

**THE LAW REPORTS: SUPPLEMENTAL
INDIAN APPEALS: BEING CASES IN THE
PRIVY COUNCIL ON APPEAL FROM
THE EAST INDIES, DECIDED BETWEEN
MARCH, 1872, NOVEMBER, 1873**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649626649

The Law Reports: Supplemental Indian Appeals: Being Cases in the Privy Council on Appeal from the East Indies, Decided Between March, 1872, November, 1873 by Herbert Cowell

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

HERBERT COWELL

**THE LAW REPORTS: SUPPLEMENTAL
INDIAN APPEALS: BEING CASES IN THE
PRIVY COUNCIL ON APPEAL FROM
THE EAST INDIES, DECIDED BETWEEN
MARCH, 1872, NOVEMBER, 1873**

Vl. vi. 23

THE
LAW REPORTS.

SUPPLEMENTAL

Indian Appeals

BEING

CASES

IN

THE PRIVY COUNCIL

ON APPEAL FROM

THE EAST INDIES,

DECIDED BETWEEN MARCH, 1872, AND NOVEMBER, 1878,

AND NOT REPORTED IN MOORE'S INDIAN APPEALS.

REPORTED BY HERBERT COWELL, ESQ.,

OF THE MIDDLE TEMPLE, BARRISTER-AT-LAW.

LONDON:

Printed for the Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales
BY WILLIAM CLOWES AND SONS,

DUKE STREET, STAMFORD STREET; AND 14, CHANCERY CROSS.

PUBLISHING OFFICE, 51, CAREY STREET, LINCOLN'S INN, W.C.

1880.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED.

	PAGE
Baillie's Digest of Mahomedan Law, p. 108	145
—————, p. 125	138
—————, part II. c. 1, s. 2, p. 9	195
—————, chap. iv. p. 294	196
Baillie's Imameca, pp. 53, 56	200
—————, bk. vii. ss. 3, 4, pp. 272, 273	201
Clarke's Early Roman Law, p. 118	68
Colbrooke's Digest, bk. v.	7
—————, bk. v. p. 481	203
Dayabhaga, c. 1, v. 21	67
Dayakrama Sangraka, c. 1, s. 2, v. 4	203
Elberling's Hindu Law of Inheritance, s. 167	203
Gale on Easements, p. 108	177
Hedayah, vol. i. p. 384; vol. ii. p. 20	200
—————, bk. ii. c. ii. vol. i. pp. 102, 108	196
Lindley on Partnership (2nd Ed.), pp. 88, 39	97
Macnaghten's (Sir F.) Considerations, H. L. c. 1, par. 2	49
—————, p. 62	203
Macnaghten's (Sir W.) Principles of Hindu Law, vol. i. p. 104	203
————— Precedents in Mahomedan Law, p. 131	141
—————, p. 275	140
—————, case vii. p. 322	200
————— Principles of Mahomedan Law, p. 59	138
—————, ch. i. s. 4. cl. 62	201
Menu, ch. ix. ss. 105, 107, 119, 123, 125, 137, 138	7, 8
—————, p. 210, s. 168	7, 150
Shamachurn Sircar's Vyavastha Darpana, pp. 381, 384	207, 208
—————, ss. 160, 161, p. 319	210
Story on Partnership, c. iv. s. 49 (6th Ed.)	97
Strange's Manual of Hindu Law, p. 82	150
————— Hindu Law, vol. i. pp. 55, 56	9
—————, p. 192	7
Wilson's Glossary, tit. "Fazuli"	195




TABLE OF CASES CITED.

A.		PAGE
Abraham v. Abraham	9 Moore, P. C. 195	56
Advocate-General v. Ameerchand	1 Knapp, P. C. 329, n.	13
Ameroonissa v. Mooradonissa	6 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 211	138, 139
B.		
Baboo Gopaltrall Thakoor v. Taluk } Chunder Rai	10 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 192	31
Bagram v. Kattranath Karformah	3 Beng. L. R. O. J. C. 18, 51	177
Barry v. Noshan	3 C. B. 641	95
Bhoobun Moyee Dabia v. Ram Kishore } Acharj Chowdhry	10 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 279, } 311	70
Bhujangrav bin Dhalatray Ghorpade, v } Malojirao bin Dhalatray Ghorpade	5 Bomb. H. C. R. 161	9
Bisco v. Earl of Bombay	1 Ch. Cas. 287	41
Bisnatch v. Bridges	4 A. & E. 176	177
Bloxam v. Peil	Cited in <i>Grace v. Smith</i> , 2 W. } Bl. 999	95
Bond v. Pittard	3 M. & W. 357	95
Bright v. Walker	1 C. M. & R. 217, 219	178
Bullen v. Sharp	Law Rep. 1 C. P. 86	87, 95, 97, 101
C.		
Carter v. Barnadiston	1 P. Wms. 505	53
Cook v. Turner	15 M. & W. 727	219
Cossinath Bysack v. Hurroosoodary } Dosee	Morton's Rep. 85	203, 205
Cox v. Hickman	8 H. L. C. 268 { 87, 94, 95, 96, 97, } 102	102
Cross v. Lewis	2 B. & C. 686	177
D.		
Daniel v. North	11 East, 372	177
Darwin v. Upton	2 Wms. Saunders, 175A	177
Doe d. Cullen v. Clark	Morton's Rep. 76	40

		PAGE
<i>Doe d. Juggomobun Roy v. Sreemutty</i>	Mort. 90	52
<i>Nemoo Dossee</i>	52
— <i>d. Munnoo Lall v. Gopee Dutt</i>	Mort. 80	52
— <i>v. Sreemutty Muno Dossee</i>	Montrieu's Rep. 146	49
<i>Dongapershad Roy Chowdhry v. Tana-</i>	4 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 452	50
<i>pershad Roy Chowdhry</i>	
<i>Dougall v. Wilson</i>	2 Wms. Saunders, 175a	177
<i>Dyke v. Walford</i>	5 Moore, P. C. 434	64

E.

<i>Easterbrook v. Barker</i>	Law Rep. 6 C. P. 1	94
<i>East India Company v. Syed Ally</i>	{ 7 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. } 12, 120, 125, 555	128, 129
<i>Elphinstone v. Bedin Chand</i>	1 Knapp, P. C. 316	120
<i>English and Irish Church Ins. Soc. (In re)</i>	1 Hem. & Mil. 15	95

F.

<i>Forester v. Secretary of State for India</i>	Ind. Ap. Sup. Vol. p. 10	119, 120, 128
---	------------------------------------	---------------

G.

<i>Goberdhun Bysack v. Shamchand Bysack</i>	Bourke, 282	53
<i>Goopeekist Gosain v. Gungapersaud Go-</i>	6 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 53	71
<i>sain</i>	
<i>Grace v. Smith</i>	2 W. Bl. 898	97, 101, 103
<i>Gray v. Bond</i>	2 B. & B. 667	177
<i>Gregory v. Molesworth</i>	3 Atk. 626	212

H.

<i>Hamper (Ex parte)</i>	17 Ves. 403	95, 101
<i>Holme v. Hammond</i>	Law Rep. 7 Ex. 218	94
<i>Humberston v. Humberston</i>	1 P. Wms. 332	54, 78

I.

J.

<i>Jackson v. Turley</i>	22 L. J. (Ch.) 949	150
<i>Jadumani Dossee v. Kheytramobun Shil</i>	Shamachurn, 384	203
<i>Jordan v. Adams</i>	9 C. B. (N.S.) 483	53

K.

<i>Kenaram Chuckerbutty v. Dinonath Pauda</i>	9 Suth. W. R. 325	150
<i>Khajah Hidayut Oollah v. Rai Jan</i>	3 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 316	201
<i>Khanum</i>	

		PAGE
Pitt v. Jackson	2 Brown, C. C. 51	77
Pott v. Eyton	3 C. B. 32-40	101
Puree Jan Khatoon v. Bykunt Chunder Chuckerbutty	9 Suth. W. R. 381	150

R.

Rae Manick Chund v. Madhoram	13 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 1	38
Rajah Anundall Deo v. Government of Bengal	Beng. S. D. A. (1858) 1669	183
Rajah Leelanund Singh v. Government of Bengal	6 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 101	184
Rajah Neelanund Singh v. Nurreeb Singh v. Surwan Singh	6 Suth. W. R. 80	182
	5 Suth. W. R. 290	182
Rajah Satyasaran Ghosal v. Mahash Chundra Mitter	12 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 263 ; 2 Beng. L. R. B. C. 23	183
Rajah Suraneni Vankata v. Rajah Suraneni Lakshma	13 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 113	53
Rajoomaree Dossee v. Nobocomar Mullick	1 Boulois, 137
Ramnad Case, The	12 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 397	203
Ramsden v. Dyson & Thornton	Law Rep. 1 H. L. 129	40
Rani Anandumari v. The Government of Bengal	9 Beng. L. R. 16, n.	150
Ranee Surnomoye v. Maharajah Sutteechunder Roy Bahadoor	10 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. } 183, 187, 123	188
Redpath v. Wigg	Law Rep. 1 Ex. 335	94
Rewun Persad v. Mussumut Radha Beety	4 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 137	50
Rooke v. Lord Kensington	25 L. J. (Ch.) 795 ; 2 K. & J. } 150, 763	161
Rungama v. Atchama	4 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 1	131

S.

Sebesoondere Dossee v. Kastakisore Neoghy	2 Tay. & Bell, 190	303
Secretary of State v. Karnach Boye Sababa	7 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. } 11, 12, 13, 476	120, 128
Shaw v. Galt	16 Ir. C. L. Rep. 357	95
Shiba-sundari Dasi v. Krishns-Kishore Neoghy	Shamschurn, 381	207
Shibchunder Ghose v. Bunichunder Neoghy	Fult. Rep. 36	53
Shurnomoyee Dossee v. Gopal Lall Das	Marshall, 497	203
Simpson v. Routh	2 B. & C. 682	199
S. M. Krishnaramani Dasi v. Anandra Krishna Bose	4 Beng. L. R. O. C. 231	50
Soorjeemonee Dossee v. Denobundhoo Mullick	9 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 135	49
Sonstun Bysack v. Juttusoondree Dossee Sreemutty Joymoney Dossee v. Sreemurty Sibsoondere Dossee	8 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 66	53
	Fulton Rep. 75	150, 159
Sreemutty Soorjeemony Dossee v. Deno- bundhoo Mullick	9 Moore's Ind. Ap. Ca. 135	53, 65, 69
Stocker v. Brockelbank	3 Mac. & G. 263	97
Syud Abbas Ali Khan v. Yadcom Ramy Reddy	3 Moore's Ind. Ap. Cas. 156	22