# THE LIMITATIONS OF THE PREDICATIVE POSITION IN GREEK, A DISSERTATION

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649191635

The limitations of the predicative position in Greek, A Dissertation by Alfred William Milden

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

### **ALFRED WILLIAM MILDEN**

# THE LIMITATIONS OF THE PREDICATIVE POSITION IN GREEK, A DISSERTATION

Trieste

#### THE LIMITATIONS

.

OF THE

### PREDICATIVE POSITION IN GREEK

## A DISSERTATION

PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF UNIVERSITY STUDIES OF THE JOHNS MOPKINS UNIVERSITY FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

ВŸ

¥

ALFRED WILLIAM MILDEN

-----

12

BALTIMORE JOHN MURPHY COMPANY 1900

÷.)

880.5 MG415Li

> × -

> > .

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS.

9

.

																AGR
THE GREEK	ANTICL	в,	÷		53	٠	•	10	29	- 31	17	•				
OBLIQUE PRES	DICATIC	m,		×		1		•	$\mathbf{\hat{s}}$	×	2		•		83	10
THE PREDICA	TIVE E	ART	TOIP	LE	-	THE	Equ	JIV.	LER	T OI		N	AB	TR	ACT	
Noun,	35 <b>5</b> 3		æ	8			₹:	۰		- 8	•	•			3	18
Тив Овісія с	F THE	AD	VERI	BEAT	ĿD.	TIVI	TT	PE	or I	BED	IOA	TIO	м,		7.0	18
			-													
THE ADVERDI	ar-DAI	LIAE	IT	PEO	* P	REDI	CATI	ON I	LN (a	) C1.	AREI	041	LG	R	æĸ,	22
									121120	*8°					1.10	22
	AL-DA	TIVE	TY	PE		Pre	DIQA	TIO	<b>1</b> DX	(6)	Poe	<b>n-C</b>	LA		CAL	
THE ADVEREI Greek,	al-Da -	-	: T¥ -	PE .	0 <b>7</b>	Pre	-	<b>TIO</b>	* 13 -	(6)	Poe	т-С -	LA	-	CAL-	26
THE ADVERSI	AL-DA	rivi Tri	: T¥ - PE 0	ipe 	0)* -	PRE	- - -	TIO) - IN (	(a) C	(b) Lage	Poe -	π-C - ιG	RE	BEI - TEE	CAL -	20 25

u Ž

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY.

#### GENERAL WORKS.

BERNHARDY, G.	Wissenschaftliche Syntax.	Berlin, 1829.
BLANS, F.	Die attische Beredsamkeit.	Leipzig, 1887.
CLARREN, J.	Beobachtungen über den hom. Sprg.	(1996) - 10
102.20553293 #191611	Frankf	ort A. M., 1867.
DONALDSON, J. W.	New Cratylus.	London, 1860.
JEEB, R. C.	The Attic Orators from Antiphon to Isa	eus.
	5-10 Note Cal	London, 1876.
KRÜGER, K. W.	Historisch-philologische Studien, Vol. II	. Berlin, 1851.
KRÜGER-PÖRKL.	Griechische Sprachlehre.	Leipzig, 1891.
KÜHNBR-GERTH.	Ausführlichs Grummatik der griechische II. (Satzlehre).	n Sprache, Part Leipzig, 1898.
MADVIG, J. N.	Byniar of the Greek Language.	London, 1873.
MIDDLETON, T. F.	The Doctrine of the Greek Article, etc.	London, 1841.
MOMMSEN, T.	Beiträge zu der Lehre von den gried	
	sitionen.	Berlin, 1895.
MONBO, D. B.	Homeric Grammar.	Oxford, 1891.
PAUL, H.	Principles of the History of Language.	New York, 1889.
SCHMID, W.	Der Atticismus, Vol. III.	Stuttgart, 1898.
SCHOEMANN, G. F.	Die Lehre von den Redetheilen.	Berlin, 1862.
STEINTHAL, H.	Geschichte der Sprachwissenschaft bei	den Griechen
27	und Römern.	Berlin, 1863.
VOGRINZ, G.	Grammatik des homerischen Dislektes.	
	I	aderborn, 1889.
	SPECIAL WORKS.	
CUCUEL, CH.	Essai sur la langue et le style de l'oratet	r Antiphon. Berlin, 1882.
Desumer I	Designation of the second design of the second seco	
DORNSEIFFEN, I.	De artículo apud Graecos eiusque usu in	msterdam, 1856.
Casar P		
EGGER, E.	Apollonius Dyscole, essai sur l'histoi grammaticales dans l'antiquité.	Paris, 1854.
EICHHOBST, O.	Die Lehre des Apollonius Dyscolus von lol. 38, pp. 399-422.	Artikel. Phi-
ELIAS, S.	Quaestiones Lycurgeae. Halis	Saxonum, 1870.
FULLER, A. L.	De articuli in antiquis Graecis comoedii	s usu,

•

De articuli in antiquis Graecis comoediis usu. Leipzig, 1888. 5

.

#### Bibliography.

6	Biolography.	
GILDERELEEVE, B. L.	Amer. Journ. of Philol. 2, 83 ff., 8, 218 319.	ff., 9, 137 ff., 17,
GUTTENTAG, I.	De subdito qui inter Lucianeos legi so aride.	let dialogo Tox- Berlin, 1860.
HELSING, R.	Ueber den Gebrauch des echten und e bei Herodot.	ociativen Dativa Karlaruhe, 1898.
Holzweissie, F.	Ueber den sociativ-instrumentalen Gebr Dativ bei Homer.	Burg, 1885.
KALLENBERG, H.	Commentatio critica in Herodotum. Jahresber, des philol. Vereins su Berlin	Berlin, 1884.
Матка, Н.	Observationes in Lycurgi oratoris usum	dicendi. Freiburg, 1889.
PROCESCH, A.	Ueber den Gebrauch des Artikels, in Prädicat, Philol. 40, pp. 1-47.	sbesondere beim
Spirker, E. H.	Genitive Absolute in the Attic Orators, Philol. 6, 310 ff.	Amer. Journ. of
STOLE, FR.	Der attributive Gebrauch von abrér Dativ, Wiener Studien, vol. 20, p. 24	

#### THE LIMITATIONS OF THE PREDICATIVE POSITION IN GREEK.

A study of the limitations of the predicative position in Greek calls for a brief treatment of the origin and historical development of the Greek article.

Aristotle (Poetics, c. 21) is the first writer by whom the pronoun is referred to as a separate part of speech. He expressly

THE GREEK ABTICLE. makes mention of the  $\delta \nu o \mu a$ , the  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \mu a$ , the  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu o \varsigma$ , and the  $\dot{a} \rho \theta \rho o \nu$ , though elsewhere he includes the last two under

 $\sigma'\omega\delta\epsilon\sigma\mu\sigmas$ . We do not know when the name  $d\sigma\tau\sigma\nu\nu\mu$  was introduced. Schoemann's assumption, Die Lehre von den Redetheilen, p. 117, that it originated with the Alexandrian grammarians is not improbable. He is probably right, too, in assuming that the separation of the pronoun from the article did not take place later than the time of Aristarchus, the grammarian. It is important to remember, however, that this separation was not countenanced by the Stoks, who did not fail to perceive that the article was in reality a degraded pronoun. Under the general name of pronoun, they comprehended both pronoun and article. The Stok view of the nature of the article—that it is a degraded pronoun—has won general acceptation.

In Homer  $\delta i \tau \delta$  is the commonest of the demonstrative pronouns. It is a matter of great interest to the student of language to observe the traces of the gradual weakening of the pronominal force of  $\delta i \tau \delta$ . Accompanying this loss is the growing use of  $o\delta\tau\sigma\sigma$ ,  $\delta\delta\epsilon$ , and *excivas*. The gradual weakening of the pronominal  $\delta i \tau \delta$  is, however, only another way of characterising the transition from pronoun to article. Vogrinz, Grammatik des homerischen Dialektes, p. 197, points out one step in the development of the article where the pronominal form and the noun to