SELECT CASES ARGUED AND ADJUDGED IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHANCERY: BEFORE THE LATE LORDS COMMISSIONERS OF THE GREAT SEAL AND THE LATE LORD CHANCELLOR KING, FROM THE YEAR 1724 TO 1733 Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649700615

Select Cases Argued and Adjudged in the High Court of Chancery: Before the Late Lords Commissioners of the Great Seal and the Late Lord Chancellor King, from the Year 1724 to 1733 by Steuart Macnaghten

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

STEUART MACNAGHTEN

SELECT CASES ARGUED AND ADJUDGED IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHANCERY: BEFORE THE LATE LORDS COMMISSIONERS OF THE GREAT SEAL AND THE LATE LORD CHANCELLOR KING, FROM THE YEAR 1724 TO 1733

Trieste

SELECT CASES

ARGUED AND ADJUDGED IN THE

High Court of Chancery, BEFORE

4

THE LATE LORDS COMMISSIONERS OF THE GREAT SEAL

AND

THE LATE LORD CHANCELLOR KING,

FROM THE YEAR 1724 TO 1733;

WITH TWO TABLES, ONE OF THE NAMES OF THE CASES AND THE OTHER OF THE PRINCIPAL MATTERS.

> BY A GENTLEMAN OF THE TEMPLE.

> > The Second Gdition :

WITH

.

EXPLANATORY NOTES AND REFERENCES TO FORMER AND SUBSEQUENT DETERMINATIONS.

> RV STEUART MACNAGHTEN, OF THE MIDDLE TEMPLE, ERQUIRE, BARRISTER-AT-LAW.

> > - ----

LONDON: V. & R. STEVENS AND G. S. NORTON, Law Booksellers and Bublishers ;

(Successors to the late J. & W. T. CLARKE, of Portugal Street,) 26, BELL YARD, LINCOLN'S INN.

MDCCCL.



LONDON : STRVENS AND CO., PRINTERS, BELL TARD, LINCOLN'S TRN.

× 8

8.00

....

2

PREFACE.

IT has been the object of the Author of the following Notes to the Second Edition of "THE SELECT CASES IN CHANCERY," to present to the Student of Equity an elementary exposition of some of its leading principles, which are to be found in the original text; and he ventures to hope that, in the hands of those more advanced, the value of the Reports will not be diminished by the addition of the Notes.

S. MACNAGHTEN.

Old Square, Lincoln's Inn, October, 1850.

. 281 .

8**.**8

 $\mathbf{\cap}$

50

H)

A TABLE

07

THE NAMES OF THE CASES.

Appleyard v. Wood, 42. Ashton v. Dawson and Vincent, 14.

Bacon v. Harris, 2. Baker v. Rogors, 74. Barker v. Gyles, 17. Bell v. Speremsn, 59. Betesworth (Dr.) v. Dean and Chapter of St. Paul's, 66. Bilson v. Sannders, 72. Birmingbam School, 36. Blacklock v. Barns, 53. Blackway v. Barl Strafford, 57. Burroughs v. Jemineau, 69.

Chandos (Dux) v. Talbot, 24. Chancy v. Wootton, 44. Child v. Pitt, 16. Christmas v. Christmas, 20. Clavering v. Clavering, 79. Clerke v. Jackson, 52. Colchester v. Colchester, 13. Cole v. Rumney, 62. Combs, 46. Coppin v. Coppin, 28. Cox v. George, 11. Crult v. Dodson, 41.

Daltou v. Dalton, 13. Degg v. Earl Macclesfield, 44. Devonshire (Dux) v. Atkins, 71. Dews v. Brandt, 7. Duffin v. Furnace, 77. ——— Du Rhone, 76.

Eden v. Foster, 36. Edwards v. Heather, 3. Eidred v. Child, 49. Evlyn v. Evlyn, 80.

Feltham v. Feltham, 15.

Finckle v. Stacy, 9. Fløyer v. Sydenham, 2. Franklin, 47. Freak, 47. Fryar (Sir John) v. Vernon, 5.

Gardiner v. Painter, 65. Gibson v. Scudamore, 63. Grenon v. Rawson, 57.

Harmard v. Webster, 53. Harvey v. Woodhouse, 80. Hayward v. Colley, 24. Hill v. Filkin, 22. ———— Hill, 13. Hindford (Earl of) v. Decosta, 4. Hornsby v. Hornsby, 73. Huet v. Lord Say and Seal, 53. Hughes v. Games, 62.

Jackson #. Jackson, 81. Jordan #. Foley, 19.

Keech v. Sandford, St. 128

Lannoy v. Lannoy, 48. Lethulier v. Lord Castlemain, 60. Lewen v. Lewen, 14. Limax, 4. Lucy (Lord) v. Watta, 1. Lysons v. Vernon, 25.

Macarte v. Gibson, 50. Mosene, 45.

Nutt v. Burrell, 1.

Ord v. Smith, 9.

Packenham v. Bland and Hoskins, 42. Paxton, 53. A TABLE OF THE NAMES OF THE CASES.

Permmont v. East India Company, 12. Figgot v. Morris, 26. Popping, 48. Proctor v. Warren, 78. Pugh v. Ryal, 40. Figure 2. Product v. Marren, 78. Pugh v. Ryal, 40. Figure 2. Figur

.

Rakostraw v. Brewer, 55. Rawson v. Turner, 42. Richards v. Cock, 12. Richardson v. Mitchell, 51. Robinson v. Savile, 61. Rogers v. Rogers, 81.

Savile v. Savile, 32. Sepalino v. Twitty, 75. Shepherd v. Beecher, 43. Snape v. Fardon, 6. Soam v. Danvers, 20.

Teasdale v. Teasdale, 59. Thomas v. Puddlesbury, 51. Thorn v. Pitt, 54. Townesend v. Lawton, 71. Turner v. Turner, 49.

Webber v. Taylor, 52. Weston v. Cartwright, 34. Whitacre v. Whitacre, 13. Whitlock, 46. Wilson v. Dabbs, 50. Wise, 46. Withrington v. Banks, 30. Wood, 46.

Yates v. Compton, 54.

1

vi

INDEX

TO

THE NAMES OF CASES CITED.

ACHERLEY v. Roe, 228. Ackers v. Phipps, 235. Ackroyd v. Smithson, 232. b. weare, so. Addrie v. Dixon, 58. Addis «. Campbell. 27. Ainslie v. Medlicott, 78. Aldborough (Earl of) v. Trye, 26, 27. Aldborongh (Escil of) v. Trye, 24 Alden v. Arme, 209. — v. Macpherson, 140. Allfrey v. Allfrey, 50, 102, 103. Allum v. Fryer, 157. Alæger, Ex parie, 162. Amory v. Brodzick, 18. Amphett v. Parke, 16. Anderson e Anderson 57. Anderson v. Anderson, 67. Andrew v. Andrew, 203. Andrews v. Lockwood, 159. Angell r. Angell, 37. Angel v. Smith, 169. Auonymous, 19, 45, 55, 79, 81, 138, 206. Anson v. Towgood, 28. Antrobus v. Smith. 210. Appleby v. Duke, 58. Appleby s. Duke, 58. Archer s. Hudson, 122. Archol s. Chapman, 233. Ashby s. Ashby, 136. Askew s. Townsend, 159. Athol (Earl of) s. Derby (Karl of), 22. Athone Baker, 198. Attorney-General s. Batter, 65. - v. Boultbee, 65. - v. Bouttingham, 111. - v. Buller, 111. - v. Cashel (Corporation of), 111. - v. Comber, 64. - v. Dulwich College, 109. - v. Foord, 111. - v. Foundling Hospital (Governors of), 109. - v. Hinxman, 65. - v. Ironmongers' Company, 65. - v. Kerr, 111.

Attorney-General v. London (Corporation of), 44. - v. Lucas, 11. - v. Newark-upon-Trent (Mayor &c. of), 111. v. Persock, 64. tion of), 111. - v. Ray, 38. 1.1.1 - v. Vigor, 123. - v. Warren, 111. Attwood v. Small, 14, 49. Austen v. Halsey, 90. BABINGTON v. Greenwood, 51. Backhouse v. Middleton, 156. Baddeley v. Curwen, 11. Badger v. Ford, 177. Baggett v. Meux, 68. Baker v. Hart, 44. Bale v. Newton, 209. Balfe v. Lord, 34. Bannatyne v. Leader, 9. Barker v. Dumeres, 18. - v. Greenwood, 118. Barnard v. Pumfrett, 174. --- v. Young, 145. Barnesley v. Powel, 140, 141. Barnsdale v. Lowe, 38, 39. Barret v. Beckford, 148. Barrington v. Tristram, 201. Barrs v. Jackson, 194. Barry v. Harding, 225. Barrymore v. Elits, 68. Barton v. Tattereall, 168. Batton v. Tattereall, 168. Batte v. Bate, 12. Bates, Ex parte, 129. — v. Dandy, 136. Batt v. Cuthertson, 137. Batterabee v. Farrington, 219. Batty v. Chester, 14. Beable v. Dodd, 67. Beard v. Westcott, 64. Beatson v. Beatson, 210. Beauchamp v. Huntley (Marquis of). 194. Beavan v. Carpenter, 39.

