CORRESPONDENCE RELATIVE TO THE BUDGETS OF VARIOUS COUNTRIES

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649531653

Correspondence Relative to the Budgets of Various Countries by J. W. Probyn

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

J. W. PROBYN

CORRESPONDENCE RELATIVE TO THE BUDGETS OF VARIOUS COUNTRIES



Cobden club

CORRESPONDENCE

RELATIVE TO

THE BUDGETS

OF

VARIOUS COUNTRIES.

EDITED BY

J. W. PROBYN.



CASSELL PETTER & GALPIN:

LONDON, PARIS & NEW YORK.



TABLE OF CONTENTS.

												7	AGE
INTRODUCTION	. war a		60	300		360				. 3	:*:		3
AUSTRIA Correspondent :	-Baron	Max	v Vo	n K	übeck		:	1	•	•	•		8
BELGIUM								200	14	400	340	631	11
Correspondents	-M. F	isco							8.			• 1	13
M. Augusta	Couve	eur				+		-					17
h. Ad. Le	Hardy	de B	eansin	eu						•		*	20
Correspondents		ž.	53	*	•		•	•	÷	:0	.5	•	35
M. Frederic		*	×2	(4)	*		853	3.0	110		325	20	37
Count Spor	ineck		*	33	•	4.				200	3.5	*3	42
FRANCE			- 77	٠	•	G	NE	RA!	•	•	3.0	*11	44
M. Léon S	ау		+1			4		+		30			47
M. de Fran	queville	•	•						(*	+		4	50
M. de Fon M. Mauric	pertuis	*	•					*	3		2.5	*	55
M. Coffiniè		*	*	*	. 5	*	1(*)(5		- 20	57
M. Gustave	d'Eich	tal		3			•	÷	:		12	- 33	59
		17.27	33	93	23		1,53	28				- 10	6x
GERMANY . Correspondent:	-Profe	ssor .	Nass	c				8			ं	្ល	62
HOLLAND . Correspondents	-	•			*	19	60	•	٠	*	•	57	67
M. J. L. de M. Visserin	Bruyn	Kop	16,	*	100			36		33		35	69
M. Visserii	g .				*0		•	•		*	*	•	
Correspondents	jeen .	•	.	(2)	75	\odot	•	*	٠	•		*	74
Signor Min	ghetti		040	305	350	22	6.5	140	120	121	1000		76
Signor Bod	10			3		30	+11						82
Signor Em			*	*	100			*					84
Signor Boc	cardo	4	•	22			-			33		*	100
PORTUGAL	−Ľc V	loom	ie de	Fie	mniès	e .	7					:	88 88
RUSSIA				9		23							gr
Correspondents	-		*	-35	-	200		- 15		•	PIES.	- 55	
LieutGen					*		6.2	V		*			91
M, Besobr				0.0	*				•			•	0.55
SWEDEN Correspondent	0000		die.		353	125	50		20	2.5	100		IOI
					•	3	73		*			*	101
UNITED STATE Correspondents	1.0				•	34	¥65			•		٠	105
There is a second	I D	awes		1									106
Mr. L. F.	S. Posts	er and	a wn	. 1	avid A	A. W	clis						107
My. Clarks	son N. J	Potte	T				•		•		*	*	109
Mr. J. S Mr. Horae	moore		•				*				:		114
Mr. Horac Mr. Charle	as Mond	hoff	*		•	62		95	- 70	25			115
Mr. John	T. Hoff	man	10					15					118
Mr. John Mr. Edwir	A. Pra	tt	1	8.		33							122
						100	100	333	- 23	(1)		93	124
Article of Economic	et No	11.	Ü		- 54		\$6	36					131



CORRESPONDENCE

RELATIVE TO THE

BUDGETS OF VARIOUS COUNTRIES.

INTRODUCTION.

The public burdens of the United Kingdom have grown, during the last quarter of a century, from about 52,000,000 sterling until they have reached nearly 80,000,000. This fact has led the Committee of the Cobden Club to consider whether any means could be found to insure a more efficient control of Government expenditure. The Committee, therefore, addressed a letter to public men of various countries, in order to ascertain what controlling power was brought to bear upon the fiscal policy of their Governments. The answers which have been received are now laid before the public. They have been given by men conversant with the affairs of their respective countries, versed in economical questions, and desirous of keeping State expenditure within reasonable bounds.

The questions which the Committee addressed to its foreign correspondents were—

- I. Does the Government at the commencement, or at any other stated period of the session of the Legislature, announce in detail the proposed items of expenditure for the current year? or in what other manner are such proposals of expenditure brought under the review of the Legislature?
- II. Is it the practice of the members of the Legislature to discuss the proposed items of expenditure in full assembly, or are means taken, by the appointment of Committees of the Legislature, or otherwise, to investigate the proposed items of expenditure?
- III. Is it the practice of the Legislature to divide the proposed expenditure into the several heads representing the principal departments of the public service? and are such divisions of expenditure referred for consideration to separate Committees?
- IV. If such examination of the details of the proposed expenditure takes place by Committees appointed for such purpose, are the decisions of such Committees in regard to any reduction of expenditure subject to the revision of the Government or of the Assembly?
- V. If such Committees are appointed by the Legislative body, what arrangements are made to secure their independent action?

- VI. In the absence of the appointment of Committees, are any other special means adopted by the Legislature to check or control the proposals of the Government with respect to the national expenditure?
- VII. Has it been found in experience that the course pursued by the Legislative body in the investigation of proposed items of expenditure, either in the military, naval, or civil services, has had the effect of keeping down the charges recommended by the Government or of limiting abuses in administration?

I am, Sir, yours faithfully,

(Signed) THOMAS BAYLEY POTTER, Hon. Sec. of the Cobden Club.

The letters received in reply to these questions, from each country, are published in full; the method adopted in their publication being that of the alphabetical order in which the different States follow one another. This has been done with a view to facilitate reference. In almost every case the letters are preceded by a summary of the manner in which the Budget is dealt with by the Government of each country.

The reader, it is hoped, will thus have the facts of the case laid before him in such a manner as will enable him to avail himself of them with ease, and so help him to form his own judgment upon the important questions which relate to the control of public expenditure. At the conclusion of the foreign correspondence, two articles upon it, from the London *Economist* of the 12th and 19th August, 1876, have been reprinted. They show how useful this work of the Cobden Club was deemed by so competent a judge of such matters as the late lamented Mr. Walter Bagehot.

J. W. PROBYN.

AUSTRIA.

SUMMARY.

THE Austrian Minister of Finance has to lay before both Houses of the Reichsrath (Parliament) the proposed Budget of the following year, accompanied by a detailed account of

ways and means.

When the Budget is brought forward, the House selects a Finance Committee of thirty-six members out of the 360 who compose the House. This Committee divides the expenditure into several heads, representing the principal branches of the public service, which are carefully examined by members specially appointed to go through the different items of the respective departments. The various proposals are voted by a majority, and the Committee presents its Budget to the House. The House has the power of accepting or rejecting the proposals of the Committee, but usually accepts them. There is no resolving of the whole House into committee as in the English parliament, but the sittings of the finance committee are open to all members of the Reichsrath. The decisions of this committee are not subject to the revision of the Government, but each minister defends and explains the budget proposals of his department before the committee.

A permanent commission for the control of the public debt, elected from members of both Houses, watches over the proposals and management of the national expenditure when the Reichsrath is not in session.

The Government lays each year before the Reichsrath an exact account of the management and disposal of the sums