

**VISITATION AND SEARCH; OR, AN HISTORICAL  
SKETCH OF THE BRITISH CLAIM TO EXERCISE A  
MARITIME POLICE OVER THE VESSELS OF ALL  
NATIONS IN PEACE AS WELL AS IN WAR WITH  
AN INQUIRY INTO THE  
EXPEDIENCY OF TERMINATING THE EIGHTH  
ARTICLE OF THE ASHBURTON TREATY**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649222667

Visitation and search; or, an historical sketch of the British claim to exercise a maritime police over the vessels of all nations in peace as well as in war with an inquiry into the expediency of terminating the Eighth Article of the Ashburton Treaty by William Beach Lawrence

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**WILLIAM BEACH LAWRENCE**

**VISITATION AND SEARCH; OR, AN HISTORICAL  
SKETCH OF THE BRITISH CLAIM TO EXERCISE A  
MARITIME POLICE OVER THE VESSELS OF ALL  
NATIONS IN PEACE AS WELL AS IN WAR WITH  
AN INQUIRY INTO THE  
EXPEDIENCY OF TERMINATING THE  
EIGHTH ARTICLE OF THE ASHBURTON TREATY**



*With the kind regards of  
Francis Markoe*

VISITATION AND SEARCH;

OR,

AN HISTORICAL SKETCH

OF THE

BRITISH CLAIM TO EXERCISE A MARITIME POLICE  
OVER THE VESSELS OF ALL NATIONS,

IN

PEACE AS WELL AS IN WAR,

WITH

AN INQUIRY INTO THE EXPEDIENCY OF TERMINATING THE  
EIGHTH ARTICLE OF THE ASHBURTON TREATY.

BY

WILLIAM BEACH LAWRENCE,  
EDITOR OF "WHEATON'S ELEMENTS OF <sup>1811</sup>INTERNATIONAL LAW."

---

BOSTON:  
LITTLE, BROWN AND COMPANY.  
1858.

T  
L4394 ✓  
1858

Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1858, by  
LITTLE, BROWN AND COMPANY,  
In the Clerk's Office of the District Court of the District of Massachusetts.

CAMBRIDGE :  
ALLEN AND FARNHAM, PRINTERS.

744 6 January 54

## ADVERTISEMENT.

---

THE following Essay is based on an article prepared for the Newport Advertiser, of whose columns the author of these sheets has, during the last six years, occasionally availed himself, for the examination of subjects of general interest. The original publication appeared, on the 16th of June, when it was supposed that we were on the eve of a protracted discussion with England, in reference to the visitation and search of our vessels, in time of peace. That matter, so far as regards the United States, is now understood to have been definitively settled by the acceptance, on the part of Great Britain, of the exposition of international law presented by the American Secretary of State, which, it was admitted, accorded with the judicial decisions of Lord Stowell, and with the parliamentary declarations of the Duke of Wellington. These views have since also received the sanction of the learned Ex-Chancellor, Lord Lyndhurst.

671513

It is believed that the history of negotiations, involving the cardinal principles of maritime jurisprudence, cannot be without interest to the citizens of a nation having the largest navigation of any people; while the recognition of the independence of our flag may well absolve us from the onerous obligations assumed by the Ashburton Treaty, the objections to which were so fully pointed out, in the Senate, by our present chief magistrate, at the time of its ratification.

Aware that any claim which this work can have to notice must arise from the intrinsic accuracy of its views, the author has endeavored to apply, without either national or partisan prejudice, to facts, as they have arisen, the universally recognized rules of public law and political science. The statutory provisions of Great Britain speak for themselves. He trusts that no one will impute to him, because he has deemed it his duty to dissent from the course of Mr. Webster, on a matter connected with our foreign relations, any disrespect for the memory of one, who, by jeopardizing for the Union an unequalled sectional popularity, added to the title of jurist and statesman that of patriot.

OCHRE POINT, NEWPORT, August 25, 1858.

## CONTENTS.

---

	PAGE
Importance attached by the United States to their maritime rights, . . . . .	1
The vessels of a nation, on the high seas, a portion of its territory, . . . . .	4
The belligerent right of visitation and search, . . . . .	4
"Declaration" of the Congress of Paris, 1856, . . . . .	5
Objections of the United States to the abolition of privateering, . . . . .	7
Debate in the House of Lords on the "Declaration of Paris," . . . . .	9
Proposed abolition of contraband of war, . . . . .	11
Impressment of seamen effected through belligerent right of search, . . . . .	13
Hautefeuille's restriction on the belligerent right of search, . . . . .	15
Object of Great Britain in attempting the right of search in peace, . . . . .	16
American ships, seized under the belligerent claim of visitation and search, condemned for being engaged in the slave-trade, . . . . .	17
Refusal of France and Portugal to grant England a reciprocal right of search, . . . . .	19
Declaration of the Congress of Vienna, respecting the slave-trade, . . . . .	21
Treaties with Spain and Portugal, for a limited right of reciprocal search, . . . . .	21
Decision of Lord Stowell, repudiating all right of search, in peace, . . . . .	22
Treaty between England and the Netherlands, . . . . .	24
Refusal of the Congresses at Aix la Chapelle and Verona to grant right of search, or to make slave-trade piracy, . . . . .	25
British negotiations with the United States as to the slave-trade, . . . . .	26
Proceedings of Congress, declaring slave-trade piracy, and inviting foreign coöperation, . . . . .	27
Convention made with England for reciprocal search, but not ratified, . . . . .	28
Decisions of the Supreme Court on the slave-trade and right of search, . . . . .	30
French decisions, . . . . .	31
Treaties of England with Sweden and Brazil, and of 1831 and 1833 with France, and the adhesion to the latter of Denmark, Sardinia, the Hanse-towns, Tuscany, Naples, and Hayti, . . . . .	32
Debates on the British Act of 1839, indemnifying officers for the capture of the vessels of all nations suspected to be engaged in the slave-trade, . . . . .	32
Mixed tribunals established by treaties between Great Britain and other powers, . . . . .	36
Disposition of captured slaves, . . . . .	37
American reclamations for detention of vessels, including correspondence of Mr. Stevenson with Lords Palmerston and Aberdeen, . . . . .	38

President Tyler's message, Dec. 7, 1841, . . . . .	41
Quintuple Treaty signed, . . . . .	42
General Cass intervenes to prevent its ratification by France, . . . . .	43
Substitution of the Treaty of 1845 between France and England, for those of 1831 and 1833. Debates in Parliament and in the French Chambers, . . . . .	46
Ashburton Treaty, stipulating for separate African squadron, as a waiver of the right of search, . . . . .	49
Lord Ashburton's declaration in Parliament respecting visitation and search, . . . . .	50
President's message and Debates on the treaty in executive session, . . . . .	51
Sir Robert Peel's disclaimer of having abandoned the right of visitation . . . . .	55
Debate in the Senate on Sir Robert Peel's speech, . . . . .	56
Lord Aberdeen's despatch, reaffirming the British doctrines, transmitted to the House of Representatives, with a message from the President, . . . . .	58
Debate in the House of Representatives on the bill for carrying the treaty into effect, . . . . .	59
Debate in the Senate on the same subject (Appendix), . . . . .	199
Mr. Webster's instructions to Mr. Everett, in reply to Lord Aberdeen's despatch, . . . . .	61
Discussion in Parliament on Mr. Webster's instructions, . . . . .	63
British instructions to their cruisers under the Treaty of 1842, . . . . .	66
Reclamations for seizure of slaves on board of vessels driven into the British West Indies, . . . . .	67
Reclamations for seizure of vessels engaged in the American fisheries, . . . . .	69
Statute of 1845 for capturing Brazilian vessels suspected to be engaged in the slave-trade, . . . . .	69
Right to arrest pirates under the law of nations, unconnected with claim of visitation on account of the slave-trade, . . . . .	71
No right of visitation on the high seas for fiscal or defensive purposes, . . . . .	73
Opinion of Dr. Twiss, . . . . .	74
Opinions of institutional writers on the right of visitation and search—	
Wheaton, . . . . .	75
Hautefeuille, Massé, and Ortolan, . . . . .	76
De Cussy, . . . . .	78
Phillimore's attempt to reconcile right of visitation with international law, . . . . .	79
His opinion in the Cagliari case, . . . . .	80
Treaties in 1849 between Great Britain and other nations for the suppression of the slave-trade, . . . . .	82
Decisions under the mixed commissions and in the Vice-Admiralty Courts, . . . . .	83
Interference of British cruisers with the American squadron in seizing vessels under the United States flag, . . . . .	84
French convention of 1845 with England no longer operative, . . . . .	86
Lord Napier's reaffirmance of the claim of visiting American vessels, . . . . .	88
General Cass's reply, . . . . .	88
The boarding and searching of American vessels by British cruisers in the Gulf of Mexico, . . . . .	90
Instructions to Mr. Dallas as to searches on the high seas and in the harbor of Sagua la Grande, . . . . .	91
Report of the Committee of Foreign Relations on the stopping and examining of American vessels, . . . . .	92

CONTENTS.

vii

Debate on the committee's resolution, in the Senate, . . . . .	94
Note on the right of visitation, at variance with the text, interpolated into the late editions of Kent's Commentaries, . . . . .	100
Antecedents of Lord Derby as regards the United States, . . . . .	103
Opinion of the Attorney-General in the Cagliari case, . . . . .	105
First announcement in Parliament of the aggressions in the Gulf of Mexico, . . . . .	105
Lord Malmesbury's declaration that he admitted the international law as laid down by the American Minister of Foreign Affairs, . . . . .	108
Further debates in Parliament, . . . . .	111
Opinions of the law-officers of the crown on the right of search, . . . . .	116
Announcement in the United States of the British recognition of Gen. Cass's doctrines, . . . . .	116
Mr. Dallas's speech on the fourth of July, . . . . .	117
No farther sanction required for the British renunciation, . . . . .	118
British suggestion of a conventional right of visitation, . . . . .	119
Difficulty of making any treaty with England, . . . . .	120
Involuntary trespass the only matter open for consideration, . . . . .	121
French proposition, . . . . .	122
Abandonment of impressment no equivalent for visitation, . . . . .	123
Original objections of Mr. Buchanan and General Cass to the Ashburton Treaty, . . . . .	125
Practical effect of the treaty as regards the operations of American cruisers in arresting our own citizens suspected of being engaged in the slave-trade, . . . . .	126
Petition from Rhode Island for the withdrawal of the African squadron, . . . . .	127
Desirableness of colonization for free negroes, . . . . .	129
Mr. Slidell's resolution to abrogate the eighth article of the Ashburton Treaty, and the report thereon, . . . . .	131
Mr. Dallas's despatch to the same effect, . . . . .	132
French squadron maintained only to protect French commerce against English cruisers, . . . . .	133
Closing slave-markets the only mode of suppressing the trade, . . . . .	134
The trade with Brazil no longer exists, . . . . .	134
Great Britain might prevent the slave-trade to Cuba, . . . . .	135
Lord Palmerston refused to acquiesce in the acquisition of Cuba by the United States, or to adopt the same rule with Spain as with Brazil, . . . . .	137
Possible change of policy, under the present ministry, as to the acquisition of Cuba by the United States, . . . . .	137
Its annexation an effectual means to suppress the trade, . . . . .	140
The United States have alone effectually suppressed the slave-trade without evasion, . . . . .	141
American legislation on the slave-trade, . . . . .	141
Judge Campbell's charge on the slave-trade acts, . . . . .	142
Secretary Cobb's instructions respecting African emigrants, . . . . .	143
As to the coolie trade (Appendix), . . . . .	210
Resolution of the House of Representatives respecting the laws prohibiting the slave-trade, . . . . .	144