# SERIES IN PHILOLOGY LITERATURE AND ARCHAEOLOGY, VOL. I, NO. 3; I. IIPOE WITH THE ACCUSATIVE. II. NOTE ON THE ANTIGONE

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649313655

Series in Philology Literature and Archaeology, Vol. I, No. 3; I. IIpoe With the Accusative. II. Note on the Antigone by W. A. Lamberton

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

# W. A. LAMBERTON

# SERIES IN PHILOLOGY LITERATURE AND ARCHAEOLOGY, VOL. I, NO. 3; I. IIPOE WITH THE ACCUSATIVE. II. NOTE ON THE ANTIGONE

Trieste

PUBLICATIONS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA.

SERIES IN <u>45-4(33</u> Philology Literature and Archæology

Vol. I. No. 3.

## I. ΠΡΟΣ WITH THE ACCUSATIVE.

# II. NOTE ON THE ANTIGONE.

BY

W. A. LAMBERTON, A. M., PROFESSOR OF GREEK LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE IN THE UNIVERSITY OF FENNSYLVANIA.

N. D. C. HODGES, Agent for United States, Canada and England 47 Lafayette Place, New York, N.Y. MAX NIEMEYER, Agent for the Continent of Europe Halle, a. S., Germany.

PHILADELPHIA: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1891.

्र स

-

## ON IIPOS WITH THE ACCUSATIVE.

1

In Homer  $\pi p \delta s$  with the accusative in the majority of cases accompanies verbs of motion, or such verbs as in themselves, or by virtue of the context in which they stand, necessarily carry with them the idea of motion: in such constructions the preposition indicates that towards which, it may be that up to which, the motion is directed. The largest exception to this is found in its use with the verbs of saying, speaking and the like; it may be doubted, however, whether we have here so much of an exception, as we might at first be inclined to think: such expressions as φωνήν άφιέναι (Dem. I., 2), which are not rare in the orators, show how naturally speech was conceived as a form of motion, and the Homeric expression enea mrepóerra indicates that originally words uttered were conceived of, in the most literal sense, as words set in motion towards the person addressed. It may be that the idea of motion (by no means figurative, but representing to men of those days a very real conception) had already become somewhat blurred, or rather was already losing something of its clearness; but that its force was still, though perhaps but dimly, felt, may be seen, I think, from a comparison of the Homeric phrases,  $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \delta s \delta \nu - \theta \nu \mu \delta \nu (\Lambda 403) \pi \rho \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ μυθήσατο θυμόν (P 200), with the later formulas avaµνησθήναι, λογίζεσθαι, ένθυμεισθαι πρός έαυτόν, so common in the orators. An extension of the use with verbs of saving, with a weaker hold upon the idea of motion, is found in  $\omega\mu\sigma\sigma\epsilon$  $\pi p \delta_s \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ , which occurs twice in the Odyssey ( $\xi$  331,  $\tau$  288); in this we still have a sense of the passage of words of a definite character (indicated by the verb) from one person to another in actual presence, while we discern a possibility of further expansion towards the expression of manifold

---- 10. EZ. Y.D.

\$

### ON mpos with the Accusative.

mutual transactions between persons. In  $\Theta$  364:  $\eta \tau \sigma i \delta \mu \dot{e}\nu$   $\kappa \lambda a (\epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \ \pi \rho \delta \varsigma \ o \dot{v} \rho a v \delta \nu$ ,  $a \dot{v} \tau \dot{a} \rho \dot{e} \mu \dot{e} Z e v | \tau \hat{v} \dot{e} \pi a \lambda \epsilon \xi \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma a \nu$   $\dot{a} \pi^* o \dot{v} \rho a v \delta \theta e \nu \ \pi \rho o t a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$ , the cries are spoken of as addressed not to persons, but  $\pi \rho \delta \varsigma \ o \dot{v} \rho a v \delta \nu$ , and although the gods are felt to be included in the phrase  $\pi \rho \delta \varsigma \ o \dot{v} \rho a v \delta \nu$ , and the next line with its Ze  $\kappa$  makes this quite clear, yet there is an attempt, which was to go much further, at overstepping the personal category in the use of  $\pi \rho \delta \varsigma$  with verbs of speech That the cries are uttered not merely 'heavenward,' but sent forth to heaven to be heard there, the next line with its  $\dot{a} \pi^* o \dot{v} \rho a v \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$  proves.

With verbs of glancing, looking, peering, the idea of motion was unquestionably present originally; one may cast looks as well as spears, cf.  $\pi$  179:  $\pi a \rho \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$  d'érépowee  $\beta d\lambda'$  d'µµara. It is not matter of surprise, then, that Homer should use this construction, which becomes so familiar to us in later Greek; the only wonder is that it does not occur oftener. There are three instances, all in the Odyssey;  $\mu$  244:  $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon s$  µèr  $\pi \rho \delta$ ;  $\tau \eta \nu$  idoµev (cf.  $\pi \kappa \epsilon \psi d\mu \mu \mu \nu \sigma \delta' \delta' \epsilon' \tau \eta a \theta \sigma \eta \nu, \mu$  232:  $\epsilon \kappa a \mu \rho \nu \delta \delta' \mu \rho \delta' \sigma \epsilon \tau a d \tau \tau \eta$  $\pi a \pi \tau a \ell \nu \rho \nu \tau \rho \delta' \eta \epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon \delta \delta a \pi \delta' \tau \rho \eta \nu, \chi$  24:  $\pi a \nu \tau \sigma \epsilon \tau a \pi \tau a \ell \nu \nu \tau \sigma s$   $\ell \nu \delta \mu \eta \tau \sigma s$   $\tau \sigma t \tau \sigma \ell \chi \sigma \nu s$ .

The sense of motion, however, is already leading the way to that of direction, irrespective of motion. The verb  $\tau\rho\epsilon\pi\omega$  would seem to have had a large share in causing this development; compare M 273:  $\mu\eta$   $\tau_{15}$   $\delta\pi\epsilon\sigma\sigma\omega$   $\tau\epsilon\tau\rho\delta\phi\theta\omega$  $\pi\sigma\tau$ ?  $\nu\eta\alpha$ s, with E 605:  $\lambda\lambda\lambda$   $\pi\rho\delta$ s  $T\rho\omega\alpha$ s  $\tau\epsilon\tau\rho\mu\mu\epsilon$ voi alèv  $\delta\pi\epsilon\sigma\sigma\omega$  eikere. The first of these passages has the sense of 'turning and moving towards,' while in the second we have the picture of men facing in one direction and moving in the opposite. We find the sense of turning with implication of directed motion in M 273, i 315:  $\pi\rho\delta\delta$   $\delta\rho\sigmas$  $\tau\rho\epsilon\pi\epsilon\mu\eta\lambda a$  Kúklawý; of motion to assume, or face in, a certain direction in E 603,  $\nu$  29:  $\pi\rho\deltas$   $\eta\epsilon\lambda\iota\nu\kappa\epsilon\phi\lambda\lambda\eta\nu$   $\tau\rho\epsilon\epsilon\pi\epsilon$ and  $\tau$  389:  $\pi\sigma\tau$ ?  $\delta\epsilon$   $\sigma\kappa\delta\sigma\tau\nu$   $\epsilon\tau\rhoa\pi\epsilon\tau\sigma$ ; of direction with the idea of motion excluded in  $\mu$  80:  $\epsilon\sigma\tau$   $\sigma\pi\epsilon\sigma\sigma$   $\eta\epsilon\rhoo\epsilon\iota\delta\epsilon$ s  $\pi\rho\delta\sigma$ 

### ON mpos WITH THE ACCUSATIVE.

ζόφον είς "Ερεβος τετραμμένον. All of these passages contain  $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \omega$ ; and there is in them a regular progress from distinct implication of motion of translation, through motion about a fixed point, to direction of position. This point having once been reached, there is no difficulty felt in using this construction with verbs denoting simple situation to denote, not the exact position where the object is to be found, but the line of direction on which it lies from the point of reference assumed by the writer. Of this there are two examples; v 240: iµèv oroi valouri mpos ήῶ τ' ἡέλιόν τε, ήδ' ὅσσοι μετόπισθε ποτὶ ζόφον ἡερόεντα, ι 25: είν άλλ κείται πρός ζόφον, αί δέ τ' άνευθε πρός ήῶ τ'  $\eta \epsilon \lambda_{10} v \tau \epsilon$ . It is noteworthy that both of these passages are found in the Odyssey and are, moreover, such as would most early and most easily be adopted, 'Eastward,' 'Westward.'

From the construction with verbs signifying 'moving towards and placing, or assuming a position, at,' there arises a tendency to use mpos with the accusative of position at or near, the degree of proximity being left to the context to determine. After reading expressions like more τοίχον άρηρότες (β 342), έστησε πρός κίονα (α 127), έστάμεναι πρός ένώπια (χ 121), πρός γούνα καθέζετο (σ 395), and others of like character, we experience no shock on coming across M 64: σκόλοπες γάρ έν αύτη όξέες έστασιν. ποτί δ'αύτους τείχος 'Αγαιών, and Η 337: ποτί δ'αύτον δείμομεν δικα πύργους ύψηλούς. In M 64, Poulydamas is warning Hektor of the extreme danger of attacking the Greeks, now entrenched behind wall and ditch : the sense is, 'sharp stakes stand in the ditch, and next them stands the wall of the Greeks.' The wall is only 'near' the stakes; how near is not specified, except so far as the general idea run. ning through the passage raises in our minds a more definite determination. Leaf's difficulty about the space between the wall and the ditch is based upon a misunderstanding of  $\pi \sigma \tau i$ , which he takes in a sense it often bears,

### ON mpos with the Accusative.

but not here, as 'coming up to.' Lang's 'over against them' is a perfect rendering. This passage calls for two remarks bearing upon after developments in the use of the preposition; first, the plural airois, helped, of course, by the sense of reixos, suggests, hardly more than suggests, the notion of parallelism ; we feel indistinctly the row of stakes set near, or 'over against,' the wall, and in a line with it; a trace of a recognition of this sense in the passage may perhaps be discovered in the curious variant  $\pi\epsilon\rho i$ : second, the order of the objects, as seen by Poulydamas, from the side of the Trojans, was, first the ditch with the stakes in it, and then the wall, and yet he speaks of the wall as being  $\pi \sigma \tau l a \dot{\sigma} \tau \sigma \dot{v}_s$ . Considering the sense from which this use of the preposition was developed, there is here what may be called a change of sides on the part of  $\pi p o s$ : our renderings 'at' and 'over against' leave us insensible to this; but the Scholiast's paraphrase evros would seem to indicate that something of the sort had struck him. If we discard the position of the speaker, again, and look only to the natural relations of the objects, the same peculiarity appears, for it must have been originally more natural to speak of the stakes being  $\pi \rho \delta s$   $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon i \chi \delta s$ , than of the wall as being προς τούς σκόλοπας. And so in H 440 we find it said in more natural phrase (natural, that is, according to the relations between them), en avro (i. e., τῷ τείχει) τάφρον ὄρυξαν-, ἐν δὲ σκόλοπας κατέπηξαν. In H 337, the meaning probably is 'at it' (Leaf renders 'thereto') 'let us build high towers with speed.' If we compare this with M 64, it will appear, I think, more reasonable not to suppose with Leaf that the wall is to abut upon the sepulchral mound, which would thus be utilized as a part of the fortification, but rather to place the mound inside or on the Grecian side of the wall. In the plural  $\pi \dot{v} \rho \gamma \sigma v$  there is the same suggestion of parallelism that was found in M 64, and, curiously enough, the preposition  $\pi\epsilon\rho i$  reappears, not, to be sure, as a variant this time, but

### ON mpos WITH THE ACCUSATIVE.

as interpretation in the Scholia. There is not, however, the same change of sides in  $\pi p \delta s$ , since Nestor is not speaking of things already existing in a position fixed with reference to his own, but of a tomb to be first constructed and of the after-construction of a wall, the line of which is to be drawn  $\pi \sigma \tau i$   $a \vartheta \tau \delta v$ , so that the natural relations are preserved.

The construction easily lends itself to express the reciprocal encounter of conflicting motions. II 768: all  $\tau \in \pi p \partial s$ άλλήλας έβαλον ταννήκεας όζους, Φ 302: του δ' ύψοσε γούνατ' έπήδα πρός ρόον άίσσοντος αν' ίθύν, ούδέ μιν ίσχεν εύρυ ρέων ποταμός. An offshoot of this is the use with verbs of fighting, which appears once in Homer, P 471: mpds Τρώας μάγεαι. But slightly different is P 94: ὑππότ' ἀνήρ έθέλη πρός δαίμονα φωτί μάχεσθαι, for here the expression  $\pi \rho \delta s \delta a (\mu \sigma \nu a)$  (deo invito) seems to be due to the influence of µaxeobac; the same words, in the same sense, but without the softening accompaniment of µdyeobai, are met ten lines further on (P 104), where they must be regarded as nothing more than a reecho of a construction that had caught the ear as pithy and convenient. In  $\mu$  350 we read βούλομ' απαξ πρός κύμα χανών από θυμόν όλέσσαι, with one gasp at the (inflowing) wave.' If this be compared with  $\Phi$  302, it will be seen that from active encounter with opposing motion we have passed to passive reception of it. Achilles makes head against the swollen stream, Eurylochos will face the wave and receive it as it flows at him. In an expression of motion, then, as above in one of position, mpos has, so to say, changed sides; the subject (or agent) does not move at the object, but the object moves at the subject, and this it is that produces the encounter. The fact that in such a case as this the form of the expression is as natural in English as in Greek, is very apt to blind us to what is really peculiar in it. But when we come to the extensions which this use of  $\pi p \phi$  receives in later Greek, extensions that go beyond the sphere of admissible