CASES ON MARRIAGE AND DIVORCE SELECTED FROM DECISIONS OF ENGLISH AND AMERICAN COURTS. PART IV OF KALES' CASES ON PERSONS AND DOMESTIC RELATIONS. AMERICAN CASEBOOK SERIES

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649047567

Cases on Marriage and Divorce Selected from Decisions of English and American Courts. Part IV of Kales' Cases on Persons and Domestic Relations. American Casebook Series by Chester G. Vernier & James Brown Scott

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

CHESTER G. VERNIER & JAMES BROWN SCOTT

CASES ON MARRIAGE AND DIVORCE SELECTED FROM DECISIONS OF ENGLISH AND AMERICAN COURTS. PART IV OF KALES' CASES ON PERSONS AND DOMESTIC RELATIONS. AMERICAN CASEBOOK SERIES



CASES

08

MARRIAGE AND DIVORCE

SELECTED FROM DECISIONS OF

ENGLISH AND AMERICAN COURTS

BY

CHESTER G. VERNIER

PROFESSOR OF LAW IN THE COLLEGE OF LAW, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

PART IV

OF

KALES' CASES ON PERSONS AND DOMESTIC RELATIONS

AMERICAN CASEBOOK SERIES

JAMES BROWN SCOTT GENERAL EDITOR

ST. PAUL
WEST PUBLISHING COMPANY
1912



COPYRIGHT, 1972

BY

WEST PUBLISHING COMPANY

(VERN.MAR.& D.)

THE AMERICAN CASEBOOK SERIES

For years past the science of law has been taught by lectures, the use of text-books and more recently by the detailed study, in the class-room, of selected cases.

Each method has its advocates, but it is generally agreed that the lecture system should be discarded because in it the lecturer does the work and the student is either a willing receptacle or offers a passive resistance. It is not too much to say that the lecture system is doomed.

Instruction by the means of text-books as a supplement or substitute for the formal lecture has made its formal entry into the educational world and obtains widely; but the system is faulty and must pass away as the exclusive means of studying and teaching law. It is an improvement on the formal lecture in that the student works, but if it cannot be said that he works to no purpose, it is a fact that he works from the wrong end. The rule is learned without the reason, or both rule and reason are stated in the abstract as the resultant rather than as the process. If we forget the rule we cannot solve the problem; if we have learned to solve the problem it is a simple matter to formulate a rule of our own. The text-book method may strengthen the memory; it may not train the mind, nor does it necessarily strengthen it. A text, if it be short, is at best a summary, and a summary presupposes previous knowledge.

If, however, law be considered as a science rather than a collection of arbitrary rules and regulations, it follows that it should be studied as a science. Thus to state the problem is to solve it; the laboratory method has displaced the lecture, and the text yields to the actual experiment. The law reports are in more senses than one books of experiments, and, by studying the actual case, the student co-operates with the judge and works out the conclusion however complicated the facts or the principles involved. A study of cases arranged historically develops the knowledge of the law, and each case is seen to be not an isolated fact but a necessary link in the chain of development. The study of the case is clearly the most practical method, for the student already does in his undergraduate days what he must do all his life; it is curiously the most theoretical and the most practical. For a discussion of the case in all its parts develops analysis, the comparison of many cases establishes a general principle, and

iv PREFACE

the arrangement and classification of principles dealing with a subject make the law on that subject,

In this way TRAINING AND KNOWLEDGE, the means and the end of legal study, go hand and hand,

The obvious advantages of the study of law by means of selected

cases make its universal adoption a mere question of time.

The only serious objections made to the case method are that it takes too much time to give a student the requisite knowledge of the subject in this way and that the system loses sight of the difference between the preparation of the student and the lifelong training of the lawyer. Many collections of cases seem open to these objections, for they are so bulky that it is impossible to cover a particular subject with them in the time ordinarily allotted to it in the class. In this way the student discusses only a part of a subject. His knowledge is thorough as far as it goes, but it is incomplete and fragmentary. The knowledge of the subject as a whole is deliberately sacrificed to training in a part of the subject.

It would seem axiomatic that the size of the casebook should correspond in general to the amount of time at the disposal of instructor and student. As the time element is, in most cases, a nonexpansive quantity, it necessarily follows that, if only a half to two-thirds of the cases in the present collections can be discussed in class, the present casebooks are a third to a half too long. From a purely practical and economic standpoint it is a mistake to ask students to pay for 1,200 pages when they can only use 600, and it must be remembered that in many schools, and with many students in all schools, the matter of the cost of casebooks is important. Therefore, for purely practical reasons, it is believed that there is a demand for casebooks physically adapted and intended for use as a whole in the class-room.

But aside from this, as has been said, the existing plan sacrifices knowledge to training. It is not denied that training is important, nor that for a law student, considering the small amount of actual knowledge the school can hope to give him in comparison with the vast and daily growing body of the law, it is more important than mere knowledge. It is, however, confidently asserted that knowledge is, after all, not unimportant, and that, in the inevitable compromise between training and knowledge, the present casebooks not only devote too little attention relatively to the inculcation of knowledge, but that they sacrifice unnecessarily knowledge to training. It is believed that a greater effort should be made to cover the general principles of a given subject in the time allotted, even at the expense of a considerable sacrifice of detail. But in this proposed readjustment of the means to the end, the fundamental fact cannot be overlooked that law is a developing science and that its present can only be understood through the medium of its past. It is recognized as imperative that a sufficient number of cases be given under each topic

PREFACE V

treated to afford a basis for comparison and discrimination; to show the development of the law of the particular topic under discussion; and to afford the mental training for which the case system necessarily stands. To take a familiar illustration: If it is proposed to include in a casebook on Criminal Law one case on abortion, one on libel, two on perjury, one on larceny from an office, and if in order to do this it is necessary to limit the number of cases on specific intent to such a degree as to leave too few on this topic to develop it fully and to furnish the student with training, then the subjects of abortion, libel, perjury, and larceny from an office should be wholly omitted. The student must needs acquire an adequate knowledge of these subjects, but the training already had in the underlying principles of criminal law will render the acquisition of this knowledge comparatively easy. The exercise of a wise discretion would treat fundamentals thoroughly: principle should not yield to detail.

Impressed by the excellence of the case system as a means of legal education, but convinced that no satisfactory adjustment of the conflict between training and knowledge under existing time restrictions has yet been found, the General Editor takes pleasure in announcing a series of scholarly casebooks, prepared with special reference to the needs and limitations of the class-room, on the fundamental subjects of legal education, which, through a judicious rearrangement of emphasis, shall provide adequate training combined with a thorough knowledge of the general principles of the subject. The collection will develop the law historically and scientifically; English cases will give the origin and development of the law in England; American cases will trace its expansion and modification in America; notes and annotations will suggest phases omitted in the printed case. Cumulative references will be avoided, for the footnote may not hope to rival the digest.

The law will thus be presented as an organic growth, and the necessary connection between the past and the present will be obvious.

The importance and difficulty of the subject as well as the time that can properly be devoted to it will be carefully considered so that each book may be completed within the time allotted to the particular subject.

It is equally obvious that some subjects are treated at too great length, and that a less important subject demands briefer treatment. A small book for a small subject.

In this way it will be alike possible for teacher and class to complete each book instead of skimming it or neglecting whole sections; and more subjects may be elected by the student if presented in shorter form based upon the relative importance of the subject and the time allotted to its mastery.

Training and knowledge go hand in hand, and Training and Knowledge are the keynotes of the series.

PREFACE vi

If it be granted that all, or nearly all, the studies required for admission to the bar should be studied in course by every student-and the soundness of this contention can hardly be seriously doubted-it follows necessarily that the preparation and publication of collections of cases exactly adapted to the purpose would be a genuine and by no means unimportant service to the cause of legal education. And this result can best be obtained by the preparation of a systematic series of casebooks constructed upon a uniform plan under the supervision of an editor in chief.

For the basis of calculation the hour has been taken as the unit. The General Editor's personal experience, supplemented by the experience of others in the class-room, leads to the belief that approximately a book of 400 pages may be covered by the average student in half a year of two hours a week; that a book of 600 pages may be discussed in class in three hours for half a year; that a book of 800 pages may be completed by the student in two hours a week throughout the year; and a class may reasonably hope to master a volume of 1,000 pages in a year of three hours a week. The general rule will be subject to some modifications in connection with particular topics on due consideration of their relative importance and difficulty, and the time ordinarily allotted to them in the law school curriculum.

The following subjects are deemed essential in that a knowledge of them (with the exception of International Law and General Jurisprudence) is universally required for admission to the bar:

Administrative Law.

Agency.

Bills and Notes.

Carriers. Contracts. Corporations.

Constitutional Law.

Criminal Law.

Criminal Procedure. Common-Law Pleading.

Conflict of Laws. Code Pleading.

Damages. Domestic Relations.

Equity.

Equity Pleading.

Evidence.

Insurance.

International Law.

Jurisprudence. Mortgages. Partnership.

Personal Property, including the Law of Bailment.

Real Property, 1st Year.

Public Corporations. Quasi Contracts.

Sales.

Suretyship.

Torts. Trusts.

Wills and Administration.

International Law is included in the list of essentials from its intrinsic importance in our system of law. As its principles are simple in comparison with municipal law, as their application is less technical, PREFACE VII

and as the cases are generally interesting, it is thought that the book may be larger than otherwise would be the case.

As an introduction to the series a book of Selections on General Jurisprudence of about 500 pages is deemed essential to completeness.

The preparation of the casebooks has been intrusted to experienced and well-known teachers of the various subjects included, so that the experience of the class-room and the needs of the students will furnish a sound basis of selection.

While a further list is contemplated of usual but relatively less important subjects as tested by the requirements for admission to the bar, no announcement of them is made at present.

The following gentlemen of standing and repute in the profession are at present actively engaged in the preparation of the various case-books on the indicated subjects:

- George W. Kirchwey, Dean of the Columbia University, School of Law. Subject, Real Property.
- Nathan Abbott, Professor of Law, Columbia University. (Formerly Dean of the Stanford University Law School.) Subject, Personal Property.
- Frank Irvine, Dean of the Cornell University School of Law. Subject, Evidence.
- Harry S, Richards, Dean of the University of Wisconsin School of Law. Subject, Corporations.
- James Parker Hall, Dean of the University of Chicago School of Law. Subject, Constitutional Law.
- William R. Vance, Dean of the George Washington University Law School. Subject, Insurance.
- Charles M. Hepburn, Professor of Law, University of Indiana. Subject, Torts.
- William E. Mikell, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania. Subjects, Criminal Law and Criminal Procedure.
- George P. Costigan, Jr., Professor of Law, Northwestern University Law School. Subject, Wills and Administration.
- Floyd R. Mechem, Professor of Law, Chicago University. Subject. Damages. (Co-author with Barry Gilbert.)
- Barry Gilbert, Professor of Law, University of Illinois. Subject, Damages. (Co-author with Floyd R. Mechen.)
- Thaddeus D. Kenneson, Professor of Law, University of New York. Subject, Trusts.
- Charles Thaddens Terry, Professor of Law, Columbia University. Subject, Contracts.