the words of men of no importance, there is imposed upon you a corresponding responsibility to make no statement reflecting on a product—and one million of users of such product—without investigation and the certainty that comes from investigation.

The form of your statement is of a character that denies us an opportunity to demonstrate its falsity and to prove the harmlessness of our product in a court proceeding. If you see fit to make a statement of the harmful effect of any of our brands, in such form that being false it is libelous, we will be delighted to institute suit for damages, and will devote the proceeds to some designated charity.

The scientific facts are all in favor of the cigarette, and no man can change these facts because he personally prefers a pipe to a cigar or a stogie, or a chew of plug to a cigarette.

Several years ago it was quite the fashion to attack cigarettes. Lurid statements of the evils of cigarette smoking were circulated extensively by well-intentioned, ignorant people, by notoriety seekers and thrifty legislators. Anti-cigarette bills swept through the assemblies of several states.

The agitation was such that medical men and other scientists undertook thorough examination of the cigarette. Everything in connection with cigarettes—the tobacco, the ingredients with which it is treated, the paper, even the printing on the paper—was analyzed by the ablest chemists in America and Europe. Packages of all the leading cigarettes were purchased in the open market by representatives of state and municipal health boards, medical journals and other investigators, and analyzed thoroughly by public and private chemists. Scores of such tests were made in practically every state in the union, in London, and, I believe, in various other European cities.

Every one of these investigations resulted in exactly the same act of finding, viz.: that the cigarette is absolutely pure; that it contains less nicotine than any other form of tobacco products; that the combination of the paper is harmless in its effect on the human physiology; that its temperate use is in no way injurious to normal users.

I am enclosing herewith extracts from the *London Lancet* a famous British medical journal, which has been making examinations of tobacco since 1853. Also an extract from the *Medical Journal* of New York; also an extract written by Leonard K. Hirshberg, M. D., M. A., A. B., Johns Hopkins University, taken from an article in *Harper's Weekly* entitled "The Truth About Tobacco," in which he quotes Dr. Osler and other prominent authorities.

Pages of extracts from medical journals could be published and more pages could be filled with the statements of famous physicians and chemists, all of whom testify to the same general effect.

As a rule, attacks on cigarettes are so vague and indefinite that it is impossible for manufacturers to bring the slanderers to account. However, some years ago a prominent Chicago newspaper gave a manufacturer an opportunity for action, which he promptly embraced by suing the newspaper for libel. Scientific examinations were then made by the newspaper—which might have made them before publishing its falsehoods—and the cigarettes were found to be absolutely pure. The newspaper settled the libel suit out of court and published a retraction and apology in its own columns and in various other newspapers at its own expense.

Certain customs officials of Great Britain made a similar attack, and the manufacturers immediately called the matter to the attention of the government. The government, in its desire to be fair and unbiased, caused a complete scientific examination to be made by experts, with the result that a public retraction was authorized and made by the officials.

As the results of these various scientific investigations and court actions became known, and as doctors and thinking men generally came to study the cigarette carefully, the prejudice against it died out even more quickly than it had arisen.

Aside from the overwhelming weight of scientific testimony, common sense will convince any reasonable man that the cigarette is not injurious. That this must be true is proven by the number and types of men who use cigarettes. Unquestionably the cigarette is the favorite smoke of doctors in every city and large town throughout the country. Preachers, lawyers, bankers, business men, laboring men and men