CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE BOTANICAL LABORATORY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, VOL. V: 1919, NO.1; 1920, NO. 2

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649555628

Contributions from the Botanical Laboratory of the University of Pennsylvania, Vol. V: 1919, No.1; 1920, No. 2 by Botanical Laboratory of the University of Pennsylvania

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE BOTANICAL LABORATORY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, VOL. V: 1919, NO.1; 1920, NO. 2



CONTENTS OF VOLUME V, NO. 1.

	P.	GR
1.	The Macroscopic and Microscopic Structure of some Hybrid	
	Sarracenias Compared with that of their Parents. By	
	ALICE MARY RUSSELL, B.S., M.S. (With plates i, ii, iii, iv, v.)	3
2.	A Comparative Study of the Structure and Saphrophytism of the Pyrolaceae and Monotropaceae with Reference to their Derivation from the Ericaceae. By Margaret	
	W. HENDERSON, B.S., M.A.	42

CONTRIBUTIONS

FROM THE

Botanical Laboratory

OF THE

University of Pennsylvania

University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia 1919

· XX.

The Macroscopic and Microscopic Structure of some Hybrid Sarracenias Compared with that of their Parents

BY ALICE MARY RUSSELL, B.S., M.S.

[Thesis presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School in partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy.]

CONTENTS

Historical Review	4
Natural Distribution of Species and Hybrids Selected for	
Study	8
Comparison of Parents and Hybrids	
S. purpurea, S. flava, S. Catesbaei	9
S. flava, S. Drummondii, S. Moorei	8
S. Sledgei, S. Drummondii, S. areolata	4
Comparison of Flowers	7
Description of Structure of Glands 3	32
Ovarian Gland Structure 3	33
Conclusions	55
Bibliography 3	39
Description of Plates	ŧ0

HISTORICAL REVIEW

Before Tournefort had named the genus Sarracena (1) and Linnaeus (2) had accepted the name, the group of the Sarracenias was already known to the early settlers in North America. They collected the plants and sent them to Europe as interesting exotics, where they were carefully described in botanical publications. As early as 1570, Lobel described specimens of the group which had come to his attention. Clusius (1601) (3) figures S. purpures and Parkinson (4) copies his figure and adds a note which seems to indicate that he knew S. flava as well. Concerning this plant, which he calls "The Hollow Leaved Strange Plant of Clusius," he writes: "This strange plant hath such strange leaves, as the like are seldome seene in any other that we know growing, for they are nine or ten or more, rising from the head of a small long roote, each by itselfe, being small below, and growing greater upward, with a belly as it were bunching forth, and a bowing backe, hollow at the upper end, with a peece thereon like a flappe, and like unto the flower of Aristolochia, or Birthwort, and round at the mouth like a halfe circle, full of great darke purplish veins on the inside; the whole leaf is of thicke substance almost like unto leather; among these leaves sprang a stalke but was broken short off, so that what flower or seed it bore could not be observed. This was sent to Clusius from Paris by one that received it from Lishbone in the same manner. But of late Master John Tradescant the younger found this very plant in Virginia, having his toppe thereon, which he brought home and groweth with him, which I here show you with Clusius his figure. The leaves are longer, narrower and not bellying out, and the flower is borne at the top of the roundish seed vessell." The specimen sent by Tradescant was probably S. flava.

Plukenet (6) (7) was familiar with both S. flava and S. purpurea, since he gives very accurate figures of both species.

John Ray (8) gave a Latin translation of Parkinson's description already quoted. The actual specimen described by him, however, was a natural hybrid between S. flava and S. purpurea, and was the first natural hybrid collected. (See below.)

Tournefort named the genus Sarracena, in honor of Dr. Sarrasin of Quebec (1), and described one species, S. canadensis. Linnaeus accepted the genus name and described the two species long recognized, S. flava and S. purpurea.

Walter, in 1788 (9), described and named two new species, S. minor and S. rubra. S. psittacina was added to the genus by Michaux in 1803 (11). Croom (12) described S. Drummondii in 1835.

Since the above time, only one new species has been added, S. Sledgei in 1906, by Macfarlane (17).

A few of the botanical publications of this time review the genus as varying in composition:

"Flore des Serres" (13) gives seven species: S. flava (L.), S. purpurea (L.), S. variolaris (= minor Walt. = adunca Smith), S. undulata (Dcn.), S. Drummondii (Cro.), S. rubra (Walt.), S. psittacina (Michx.) (= calceolata = pulchella (Croom)). Chapman (14) gives all of the above except S. undulata, which he considers synonymous with S. Drummondii. Hooker (15) mentions eight species but does not enumerate them. Boulger (16), in reviewing the genus, has the six species: S. purpurea, S. flava, S. rubra, S. Drummondii, S. psittacina, S. variolaris.

During the latter half of the 18th century, Sarracenias were widely cultivated in European gardens. New varieties were eagerly sought for exhibition, many new forms were introduced from America and several artificial hybrids were produced. Since each exhibitor appended a name to his own product, a great confusion of names had arisen and a survey of the forms under cultivation became most necessary. Dr. Masters, therefore, undertook the review in three numbers of the Gardeners' Chronicle for the year 1881 (18). Here he gives a key to the forms raised in English gardens and gives for each a short description from living specimens furnished to him. The forms and species described by him are as follows:

- 1. S. psittacina (Michx.) A. D. C. Prod. XVII, p. 4.
- 2. S. purpurea (L.) A. D. C. Prod. XVII, p. 4.
- 3. S. Chelsoni X (Hort. Veitch, G. C. vol. 9, p. 11 (rubra X purpurea).
- 4. S. variolaris (Michx.) Croom. A. D. C. Prod. XVII, p. 6.
- S. Drummondii (Croom) A. D. C. Prod. XVII, p. 5 (var. alba G. C. vol. 10, p. 281).
- S. undulata (Dcn.) = S. Drummondii (Croom) Rev. Hort. i, p. 126.
 Flore des Serres 7, A. D. C. Prod. XVII, p. 5. Index Amer. Bot. p. 40.

```
7. S. rubra (Walt.) Flora Car. p. 152. (Croom) A. D. C. Prod. XVII, p. 4.
    var. acuminata (A. D. C. loc. sit.) var. Sweetii (A. D. C.) Wat.
    Index p. 40 = S. minor (Sweet) = S. rubra (Planchon).
```

8. S. flore (L.) Sp. Pl. Ed. i, p. 510. A. D. C. Prod. XVII, p. 5. var. Catesbaei (Ell.) Bot. S. Car. = S. flave var. picts Hort. Bull. = S. Filderii Hort. Williams.

Var. ornata (Hort. Bull.).

Var. Rugelii (Shuttleworth) = erythropus Hort. Bull., A. D.

C. Prod. XVI, p. 6.

Var. limbata (Hort Bull.).

Var. maxima (Hort. Angl.).

Var. cristata (Hort. Bull.). Var. atrosanguinea (Hort. Bull.).

Var. minima (Hort. Angl.). 9. S. Moorei × (G. C. 1874, p. 702 = S. Drummondii × S. flava).

S. Stevensii × (G. C. 1874, p. 738) = S. flava × S. purpurea).

11. S. Williamsii × (Hort. Williams above 10).

12. S. Popei X (S. flava X S. rubra).

S. melanorhoda X (Hart. Veitch. S. purpurea X S. Stevensis).

14. S. formosa X (Hart. Veitch. S. psittacina X S. variolaris).

The above review constitutes the basis for the article on Sarracenias in Nicholson's Dictionary of Gardening (20).

The history of the hybrids grown during this period is interesting. In 1874 the first artificial hybrid was produced and exhibited by Dr. Moore at the International Botanical Congress in Florence. An abstract of Dr. Moore's paper upon the presentation of the hybrid is given in the Gardeners' Chronicle 1874, p. 738. Of the plant, which had S. flava as the female and S. Drummondii as the male parent, he writes: "The plant is as nearly as possible intermediate between those two noble species of the genus, and no hybrid which has hitherto come under my notice proves more decidedly than it does the marked influence of the pollen of one plant applied to the stigma of another. . . . During the months of April and May most of the species flower and produce young leaves, after perfecting which the plants rest six weeks or more, when some of the kinds produce a second crop of leaves which remain fresh during the winter and are more beautiful than those of the first crop. This is especially the case with S. Drummondii. . . . S. flava does not make a second growth of leaves in so marked a manner, but rather inclines to rest during the winter months." "Now it is in the mixture of the leaves that the intermediate state of the hybrid is so strikingly exemplified. It makes a second