

**RESEARCHES INTO THE HISTORY  
OF THE ROMAN CONSTITUTION,  
WITH AN APPENDIX UPON THE  
ROMAN KNIGHTS**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649144563

Researches into the history of the Roman constitution, with an appendix upon the Roman knights by W. Ihne

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**W. IHNE**

**RESEARCHES INTO THE HISTORY  
OF THE ROMAN CONSTITUTION,  
WITH AN APPENDIX UPON THE  
ROMAN KNIGHTS**



111

RESEARCHES  
INTO THE HISTORY OF THE  
**Roman Constitution**

WITH AN APPENDIX  
UPON THE ROMAN KNIGHTS

By W. Ihne, Ph.D.



LONDON  
WILLIAM PICKERING  
1853

111 0 11  
22 / 5 111



## PREFACE.

**T**HE substance of the following pages was published, 1847, in Germany, under the title "Forschungen auf dem Gebiete der Roemischen Verfassungsgeschichte." As this work has been fortunate enough to attract the attention of historical critics in Germany, I was very glad to hear from my friend, Mr. Francis Haywood of this town, that he had translated it into English: and I undertook with great pleasure the task of looking over the translation and making such alterations and additions as subsequent study had suggested.

It will be found, I trust, that the Work, though chiefly directed against some of the fundamental principles of Niebuhr, is not

written with a view of detracting in the least from the merits of that great historian. His theories have been subjected to a far more searching and less scrupulous criticism in Germany than in England. A great number of pains-taking, learned, and judicious writers, such as Wachsmuth, Goettling, Rubino, Peter, Puchta, Becker, Marquardt, the Dane Madvig, and others, although in general adopting the views of Niebuhr, have ventured in many particulars to differ from him; and they have succeeded in several instances in pointing out fallacies, and in establishing more correct opinions. We are perhaps on the eve of the reconstruction of the History of Rome from the mass of materials, in part old and approved, in part entirely recast, or newly discovered since Niebuhr, and I am sanguine enough to hope that perhaps in the following pages some ideas may be found, which a man endowed with learning, genius, political experience and leisure may usefully employ in erecting such a noble *monumentum aere perennius* on the domain of the History of Rome as Mr. Grote has done on that of Hellas.

I am conscious, however, of a defect in the present Work. It is this,—that an undue anxiety to compress the subject into a small compass, the idea *μέγα βιβλίον μέγα κακόν*, has induced me to forego the advantage of greater clearness. I fear that those readers whose studies have not made them quite familiar with the subjects treated of in the present volume will sometimes complain that I have too slightly hinted at facts and reasonings, instead of leisurely expounding the whole case, and thus enabling them to form their conclusions, without opening all the books referred to in the notes.

This mistake I shall endeavour to avoid in a more comprehensive work on the Constitutional History of Rome, with which I am at present engaged, and which I hope to bring out very soon, if my professional duties leave me sufficient leisure in the ensuing year.

To my friend Mr. Haywood I take this opportunity of expressing my most heartfelt thanks for the trouble he has undertaken as a translator.

My best acknowledgments are due also to Mr. T. F. Ellis, late Fellow of Trinity



College, Cambridge, for the kindness with which he undertook to look over the proof sheets, and for several very valuable suggestions.

W. I.

Liverpool, 12th January, 1853.



## CONTENTS.

	Page
<b>N</b> IEBUHR'S Theory of the original Roman State . . . . .	1
Improbabilities of this theory . . . . .	4
Arguments in favour of Niebuhr's theory . . . . .	12
The sacred inviolability of the clientage . . . . .	22
Its origin in the Asylum . . . . .	24
The tradition of the Asylum is not historical . . . . .	25
Niebuhr's clients vote in the centuriata comitia, but are exempt from military service . . . . .	27
Proofs against Niebuhr's theory . . . . .	30
The clients were a conquered race . . . . .	31
Origin of the Roman State in conquest . . . . .	32
The aboriginal Pelasgian population . . . . .	35
Sabellian immigrations into Latium from the Apennines . . . . .	40
Alba Longa probably a Sabine colony . . . . .	41
The History of Rome opens with a Sabine Conquest . . . . .	44
Sabine Rome conquered by the Etruscans . . . . .	47
The Etruscan conquest extended over the whole of Latium . . . . .	49
The war with Porsena proves an Etruscan conquest . . . . .	51
Rome and Latium rise against the dominion of the Etrus- cans, first under Servius, and then under Brutus . . . . .	52
True character of the Latin war in the first year of the Republic . . . . .	53

	Page
The Valerii and the Valerian laws . . . . .	56
Improbability of the common notion that the monarchy was suddenly changed into a republic . . . . .	58
Niebuhr's attempts at solving the difficulty . . . . .	59
Necessity of a dictatorship in revolutions . . . . .	61
The dictatorship the natural point of transition from a monarchy to a republic with annual magistrates . . . . .	61
Probable duration of the state of transition . . . . .	62
P. Valerius Publicola first dictator . . . . .	63
All the Valerii reducible to one . . . . .	64
The identity of P. Valerius and M. Valerius evident from the traditions respecting the erection of a residence . . . . .	68
P. Valerius by virtue of the dictatorial power re-organizes the centuriate assembly and the Senate . . . . .	71
The Valerian laws the Charter of the Republic . . . . .	72
They establish the office of Rex Sacrificulus . . . . .	73
They limit the duration of office of the highest magistrates . . . . .	73
They divide the chief power between two officers . . . . .	74
They leave to the consuls the nomination of the Quæ- tores ærarii . . . . .	75
The Valerian laws enact the right of appeal to the people . . . . .	85
But this right of appeal was given to the patricians only, not to the plebeians . . . . .	86
The Comitia of tribes did not yet exist . . . . .	86
In the Centuriate Comitia the influence of the patricians was predominant . . . . .	86
The curiata comitia were exclusively patrician . . . . .	91
An incident showing that the plebeians had no right of appeal before the time of the decemvirs . . . . .	92
Argument drawn from the law of Duilius . . . . .	93
The tribunes appointed by law the patrons of the plebs . . . . .	95
The tribunes elected neither in Curiate nor Centuriate Comitia, but by the tribes . . . . .	98
The so-called Publilian laws do not militate against this view . . . . .	102