

**ARITHMETICAL ABILITIES
AND SOME FACTORS
DETERMINING THEM**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649445592

Arithmetical Abilities and Some Factors Determining Them by Cliff Winfield Stone

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

CLIFF WINFIELD STONE

**ARITHMETICAL ABILITIES
AND SOME FACTORS
DETERMINING THEM**

Arithmetical Abilities
and
Some Factors Determining Them

BY

CLIFF WINFIELD STONE, Ph. D.

LIBRARY
LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR
UNIVERSITY

Published by
TEACHERS COLLEGE
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
NEW YORK CITY

1908

F.
Stone

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Acknowledgments.....	5
Part I. Purpose and Method of the Study.....	7
The Purpose of the Study.....	7
The Method of the Study.....	7
Schools Tested.....	7
Explanation of key.....	9
Method of securing data.....	9
Purpose and content of tests.....	9
The tests as given.....	10
Arrangement of problems.....	12
Conditions under which given.....	13
Directions to pupils.....	14
How the scores were computed.....	15
Precautions to make scores accurate.....	18
What the scores measure.....	19
Part II. Arithmetical Abilities—Their Variability and Relationship	
The Problem—The nature of the product of the first six years of arithmetic work.....	19
Phases of the problem.....	19
The Data.....	20
Achievements of the systems as systems.....	21
Measured by scores made—Tables III-VII with sample readings.....	21
Measured by mistakes made—Tables VIII and IX with sample readings.....	26
Achievements of pupils as individuals, Tables X and XI..	29
Variability.....	33
Of abilities among systems and individual pupils—Table XII with sample readings.....	33
Of abilities among boys and among girls—Tables XIII and XIV with sample readings.....	35
Relationship.....	36
Of abilities among systems—Tables XV and XVI with sample readings.....	37
Of abilities among individual pupils—Tables XVII and XVIII with sample readings.....	40
Summary.....	42
Factors determining abilities.....	43
Part III. Arithmetical Abilities and Time Expenditures.....	
The Problem—The relation of time expenditure to arithmetical abilities.....	46
The problem analyzed.....	46

	PAGE
Part III. Arithmetical Abilities and Time Expenditures— <i>Con.</i>	
Time expenditure	47
Previous related studies	47
Data blank and its uses	50
Amounts and variabilities in twenty-six systems, Tables XXI to XXIV with sample readings	52
The relation of time expenditure to abilities produced, Tables XXV to XXVII with sample reading	58
Some factors in time expenditure	62
Distribution among grades	62
Use within a grade	65
Summary	69
Suggestions for determining time allotment	69
Part IV. Arithmetical Abilities and the Course of Study	
The Problem—What is the relation between arithmetical abilities and the Course of Study	70
Steps toward solution of the problem	70
Securing Courses of Study	70
Securing ratings of Courses of Study	71
Relation of excellences of courses and excellences of results	73
Drill and Concreteness in Courses of Study	74
The Courses of the nineteen Public Schools in relation to abilities	78
Phases of excellences of Courses in relation to each other	78
Courses of Study and Time Cost	79
Samples from Courses of Study	79
The Course of Study Situation	86
General Conclusions	89
Appendix	94

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to acknowledge here the wealth of assistance that I have received in connection with this study. Any piece of research work must necessarily be co-operative, but one that attempts to take account of existing practice must be peculiarly so.

For assistance in conceiving and delimiting the subject, I am indebted particularly to Professor Strayer and Professor McMurry; for guidance in executing the statistical phases to Professor Thorndike; for assistance in formulating the tests to Professor Smith; for suggestions and criticisms to Mr. Manny and Professor Suedden; and for sympathetic supervision of the entire study to Professor Strayer and Professor Suzzallo.

For assistance in locating school systems doing distinctive work in arithmetic, I am indebted particularly to Dr. John T. Prince and Superintendent F. E. Parlin for schools of Massachusetts and Rhode Island, to Mr. Frank H. Hall for schools of Illinois, to Dr. Daniel A. Tear for schools of Chicago.

For assistance in evaluating the Courses of Study, I am indebted to the professors and graduate students who generously gave of their time and experience; for invaluable assistance in handling the six thousand test papers, executing much of the statistical work, and for her constant encouragement and suggestive criticism, to my wife, Kate King Stone.

But my greatest debt is to those working in the field. Besides the seventy-nine principals and the one hundred fifty-two teachers who generously gave of their time and energy, the following superintendents and principals rendered invaluable assistance both by allowing the tests to be made and by furnishing data:

- Supt. A. L. Barber, Natick, Massachusetts.
- Supt. J. A. Barr, Stockton, California.
- Miss M. A. Besley, Superintendent, Waukegan, Ill.
- Supt. A. B. Blodgett, Syracuse, N. Y.
- Supt. C. F. Carroll, Rochester, N. Y.
- Prin. Chas. S. Chapin, Observation School, State Normal, Providence, R. I.

- Prin. L. W. Colwell, Linné School, Chicago.
 Miss Flora J. Cooke, Prin. Francis W. Parker School, Chicago.
 Miss Caroline Emanuel, Prin. P. S. No. 50, Manhattan, New York City.
- Mr. Harry O. Gillet, Chairman Executive Committee, University Elementary School, University of Chicago.
- Supt. C. E. Gorton, Yonkers, N. Y.
 Supt. C. N. Kendall, Indianapolis, Ind.
 Supt. John Kennedy, Batavia, N. Y.
 Supt. Charles S. Meek, Elwood, Ind.
 Supt. C. H. Morse, Medford, Mass.
 Supt. R. O. Ogg, Kokomo, Ind.
 Supt. W. D. Parkinson, Waltham, Mass.
 Prin. H. C. Pearson, Horace Mann Elementary School, Columbia University.
- Prin. J. F. Reigart, P. S. No. 2 B, Manhattan, New York City.
 Supt. G. L. Roberts, Muncie, Ind.
 Prof. C. E. Rugh, Berkeley, Cal.
 Miss Jennie Small, Acting Supt., Elwood, Ind.
 Supt. W. H. Small, Providence, R. I.
 Supt. Henry Snyder, Jersey City, N. J.
 Supt. R. Spaulding, Montclair, N. J.
 Mr. W. E. Stark, Head of Mathematics Department, Ethical Culture School, New York City.
 Prin. A. M. Stowe, Training School, State Normal, Hyannis, Mass.
- Prin. J. K. Van Denburg, School No. 40, Manhattan, New York City.
 Miss Charlotte Weber, Ass't Supt., Jersey City, N. J.
 Supt. H. B. Wilson, Decatur, Ill.
 Supt. O. I. Woodley, Passaic, N. J.

No school system will appear by name in the body of this study, but any one whose system is represented will be cordially provided with its key, of which three copies will be preserved—one by the author and two at Teachers College, one in the Office of Elementary Education and one in the Bryson Library.

Dr. Rice's study of arithmetic and other helpful works are noted and briefly summarized in the appendix, page 96, or throughout the study in connection with related topics.

PART I.—PURPOSE AND METHOD

The central *purpose* of this study, broadly stated, is to make one more contribution to exact knowledge of the relation between distinctive educational procedures and the resulting products. "What is the relation between the theories and the products of education?" is one statement of the question which prompted the author to attempt a research thesis. Specifically, the portions of the larger question on which this study has bearing are: (1) What is the nature of the product of the first six years of arithmetic work? (2) What is the relation between distinctive procedures in arithmetic work and the resulting abilities?

The *method* of this inquiry may be briefly characterized as the application of the statistical method to mental measurements.¹ The three principal measurements made are the arithmetical abilities of 6A (high 6th) pupils,² the time expended and the course of study materials used in securing these abilities.

SOURCES OF DATA

The sources drawn upon for data with which to answer the questions above stated were twenty-six school systems. These were selected after a somewhat close examination of the arithmetic courses of study and time allotments of a large number of city and individual schools scattered over practically the entire United States. The bases of selection were distinctiveness of practice and geographical accessibility.

Through the courtesy of superintendents, principals and teachers the data were gathered in the following twenty-six systems:³

Batavia, New York.
Decatur, Illinois.
Elwood, Indiana.

¹For a full exposition, see Thorndike's *Mental and Social Measurements*.

²In such of the smaller systems as had less than one hundred 6A's, tests were used from equal numbers of 6B's and 7B's.

³The author personally gathered the data from each system, securing course of study material from each of the twenty-six superintendents, and time expenditure data from each of seventy-five principals, and conducting the tests in each of the one hundred and fifty-two classes. See p. 13, Conditions under which the Tests were given. The single exception is the Ethical Culture School where, because of the author being known to the children, Mr. J. L. Stockton, to whom the thanks of the author are due, gave the tests after careful preparation.

Ethical Culture School, New York City.
 Francis W. Parker School, Chicago.
 Horace Mann School, Columbia University, New York City.
 Indianapolis, Indiana.
 Jersey City, New Jersey.
 Kokomo, Indiana.
 Linné School, Chicago.
 Medford, Massachusetts.
 Montclair, New Jersey.
 Muncie, Indiana.
 Natick, Massachusetts.
 Observation School, State Normal, Providence, R. I.
 Passaic, New Jersey.
 Providence, Rhode Island.
 Rochester, New York.
 Schools No. 40 and No. 50, Manhattan, New York City.
 Speyer School, Columbia University.
 Syracuse, New York.
 Training School, State Normal, Hyannis, Massachusetts.
 University Elementary School, University of Chicago.
 Waltham, Massachusetts.
 Waukegan, Illinois.
 Yonkers, New York.

It will be noted that of these twenty-six systems there are seventeen city public systems—Batavia, Decatur, Elwood, Indianapolis, Jersey City, Kokomo, Medford, Montclair, Muncie, Natick, Passaic, Providence, Rochester, Syracuse, Waltham, Waukegan and Yonkers; three schools in city systems—Linné, School No. 40 and School No. 50; four training schools—Observation School, Speyer School, Hyannis Training School and University Elementary School; and three private schools—Ethical Culture, Francis W. Parker and Horace Mann.

According to geographical location these schools may be grouped as follows:

Six in New England, namely Medford, Natick, Observation School, Providence, Training School and Waltham; eleven in the Middle East, namely Batavia, Ethical Culture, Horace Mann, Jersey City, Montclair, Passaic, Rochester, Schools No. 40 and No. 50, Speyer School, Syracuse and Yonkers; and nine in the