

**SUPPLEMENT TO THE LAW OF
EASEMENTS, NATURAL RIGHTS
ARISING FROM SITUATION,
AND LICENSES, IN INDIA**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649507542

Supplement to the Law of Easements, Natural Rights Arising from Situation, and Licenses, in India by R. B. Michell

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

R. B. MICHELL

**SUPPLEMENT TO THE LAW OF
EASEMENTS, NATURAL RIGHTS
ARISING FROM SITUATION,
AND LICENSES, IN INDIA**

**SUPPLEMENT
TO THE LAW OF EASEMENTS,
NATURAL RIGHTS ARISING FROM
SITUATION, AND LICENSES, IN INDIA.**

India, Laws, statutes, etc. Property law

SUPPLEMENT

TO THE

LAW OF EASEMENTS, ^{C#}

NATURAL RIGHTS ARISING FROM SITUATION,
AND LICENSES, IN INDIA,

[Second Edition]

Bringing the law, as shewn by the reported cases, Indian and
English, down to the end of 1905.

BY

R. B. MICHELL, M.A.,

OF LINCOLN'S INN, BARRISTER-AT-LAW,

*Late Chief Judge of the Court of Small Causes, Madras, and sometime
Acting Judge of the High Court of Madras.*



Madras:

PRINTED AND SOLD AT THE LAWRENCE ASYLUM PRESS,
MOUNT ROAD.

1906.

[All rights reserved.]

PREFACE.

THE arrangement of this Supplement is the same as that of the book to which it forms a supplement, the notes and commentaries being placed under the sections of the Indian Easements Act to which they respectively appertain, or to provisions of which they respectively relate or are assignable.

All the notes and commentaries in the Supplement have also been fitted in to their exact proper places in the 2nd Edition of the main book, by a reference, prefixed to each, to the page and exact place in the page, of the 2nd Edition to which it appropriately belongs; so that the reader has only to note in the margin of his copy of the 2nd Edition, at the places indicated, references to the respective pages in the Supplement containing the now notes or commentaries which fit in to those places.

The Addenda and Corrigendum include notes of several cases in Reports published, in the present year, after the body of this Supplement or the portion of it in which, respectively, they would, if published in time, have been included, had been printed.

R. B. M.

July, 1906.

CONTENTS.

| | PAGE. |
|---|-------|
| TABLE OF CASES CITED | i |
| ADDENDA | vi |
| CORRIGENDUM | x |
| COMMENTARIES ON THE INDIAN EASEMENTS ACT..... | 1 |
| INDEX | 137 |

TABLE OF CASES CITED IN THIS SUPPLEMENT.

*The figures denote the pages in which the cases
are respectively cited.*

| | |
|---|---|
| ACTON v. Blundell, 19 | Behari Lal v. Ghisa Lal, 35, 72, 85, 96 |
| Aiyavu Mooppan v. Sawminatha Kavundan, 30 | Benode Coomareo Dossee v. Sou- daminey Dossee, 119 |
| Allen v. Ormond, 81 | Beuson v. Chester, 79 |
| Ambalavana Pandara Sannathi v. Secretary of State, <i>Addenda</i> vi. | Bhola Nath Nundi v. Midnapore Zemindary Co., 75 |
| Ambier v. Gordon, 104 | Bhunda! Panda v. Pandol Pos Patil, 127 |
| Arkwright v. Gell, 36 | Bickett v. Morris, 118 |
| Attorney-General and Bromley Rural Districts Council v. Cope- land, 6 | Bishop Auckland Industrial Co- operative Society, <i>Ld.</i> v. Butter- knowle Colliery Co., <i>Ld.</i> , 16, <i>Addenda</i> vi. |
| Attorney-General v. Copeland, 75 | Black v. Ballymena Township Commissioners, 22, 23 |
| — v. Emerson, 9 | Bolye Chunder Sen v. Lalmoni Das, 59, 91 |
| — v. Esher Linc- oleum Co., <i>Ld.</i> , 131 | Bonner v. Great Western Railway Co., 65, 111 |
| — v. Hothan, 75, 77 | Born v. Turner, 54 |
| — v. Mathias, 78 | Bottlewalla v. Bottlewalla, 108 |
| — v. Nichol, 112 | Boyce v. Paddington Borough Council, 65, 111 |
| — v. Queen Anne & Gardens Mansions, 102. | Boyson v. Deane, 113 |
| Austin v. Amhurst, 64, 89 | Bradford Corporation v. Ferrand, 12, 22, 28 |
| Aynsley v. Glover, 121 | — v. Pickles, 19 |
| BABAJI RAMJI v. Babaji Devji, 125 | Bright v. Walker, 59 |
| Bagram v. Khottranath Karfor- mah, 10, 107 | Brocklebank v. Thompson, 85, 124 |
| Bai Hariganga v. Tricamlal, 91, 130 | Broomfield v. Williams, 57, 58 |
| Baily & Co. v. Clark, Son & Mor- land, 73, 74 | Brown v. Dunstable Corporation, 77 |
| Baird v. Williamson, 37 | — v. Peto, 182 |
| Bala v. Maharu, 38 | Buccleuch (Duke of) v. Wakefield, 16 |
| Ballard v. Tomlinson, 19 | Buckley (R. H.) & Sons, <i>Ld.</i> v. N. Buckley & Sons, 38, 95, 96 |
| Balvantrao v. Sprott, 1, 125 | Budhu Mandal v. Malist Mandal, 39, 64, 80 |
| Battishill v. Reed, 60, 61 | Bunting v. Hicks, 33, 84 |
| Baxendale v. North Lambeth Li- beral & Radical Club, <i>Ld.</i> , 49 | Burrows v. Lang, 54, 74 |
| Baxter v. Taylor, 60 | Bwllfa and Merthyr Dare Steam Collieries (1891), <i>Ld.</i> v. Ponty- pridd Waterworks Co., 46 |
| Bayley v. Great Western Railway Co., 50 | |

- CABOT v. Kingman, 18
 Canadian Pacific Railway Co. v.
 Parke, 4, 36, 41, 42
 Carlyon v. Lovering, 79
 Chaplin & Co., Ltd. v. Westmin-
 ster Corporation, 23
 Chasemore v. Richards, 19
 Chidambara Row v. Secretary of
 State, 97
 Chilton v. Corporation of London,
 89
 Chinnappa Mudaliar v. Sikka
 Naikan, 48
 Chotalal Mohanlal v. Lallubhai
 Surehand, 103, 122
 City of London v. Vanacre, 88, 94
 Clayton v. Corby, 78, 79
 Clifford v. Holt, 70
 Clippens Oil Co. v. Edinburgh &
 District Water Trustees, 40, 75
 Colls v. Home and Colonial Stores,
 Ltd., 67, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103,
 104, 105, 107, 110, 112, 116, 117,
 120, 123
 Consett Waterworks Co. v. Ritson,
 16
 Constable v. Nicholson, 89
 Cooper v. Hubback, 67, 68
 — v. Straker, 69
 Corbett v. Hill, 10
 Cowper v. Laidler, 112, 114, 116,
 124
 Crump v. Lambert, 104
 Crusoe v. Bugby, 134
 Carriers' Co. v. Corbett, 120
- DALTON v. Angus, 72
 Dampier v. Bassot, 60, 61
 Davis v. Trebarns, 16
 De la Warr (Earl) v. Miles, 85
 Delhi & London Bank, Ltd. v. Hem
 Lal Dutt, 108
 Desai Bhaorai v. Desai Chunilal,
 93
 Dhunjibhoy Cowasji Umrigar v.
 Lisboa, 113
 Dinkar v. Narayan, 23
 Dudden v. Clinton Union, 23, 33,
 34
 Duncan v. Louch, 81
 Dyce v. Hay, 88, 94
 Dyers' Co. v. King, 110
- EASTERN AND SOUTH AFRICAN
 TELEGRAPH Co. v. Cape Town
 Tramways Co., 104, *Addenda*
 vii, ix
- Easton v. Isted, 98
 Ecclesiastical Commissioners v.
 Kino, 123
 Ecroyd v. Coultard, 9
 Elliott v. North-Eastern Railway
 Co., 21
 Errington v. Metropolitan District
 Railway Co., 45
 Esubai v. Damodar Ishvardas,
Addenda ix
 Ewart v. Belfast Poor Law Guar-
 dians, 22, 23
- FADU JHALA v. Gour Mohun Jhala,
 109
 Fitch v. Rawling, 86
 Fitzgerald v. Firbank, 131
 Formby v. Barker, 47
 Fuzlur Bahman v. Krishna Pra-
 sad, 109
- GAEKWAR SARKAR OF BARODA v.
 Gandhi Kachrabhai, 36, 42
 Gardner v. Hodgson's Kingston
 Brewery Co., 62, 64, 75
 Gateward's Case, 89
 Geddis v. Proprietors of Bann
 Reservoir, 42
 Ghanasham Nilkant Nadkarni v.
 Moroba Ramchandra Pal, 113
 Glasgow Corporation v. M'Ewan, 7
 Glasgow, Lord Provost and Magis-
 trates of, v. Farie, 48
 Godwin v. Schwepes, Ltd., 56
 Goodman v. Mayor of Saltash, 77
 Gopal Reddi v. Chenna Reddi, 25
 Grand Junction Canal Co. v. Shu-
 gar, 19
 Great Indian Peninsular Railway
 Co. v. Municipal Corporation of
 Bombay, 12
 Great Northern Railway Co. v.
 Inland Revenue Commissioners,
 45
 Great Northern Railway Co. v.
 M'Alister, 97
 Great Western Railway Co. v.
 Bennett, 43
 Great Western Railway Co. v.
 Talbot, 48, 97
 Greenhalgh v. Brindley, 7, 65, 68,
 111
 Greenwell v. Low Beechburn Coal
 Co., 111
 Grove v. Portal, 135

- HAIGH v. West**, 77
Haji Ismail Sait v. Trustees of the Harbour, Madras, 43
Haji Syed Muhammad v. Gulab Rai, 119
Hall v. Duke of Norfolk, 111
Hall v. Nottingham, 87
Hammersmith Railway Co. v. Brand, 42
Hanbury v. Jenkins, 5, 6, 9, 51
Hari Krishna Joshi v. Shankar Vishal, 85
Hero Dyal Bose v. Kristo Gobind Sein, 109
Harris v. De Pinna, 11
Harrison v. Duke of Rutland, 98
Harrop v. Hirst, 29
Hastings (Lord) v. North-Eastern Railway Co., 133
Hay v. Edinburgh Water Co., 8
Hayles v. Pease and Partners, Ld., 46
Heath v. Deane, 75, 80, 90
Hickman v. Maisey, 98
Higgins v. Betts, 113, 117
Hindson v. Ashby, 9
Holker v. Porritt, 30
Hollins v. Verney, 69
Home & Colonial Stores, Ld. v. Colls, 105
Hooper v. Clark, 131
Humphries v. Brogden, 14, 22
Hurbullabh Narsain Singh v. Meswar Prasad Singh, 123
Husain Ali v. Matukman, 82
- IMPERATRIX v. Vanmali**, 96
International Tea Stores Co. v. Hobbs, 54, 55, 98
- JACKSON v. Duke of Newcastle**, 112
 — **v. Normanby Brick Co.**, 122
Janhavi Chowdhurani v. Bindu Bhashini Chowdhurani, 64, 66
Jenkins v. Harvey, 85
Jesang v. Whittle, 93, 94
Jordeson v. Sutton, Southcoates, and Drypool Gas Co., 17, 18, 19, 20, 114
- KADAMBINI DEBI v. Kali Kumar Haldar**, 58, 91
Kaliandas v. Tulsidas, 112, 124
- Kalu Khabir v. Jan Meah**, 76, 85
Kashinath v. Narayan, 13
Kay v. Oxley, 50
Keik v. Pearson, 103
Kensit v. Great Eastern Railway Co., 73
Kieffer v. Le Séminaire de Québec, 86
Kilgour v. Gaddes, 66
Kine v. Jolly, 110, 117, 121, 123
Kochappa v. Sachi Devi, 129
Krishna Ayyan v. Venkatchella Mudali, 2, 49
Krishnamarazu v. Marraju, *Addenda ix*
- LAESMI NARAIN BANERJEE v. Tara Prasanna Banerjee**, 72
Lancaster v. Eve, 8
Lanfranchi v. Mackenzie, 102, 103, 113
Latchmiput Singh v. Sadaulla Nushyo, 87
Lazarus v. Artistic Photographic Co., 102, 113
Lemmon v. Webb, 85
London & North-Western Railway Co. v. Evans, 40, 44
London, Brighton, & South Coast Railway Co. v. Truman, 41, *Addenda vi*
Lord Advocate v. Wemyss, 83
Love v. Bell, 16
Low v. Adams, 131
- MCCARTNEY v. Londonderry & Lough Swilly Railway Co.**, 28
M'Nab v. Robertson, 31
Madhub Das Bairagi v. Jogesh Chunder Sarkar, 75
Madras Railway Co. v. Zemindar of Carvatinagram, 2, 3, *Addenda vi*
Mangaldas v. Jewanram, 109
Mani Chander Chakorbhuty v. Baikants Nath Biswas, 64
Martin v. Price, 113, 114
Masters and Great Western Railway Co., *In re*, 11, 129
May v. Belleville, 49
Menzies v. Breadalbane, Earl of, 25
Mercer v. Donno, 75, 87, 89, 94, 108
Metropolitan Asylum District v. Hill, 42